



Decision Diagnostics Corp.

QUARTERLY REPORT FOR OTC PINK

Supplemental Disclosures

Quarterly Report for the Period Ended

June 30, 2019

Trading Symbol: DECN

CUSIP Number: 243443 108

Disclosure Statement Pursuant to the Pink Basic Disclosure Guidelines

Decision Diagnostics Corp.

A Nevada Corporation

2660 Townsgate Road Suite 300
Westlake Village, CA 92361

(805) 446-1973

www.decisiondiagnostics.com

info@desisiocdiagnostics.com

5122, 7371

Quarterly Report **For the Period Ending: June 30, 2019** (the "Reporting Period")

As of June 30, 2019, the number of shares outstanding of our Common Stock was:

153,879,161

As of December 31, 2018, the number of shares outstanding of our Common Stock was:

134,551,840

Indicate by check mark whether the company is a shell company (as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act of 1934):

Yes: No: (Double-click and select "Default Value" to check)

Indicate by check mark whether the company's shell status has changed since the previous reporting period:

Yes: No:

Indicate by check mark whether a Change in Control¹ of the company has occurred over this reporting period:

Yes: No:

¹ "Change in Control" shall mean any events resulting in:

(i) Any "person" (as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act) becoming the "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 of the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing fifty percent (50%) or more of the total voting power represented by the Company's then outstanding voting securities;

(ii) The consummation of the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets;

(iii) A change in the composition of the Board occurring within a two (2)-year period, as a result of which fewer than a majority of the directors are directors immediately prior to such change; or

(iv) The consummation of a merger or consolidation of the Company with any other corporation, other than a merger or consolidation which would result in the voting securities of the Company outstanding immediately prior thereto continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity or its parent) at least fifty percent (50%) of the total voting power represented by the voting securities of the Company or such surviving entity or its parent outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation.

1) Name of the issuer and its predecessors (if any)

In answering this item, please also provide any names used by predecessor entities in the past five years and the dates of the name changes.

11/25/2011 Company named changed from Instacare Corp. to Decision Diagnostics Corp.

Date and state (or jurisdiction) of incorporation (also describe any changes to incorporation since inception, if applicable) Please also include the issuer's current standing in its state of incorporation (e.g. active, default, inactive):

Nevada, active

Has the issuer or any of its predecessors ever been in bankruptcy, receivership, or any similar proceeding in the past five years?

Yes: No:

2) Security Information

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Trading symbol: | <u>DECN</u> | |
| Exact title and class of securities outstanding: | <u>Common</u> | |
| CUSIP: | 243443 108 | |
| Par or stated value: | <u>\$.001</u> | |
| Total shares authorized: | <u>495,000,000</u> | as of date: <u>November 25, 2011</u> |
| Total shares outstanding: | <u>153,879,161</u> | as of date: <u>June 30, 2019</u> |
| Number of shares in the Public Float ² : | <u>135,664,887</u> | as of date: <u>June 30, 2019</u> |
| Total number of shareholders of record: | <u>456</u> | as of date: <u>June 30, 2019</u> |

Additional class of securities (if any): **N/A**

| | | |
|--|-------|-------------------|
| Trading symbol: | _____ | |
| Exact title and class of securities outstanding: | _____ | |
| CUSIP: | _____ | |
| Par or stated value: | _____ | |
| Total shares authorized: | _____ | as of date: _____ |
| Total shares outstanding: | _____ | as of date: _____ |

Transfer Agent

Name: Action Stock Transfer
Phone: (801) 274-1088
Email: jb@actionstocktransfer.com

Is the Transfer Agent registered under the Exchange Act?³ Yes: No:

Describe any trading suspension orders issued by the SEC concerning the issuer or its predecessors:

None

² "Public Float" shall mean the total number of unrestricted shares not held directly or indirectly by an officer, director, any person who is the beneficial owner of more than 10 percent of the total shares outstanding (a "control person"), or any affiliates thereof, or any immediate family members of officers, directors and control persons.

³ To be included in the Pink Current Information tier, the transfer agent must be registered under the Exchange Act.

List any stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization, merger, acquisition, spin-off, or reorganization either currently anticipated or that occurred within the past 12 months:

None

3) Issuance History

The goal of this section is to provide disclosure with respect to each event that resulted in any direct changes to the total shares outstanding of any class of the issuer's securities in the past two completed fiscal years and any subsequent interim period. See Table below.

Disclosure under this item shall include, in chronological order, all offerings and issuances of securities, including debt convertible into equity securities, whether private or public, and all shares or any other securities or options to acquire such securities issued for services. Using the tabular format below, please describe these events.

A. Changes to the Number of Outstanding Shares

Check this box to indicate there were no changes to the number of outstanding shares within the past two completed fiscal years and any subsequent periods:

| Date of Transaction | Transaction type (e.g. new issuance, cancellation, shares returned to treasury) | Number of Shares Issued (or cancelled) | Class of Securities | Value of shares issued (\$/per share) at Issuance | Were the shares issued at a discount to market price at the time of issuance? (Yes/No) | Individual/ Entity Shares were issued to (entities must have individual with voting / investment control disclosed). | Reason for share issuance (e.g. for cash or debt conversion) OR Nature of Services Provided (if applicable) | Restricted or Unrestricted as of this filing? | Exemption or Registration Type? |
|---------------------|---|--|---------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 2/2/16 | New Issuance | 970,980 | Common | \$ 0.16 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 2/17/16 | New Issuance | 1,614,248 | Common | \$ 0.14 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 2/25/16 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.16 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 2/25/16 | New Issuance | 750,000 | Common | \$ 0.16 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/21/16 | New Issuance | 800 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.35 | No | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital Holdings (3) | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/21/16 | New Issuance | 1,400,000 | Common | \$ 0.35 | No | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital Holdings (3) | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/21/16 | New Issuance | 200,000 | Common | \$ 0.35 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/29/16 | New Issuance | 404,630 | Common | \$ 0.35 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/29/16 | New Issuance | 500,000 | Common | \$ 0.06 | No | New Issuance-James J Loures | Cash | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/13/16 | New Issuance | 280,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/13/16 | New Issuance | 280,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/13/16 | New Issuance | 140,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz 2011 Irv TR (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/13/16 | New Issuance | 148,160 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financial (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/13/16 | New Issuance | 185,195 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/13/16 | New Issuance | 37,040 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Andrew Schoenzeit | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/13/16 | New Issuance | 431,376 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz 2011 Irv TR (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/26/16 | New Issuance | 1,837,500 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/26/16 | Conversion | (14,300) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Mayer & Associates (5) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/26/16 | Conversion | 200,200 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | Conversion-Mayer & Associates (5) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/2/16 | New Issuance | 472,106 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/5/16 | New Issuance | 998,099 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/17/16 | New Issuance | 422,669 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------|----|---|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| 5/17/16 | Conversion | (125) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/17/16 | Conversion | 625,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/18/16 | New Issuance | 525,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/18/16 | New Issuance | 220,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mayer & Associates (5) | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/1/16 | New Issuance | 814,314 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/6/16 | New Issuance | 1,000,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/6/16 | New Issuance | 1,050,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/6/16 | New Issuance | 280,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/6/16 | New Issuance | 70,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz 2011 Irv TR (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/6/16 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz 401K Trust | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/6/16 | New Issuance | 35,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/6/16 | New Issuance | 25,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/8/16 | New Issuance | 484,148 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/27/16 | Conversion | (125) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/27/16 | Conversion | 625,000 | Common | \$ 0.14 | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/30/16 | New Issuance | 1,725 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.14 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Cash | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/18/16 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Common | \$ 0.14 | No | New Issuance-Cadence Holdings LLC (4) | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/18/16 | New Issuance | 150,000 | Common | \$ 0.14 | No | New Issuance-TPC Holdings Group (4) | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/21/16 | New Issuance | (30,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/21/16 | New Issuance | 420,000 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/21/16 | New Issuance | 270,000 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/21/16 | New Issuance | 70,000 | Common | \$ 0.13 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz 2011 Irv TR (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/21/16 | Conversion | (67,500) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financial (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/21/16 | Conversion | 945,000 | Common | \$ 0.13 | No | Conversion-Chase Financial (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/2/16 | Conversion | (125) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/2/16 | Conversion | 625,000 | Common | \$ 0.14 | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/29/16 | New Issuance | 954,925 | Common | \$ 0.15 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/7/16 | Conversion | (67,500) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financial (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/7/16 | Conversion | 945,000 | Common | \$ 0.13 | No | Conversion-Chase Financial (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/19/16 | New Issuance | 521,784 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/19/16 | New Issuance | 805,147 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/19/16 | New Issuance | 400,000 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Marc Berger | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/19/16 | New Issuance | 75,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 12/6/16 | New Issuance | 1,919,603 | Common | \$ 0.11 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 12/12/16 | New Issuance | 755,300 | Common | \$ 0.11 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 1/9/17 | New Issuance | 971,074 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 1/9/17 | New Issuance | 400,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/1/17 | New Issuance | 989,425 | Common | \$ 0.11 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/3/17 | New Issuance | 50,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/3/17 | New Issuance | 70,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/3/17 | Conversion | (100,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/3/17 | Conversion | 1,400,000 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/3/17 | New Issuance | 560,000 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------|----|---|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| 3/3/17 | New Issuance | 140,000 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-R Herskowitz 2011 Irrv. TR (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/10/17 | New Issuance | 400,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/21/17 | New Issuance | 355,803 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/19/17 | Conversion | (80) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Paradigm Capital Holdings (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/19/17 | Conversion | 400,000 | Common | \$ 0.08 | No | Conversion-Paradigm Capital Holdings (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/19/11 | New Issuance | 157 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.08 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/10/17 | Conversion | (125) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/10/17 | Conversion | 625,000 | Common | \$ 0.07 | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/17/17 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Common | \$ 0.07 | No | New Issuance-Omnivance Advisors LLC (6) | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/17/17 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.07 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/19/17 | New Issuance | 1,096,312 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/11/17 | Conversion | (100,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/11/17 | Conversion | 1,400,000 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/11/17 | Conversion | (100,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financial (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/11/17 | Conversion | 1,400,000 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | Conversion-Chase Financial (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/24/17 | Conversion | (125) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/24/17 | Conversion | 625,000 | Common | \$ 0.08 | No | Conversion-Navesink (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/24/17 | Conversion | (295) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Paradigm Capital Holdings (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/24/17 | Conversion | 1,475,000 | Common | \$ 0.08 | No | Conversion-Paradigm Capital Holdings (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/25/17 | New Issuance | 196 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.08 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/1/17 | New Issuance | 350,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/7/17 | New Issuance | 981,067 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/21/17 | New Issuance | 971,043 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/24/17 | New Issuance | 700,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-R Herskowitz 2011 Irrv. TR (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/24/17 | New Issuance | 50,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.07 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/24/17 | New Issuance | 50,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.07 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc (2) Profit Sh. | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/5/17 | New Issuance | 350,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/20/17 | New Issuance | 952,043 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 9/28/17 | New Issuance | 300 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.09 | No | New Issuance-Gerald Hickson | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 10/3/17 | New Issuance | 987,640 | Common | \$ 0.11 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 10/18/17 | New Issuance | 40 | Preferred "D" | \$ 0.18 | No | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners (3) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 10/23/17 | New Issuance | 991,943 | Common | \$ 0.14 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 10/23/17 | New Issuance | 210 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.14 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 11/6/17 | New Issuance | 500,000 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (2) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 11/6/17 | New Issuance | 2,878,058 | Common | \$ 0.12 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 12/4/17 | New Issuance | 1,502,294 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 12/6/17 | Conversion | (50,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 12/6/17 | Conversion | 700,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 12/12/17 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.09 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 12/19/17 | Conversion | (100,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 12/19/17 | Conversion | 1,400,000 | Common | \$ 0.08 | No | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 1/8/18 | New Issuance | 1,504,281 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 1/18/18 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.06 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------|----|---|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| 2/9/18 | New Issuance | 1,496,661 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 2/23/18 | Conversion | (100,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 2/23/18 | Conversion | 1,400,000 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 2/23/18 | Conversion | (70,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh.(2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 2/23/18 | Conversion | 980,000 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/5/18 | New Issuance | 1,510,797 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/31/18 | New Issuance | 1,521,904 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/3/18 | New Issuance | 849,123 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/16/18 | New Issuance | 1,513,789 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/16/18 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.06 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/23/18 | New Issuance | 1,039,571 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/11/18 | New Issuance | 420 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.06 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/11/18 | New Issuance | 100,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.06 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/29/18 | New Issuance | 1,985,374 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/29/18 | New Issuance | 1,550,000 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/11/18 | Conversion | (75,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/11/18 | Conversion | 1,050,000 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/30/18 | New Issuance | 14,300 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.04 | No | Immaterial reconciling items | N/A | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/30/18 | New Issuance | 10,000 | Common | \$ 0.04 | No | Immaterial reconciling items | N/A | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/3/18 | New Issuance | 1,520,646 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/30/18 | Conversion | (125) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Navesink Device Initiatives (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/30/18 | Conversion | 625,000 | Common | \$ 0.04 | No | Conversion-Navesink Device Initiatives (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/30/18 | Conversion | (125) | Preferred "C" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Navesink Device Initiatives (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/30/18 | Conversion | 625,000 | Common | \$ 0.04 | No | Conversion-Navesink Device Initiatives (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/31/18 | New Issuance | 710 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/31/18 | New Issuance | 105 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners LLC (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/31/18 | New Issuance | 50 | Preferred "D" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Navesink Device Initiatives (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/31/18 | New Issuance | 10 | Preferred "D" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 7/31/18 | New Issuance | 200,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/23/18 | Conversion | (35,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/23/18 | Conversion | 490,000 | Common | \$ 0.04 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh.(3) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/23/18 | Conversion | (50,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/23/18 | Conversion | 700,000 | Common | \$ 0.04 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 8/27/18 | New Issuance | 816,326 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 10/9/18 | New Issuance | 1,031,758 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 11/26/18 | Conversion | (50,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh.(1) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 11/26/18 | Conversion | 700,000 | Common | \$ 0.02 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (1) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 11/26/18 | Conversion | (100,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (1) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 11/26/18 | Conversion | 1,400,000 | Common | \$ 0.02 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (1) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 1/2/19 | New Issuance | 420 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.03 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 1/2/19 | New Issuance | 140 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.03 | No | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners LLC (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 1/2/19 | New Issuance | 10 | Preferred "D" | \$ 0.03 | No | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 2/5/19 | New Issuance | 5,004,552 | Common | \$ 0.10 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------|----|---|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| 2/13/19 | New Issuance | 600,000 | Common | \$ 0.02 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (2) | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/12/19 | Conversion | (100,000) | Preferred "E" | \$ - | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 3/12/19 | Conversion | 1,400,000 | Common | \$ 0.04 | No | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Share exchange | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/1/19 | New Issuance | 4,139,272 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 4/5/19 | New Issuance | 600,000 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/1/19 | New Issuance | 413,218 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/1/19 | New Issuance | 1,091,718 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/1/19 | New Issuance | 1,395,555 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. (2) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance | 420 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-LICGO Partners (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance | 140 | Preferred "C" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners LLC (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance | 10 | Preferred "D" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance | 30 | Preferred "D" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Thomas Nelson (7) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance | 15 | Preferred "D" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-JAN Stock Trust (7) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance | 15 | Preferred "D" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-KEN Stock Trust (7) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance | 175,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz (2) | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance | 150,000 | Preferred "E" | \$ 0.04 | No | New Issuance-Kenneth Schaefer | Consulting services | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/11/19 | New Issuance | 600,000 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz (3) | Financing cost | Restricted | Section 144 |
| 6/19/19 | New Issuance | 4,083,006 | Common | \$ 0.05 | No | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt (1) | Debt conversion | Restricted | Section 144 |

- (1) Alpha Capital Anstalt is an entity controlled by its Board of Directors. The managing directors are Konrad Ackerman and Nicole Feuerstein.
- (2) Chase Financing Inc. and Chase Financing Inc. Profit Sharing and 401K Plan are entities controlled by Robert Herskowitz and Mark Herskowitz.
- (3) Paradigm Capital Partners LLC, Navasink Device Initiatives LLC, Sovereign Partners LLC and LICGO Partners LLC are entities controlled, in equal parts by Alan Goddard and Michael Lichtenstein.
- (4) Cadence Holdings LLC and TPC Holdings Group LLC are entities controlled in equal parts by Steven Pollan and Daniel Meyers.
- (5) Mayer and Associates LLC is an entity controlled by Benjamin Mayer
- (6) OmniVance Advisors has ceased operations or has not notified the company of any changes. All communications from the company have gone unanswered.
- (7) Thomas Nelson and the KEN and JAN Stock Trusts are all partnerships and/or Trusts controlled by Thomas Nelson

Use the space below to provide any additional details, including footnotes to the table above:

COMMON STOCK

| Date | Description | Change in Shares | Running Total |
|-----------|--|------------------|---------------|
| 2/2/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 970,980 | 59,753,464 |
| 2/17/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,614,248 | 61,367,712 |
| 2/25/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 750,000 | 62,117,712 |
| 3/21/2016 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital Holdings | 1,400,000 | 63,517,712 |
| 3/21/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 200,000 | 63,717,712 |
| 3/29/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 404,630 | 64,122,342 |
| 3/29/2016 | New Issuance-James J Loures | 500,000 | 64,622,342 |
| 4/13/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 280,000 | 64,902,342 |
| 4/13/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 280,000 | 65,182,342 |
| 4/13/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz 2011 Irv TR | 140,000 | 65,322,342 |
| 4/13/2016 | New Issuance-Chase Financial | 148,160 | 65,470,502 |
| 4/13/2016 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 185,195 | 65,655,697 |
| 4/13/2016 | New Issuance-Andrew Schoenzeit | 37,040 | 65,692,737 |
| 4/13/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz 2011 Irv TR | 431,376 | 66,124,113 |
| 4/26/2016 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 1,837,500 | 67,961,613 |
| 4/26/2016 | Conversion-Mayer & Associates | 200,200 | 68,161,813 |
| 5/2/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 472,106 | 68,633,919 |
| 5/5/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 998,099 | 69,632,018 |
| 5/17/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 422,669 | 70,054,687 |

| | | | |
|------------|---|-----------|-------------|
| 5/17/2016 | New Issuance-Navesink | 625.000 | 70.679.687 |
| 5/18/2016 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 525.000 | 71.204.687 |
| 5/18/2016 | Conversion-Mayer & Associates | 220.000 | 71.424.687 |
| 6/1/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 814.314 | 72.239.001 |
| 6/6/2016 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 1.000.000 | 73.239.001 |
| 6/6/2016 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 1.050.000 | 74.289.001 |
| 6/6/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 280.000 | 74.569.001 |
| 6/6/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz 2011 Irv TR | 70.000 | 74.639.001 |
| 6/8/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 484.148 | 75.123.149 |
| 6/27/2016 | New Issuance-Navesink | 625.000 | 75.748.149 |
| 7/18/2016 | New Issuance-Cadence Holdings LLC | 100.000 | 75.848.149 |
| 7/18/2016 | New Issuance-TPC Holdings Group | 150.000 | 75.998.149 |
| 7/21/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 700.000 | 76.698.149 |
| 7/21/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz 2011 Irv TR | 70.000 | 76.768.149 |
| 7/21/2016 | New Issuance-Chase Financial | 945.000 | 77.713.149 |
| 8/2/2016 | New Issuance-Navesink | 625.000 | 78.338.149 |
| 8/29/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 954.925 | 79.293.074 |
| 9/7/2016 | New Issuance-Chase Financial | 945.000 | 80.238.074 |
| 9/19/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 521.784 | 80.759.858 |
| 9/19/2016 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 805.147 | 81.565.005 |
| 9/19/2016 | New Issuance-Marc Berger | 400.000 | 81.965.005 |
| 11/21/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 957.485 | 82.922.490 |
| 12/6/2016 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 962.118 | 83.884.608 |
| 12/12/2016 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 755.300 | 84.639.908 |
| 1/9/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 971.074 | 85.610.982 |
| 1/9/2017 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 400.000 | 86.010.982 |
| 3/1/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 989.425 | 87.000.407 |
| 3/3/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financial | 1.400.000 | 88.400.407 |
| 3/3/2017 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 560.000 | 88.960.407 |
| 3/3/2017 | New Issuance-R Herskowitz 2011 Irrv. TR | 140.000 | 89.100.407 |
| 3/10/2017 | Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 400.000 | 89.500.407 |
| 3/21/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 355.803 | 89.856.210 |
| 4/19/2017 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital Holdings | 400.000 | 90.256.210 |
| 5/10/2017 | New Issuance-Navesink | 625.000 | 90.881.210 |
| 5/17/2017 | New Issuance-OmniVance Advisors LLC | 100.000 | 90.981.210 |
| 6/19/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1.096.312 | 92.077.522 |
| 7/11/2017 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 1.400.000 | 93.477.522 |
| 7/11/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financial | 1.400.000 | 94.877.522 |
| 7/24/2017 | New Issuance-Navesink | 625.000 | 95.502.522 |
| 7/24/2017 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital Holdings | 1.475.000 | 96.977.522 |
| 8/1/2017 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 350.000 | 97.327.522 |
| 8/7/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 981.067 | 98.308.589 |
| 8/21/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 971.043 | 99.279.632 |
| 8/24/2017 | New Issuance-R Herskowitz 2011 Irrv. TR | 700.000 | 99.979.632 |
| 9/5/2017 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 350.000 | 100.329.632 |
| 9/20/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 952.043 | 101.281.675 |
| 10/3/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 987.640 | 102.269.315 |
| 10/23/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 991.943 | 103.261.258 |
| 11/6/2017 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 500.000 | 103.761.258 |
| 11/6/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 2.878.058 | 106.639.316 |
| 12/4/2017 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1.502.294 | 108.141.610 |
| 12/6/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc | 700.000 | 108.841.610 |

| | | | |
|------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| 12/12/2017 | New Issuance-Scott J Weiner | 1,000,000 | 109,841,610 |
| 12/19/2017 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 1,400,000 | 111,241,610 |
| 12/31/2017 | Cancellation-Scott J Weiner | (1,000,000) | 110,241,610 |
| 1/8/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,504,281 | 111,745,891 |
| 2/9/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,496,661 | 113,242,552 |
| 2/23/2018 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 1,400,000 | 114,642,552 |
| 2/23/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 980,000 | 115,622,552 |
| 3/5/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,510,797 | 117,133,349 |
| 4/2/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,521,904 | 118,655,253 |
| 4/3/2018 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 849,123 | 119,504,376 |
| 4/16/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,513,789 | 121,018,165 |
| 4/23/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,039,571 | 122,057,736 |
| 5/29/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,985,374 | 124,043,110 |
| 5/29/2018 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 1,550,000 | 125,593,110 |
| 6/11/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 1,050,000 | 126,643,110 |
| 7/3/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,520,646 | 128,163,756 |
| 7/30/2018 | New Issuance-WilCo | 625,000 | 128,788,756 |
| 7/30/2018 | New Issuance-WilCo | 625,000 | 129,413,756 |
| 8/23/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 490,000 | 129,903,756 |
| 8/23/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing | 700,000 | 130,603,756 |
| 8/27/2018 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 816,326 | 131,420,082 |
| 10/9/2018 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 1,031,758 | 132,451,840 |
| 11/26/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 700,000 | 133,151,840 |
| 11/26/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 1,400,000 | 134,551,840 |
| 2/5/2019 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 5,004,552 | 139,556,392 |
| 2/13/2019 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 600,000 | 140,156,392 |
| 3/12/2019 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 1,400,000 | 141,556,392 |
| 4/1/19 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 4,139,272 | 145,695,664 |
| 4/5/19 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 600,000 | 146,295,664 |
| 5/1/19 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc | 413,218 | 146,708,882 |
| 5/1/19 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 1,091,718 | 147,800,600 |
| 5/1/19 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 1,395,555 | 149,196,155 |
| 6/11/19 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | 600,000 | 149,796,155 |
| 6/19/19 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | 4,083,006 | 153,879,161 |

PREFERRED B STOCK

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Change in Shares</u> | <u>Running Total</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 3/23/2011* | New Issuance-Centurion Credit Resources | 1,000 | 1,000 |

(*) These shares were placed on Stop Transfer in 2016 due to criminal action against the parent company of the holder. In 2017 the Company's Board of Directors canceled these shares.

PREFERRED C STOCK

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Change in Shares</u> | <u>Running Total</u> |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 3/21/2016 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital | 800 | 4,885 |
| 4/26/2016 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 1,050 | 5,935 |
| 4/26/2016 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital | 325 | 6,260 |
| 5/17/2016 | Conversion-Navesink Device | (125) | 6,135 |
| 5/18/2016 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 300 | 6,435 |
| 5/18/2016 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital | 50 | 6,485 |

| | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| 6/27/2016 | Conversion-Navesink Device | (125) | 6,360 |
| 8/2/2016 | Conversion-Navesink Device | (125) | 6,235 |
| 4/19/2017 | Conversion-Paradigm Capital | (80) | 6,155 |
| 4/19/2017 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 157 | 6,312 |
| 5/10/2017 | Conversion-Navesink Device | (125) | 6,187 |
| 7/24/2017 | Conversion-Navesink Device | (125) | 6,062 |
| 7/24/2017 | Conversion-Paradigm Capital | (295) | 5,767 |
| 7/25/2017 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 196 | 5,963 |
| 9/28/2017 | New Issuance-Gerald Hickson | 300 | 6,263 |
| 10/23/2017 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 210 | 6,473 |
| 1/18/2018 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 210 | 6,683 |
| 5/11/2018 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 210 | 6,893 |
| 7/30/2018 | Conversion-Navesink Device | (125) | 6,768 |
| 7/30/2018 | Conversion-Navesink Device | (125) | 6,643 |
| 7/31/2018 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 500 | 7,143 |
| 7/31/2018 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 210 | 7,353 |
| 7/31/2018 | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners LLC | 105 | 7,458 |
| 1/2/2019 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 420 | 7,878 |
| 1/2/2019 | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners LLC | 140 | 8,018 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | 420 | 8,438 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners LLC | 140 | 8,578 |

PREFERRED D STOCK

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Change in Shares</u> | <u>Running Total</u> |
|-------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 12/31/2017 | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners | 40 | 40 |
| 7/31/2018 | New Issuance-Navesink Device Initiatives | 50 | 90 |
| 7/31/2018 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital | 10 | 100 |
| 1/2/2019 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital | 10 | 110 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital | 10 | 120 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance-Thomas Nelson | 30 | 150 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance-JAN Stock Trust | 15 | 165 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance-KEN Stock Trust | 15 | 180 |

PREFERRED E STOCK

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Change in Shares</u> | <u>Running Total</u> |
|-------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 2/25/2016 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 100.000 | 787,540 |
| 3/21/2016 | New Issuance-Mayer & Associates | 14,300 | 801,840 |
| 4/26/2016 | Conversion-Mayer & Associates | (14,300) | 787,540 |
| 4/26/2016 | New Issuance-Mayer & Associates | 14,300 | 801,840 |
| 5/18/2016 | Conversion-Mayer & Associates | (14,300) | 787,540 |
| 6/6/2016 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz 401K Trust | 100.000 | 887,540 |
| 6/6/2016 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 35,000 | 922,540 |
| 6/6/2016 | New Issuance-Chase Financing | 100,000 | 1,022,540 |
| 6/6/2016 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | (75,000) | 947,540 |
| 7/21/2016 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc | (67,500) | 880,040 |
| 7/21/2016 | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz | (30,000) | 850,040 |
| 9/7/2016 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc | (67,500) | 782,540 |
| 9/19/2016 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 75,000 | 857,540 |
| 1/9/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 105,000 | 962,540 |
| 3/3/2017 | Cancellation | (105,000) | 857,540 |
| 3/3/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financing | 50,000 | 907,540 |
| 3/3/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 70,000 | 977,540 |
| 3/3/2017 | Conversion-Chase Financing | (100,000) | 877,540 |
| 5/17/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financing | 100,000 | 977,540 |
| 7/11/2017 | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz | (100,000) | 877,540 |
| 7/11/2017 | Conversion-Chase Financing | (100,000) | 777,540 |
| 8/24/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financing | 50,000 | 827,540 |
| 8/24/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 50,000 | 877,540 |
| 12/6/2017 | New Issuance-Chase Financing | (50,000) | 827,540 |
| 12/12/2017 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 100,000 | 927,540 |

| | | | |
|------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| 12/19/2017 | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz | (100,000) | 827,540 |
| 1/18/2018 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 100,000 | 927,540 |
| 2/23/2018 | Conversion-Robert Herskowitz | (100,000) | 827,540 |
| 2/23/2018 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | (70,000) | 757,540 |
| 4/16/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 100,000 | 857,540 |
| 5/11/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 100,000 | 957,540 |
| 6/11/2018 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | (75,000) | 882,540 |
| 7/31/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 100,000 | 982,540 |
| 7/31/2018 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | 100,000 | 1,082,540 |
| 8/23/2018 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | (35,000) | 1,047,540 |
| 8/23/2018 | Conversion-Chase Financing | (50,000) | 997,540 |
| 11/26/2018 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | (50,000) | 947,540 |
| 11/26/2018 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | (100,000) | 847,540 |
| 3/12/2019 | Conversion-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | (100,000) | 747,540 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | 175,000 | 922,540 |
| 5/8/19 | New Issuance-Kenneth Schaefer | 150,000 | 1,072,540 |

B. Debt Securities, Including Promissory and Convertible Notes

Use the chart and additional space below to list and describe any issuance of promissory notes, convertible notes or convertible debentures in the past two completed fiscal years and any subsequent interim period. See Table below.

Check this box if there are no outstanding promissory, convertible notes or debt arrangements:

| Date of Note Issuance | Outstanding Balance (\$) | Principal Amount at Issuance (\$) | Interest Accrued (\$) | Maturity Date | Conversion Terms (e.g. pricing mechanism for determining conversion of instrument to shares) | Name of Noteholder | Reason for Issuance (e.g. Loan, Services, etc.) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| 03/29/2016 | - | 316,250.00 | - | 3/28/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 04/21/2016 | - | 460,005.75 | - | 4/20/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 05/13/2016 | - | 307,055.75 | - | 5/12/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 09/16/2016 | - | 402,505.75 | - | 9/15/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 12/31/2016 | - | 345,005.75 | - | 12/30/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 08/16/2017 | - | 345,005.75 | - | 8/15/18 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 11/06/2017 | - | 362,382.25 | - | 11/5/18 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 12/31/2017 | - | 402,505.75 | - | 12/30/18 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 05/22/2018 | - | 431,382.25 | - | 5/21/19 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 10/05/2018 | 10,152.25 | 230,005.75 | - | 10/4/19 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 03/22/2019 | 287,505.75 | 287,505.75 | - | 3/21/20 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 6/18/2019 | 250,010.00 | 250,010.00 | 4,226 | 6/17/2020 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Alpha Credit Anstalt (1) | Loan Services |
| 08/24/2017 | - | 287,500.00 | - | 8/23/18 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Navesink (3) | Loan Services |
| 04/08/2016 | - | 345.00 | - | 4/7/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 04/14/2016 | - | 115.00 | - | 4/13/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 04/22/2016 | - | 57,523.00 | - | 4/21/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 05/26/2016 | - | 126.50 | - | 5/25/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 06/01/2016 | - | 172,615.00 | - | 5/31/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 06/02/2016 | - | 57,615.00 | - | 6/1/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 09/30/2016 | - | 28,750.00 | - | 9/29/17 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |

| | | | | | | | |
|------------|------------|------------|---|---------|--|-------------------|---------------|
| 09/30/2017 | - | 86,001.15 | - | 9/29/18 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 11/03/2017 | - | 23,379.50 | - | 11/2/18 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 01/18/2018 | | 138.00 | - | 1/17/19 | Convertible into common shares at \$.102/share on due date | Robert Herskowitz | Loan Services |
| 03/31/2019 | 108,000.00 | 108,000.00 | - | 3/30/20 | Inventory revolving line of credit | American Express | Loan Services |

Use the space below to provide any additional details, including footnotes to the table above:

- (1) Alpha Capital Anstalt is an entity controlled by its Board of Directors. The managing directors are Konrad Ackerman and Nicole Feuerstein.
- (2) Chase Financing Inc. and Chase Financing Inc. Profit Sharing and 401K Plan are entities controlled by Robert Herskowitz.
- (3) Paradigm Capital Partners LLC, Navasink Device Initiatives LLC, Sovereign Partners LLC and LICGO Partners LLC are entities controlled, in equal parts by Alan Goddard and Michael Lichtenstein.

| Notes payable - Convertible | Date | | Account | Amount | OID @ 15% | Total Investment |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--|---|---------------|--------------|------------------|
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 04/21/2016 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 400,005.00 | \$ 60,000.75 | \$ 460,005.75 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 05/13/2016 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 267,005.00 | \$ 40,050.75 | \$ 307,055.75 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 09/16/2016 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 350,005.00 | \$ 52,500.75 | \$ 402,505.75 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 12/23/2016 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 300,005.00 | \$ 45,000.75 | \$ 345,005.75 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 08/16/2017 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 300,005.00 | \$ 45,000.75 | \$ 345,005.75 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 11/06/2017 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 315,115.00 | \$ 47,267.25 | \$ 362,382.25 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 05/22/2018 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 375,115.00 | \$ 56,267.25 | \$ 431,382.25 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 10/05/2018 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 200,005.00 | \$ 30,000.75 | \$ 230,005.75 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 10/05/2018 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 200,005.00 | \$ 30,000.75 | \$ 230,005.75 |
| Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | 6/18/2019 | | Notes payable - Convertible:Note Payable - Alpha Credit Anstalt | \$ 250,010.00 | \$ 37,500.00 | \$ 287,510.00 |

4) Financial Statements

A. The following financial statements were prepared in accordance with:

- U.S. GAAP
 IFRS

B. The financial statements for this reporting period were prepared by (name of individual)⁴:

Name: Keith M. Berman
Title: CEO & CFO
Relationship to Issuer: Officer & Director

Provide the financial statements described below for the most recent fiscal year or quarter. For the initial disclosure statement (qualifying for Pink Current Information for the first time) please provide reports for the two previous fiscal years and any subsequent interim periods.

⁴ The financial statements requested pursuant to this item must be prepared in accordance with US GAAP or IFRS by persons with sufficient financial skills.

- C. Balance sheet;
- D. Statement of income;
- E. Statement of cash flows;
- F. Financial notes; and
- G. Audit letter, if audited

You may either (i) attach/append the financial statements to this disclosure statement or (ii) file the financial statements through OTCIQ as a separate report using the appropriate report name for the applicable period end. (“Annual Report,” “Quarterly Report” or “Interim Report”).

If you choose to publish the financial statements in a separate report as described above, you must state in the accompanying disclosure statement that such financial statements are incorporated by reference. You may reference the document(s) containing the required financial statements by indicating the document name, period end date, and the date that it was posted to OTCIQ in the field below.

Financial statement information is considered current until the due date for the subsequent report (as set forth in the qualifications section above). To remain qualified for Current Information, a company must post its Annual Report within 90 days from its fiscal year-end date and Quarterly Reports within 45 days of each fiscal quarter-end date.

See documents attached hereto.

5) Issuer’s Business, Products and Services

The purpose of this section is to provide a clear description of the issuer’s current operations. In answering this item, please include the following:

- A. Summarize the issuer’s business operations (If the issuer does not have current operations, state “no operations”)

Overview

Decision Diagnostics Corp. is a worldwide prescription and non-prescription diagnostics and home testing products distributor and the manufacturer of GenUltimate! glucose test strips, a Class II medical device for at-home use for the measurement of glucose, the PetSure! glucose test strip for the glucose testing of dogs and cats, a test strip designed to work with the Zoetis AlphaTrak and AlphaTrak II glucometers, a legacy meter, and the GenUltimate! 4Pets Glucose system a proprietary glucose measuring system inclusive of the company’s GenUltimate! 4Pets test strip and Advantage meter, for the testing of dogs, cats and horses. The company also has its GenSure! glucose test strip, a product for off-shore sales which is complete and available for sales, and has recently begun Definitive Agreement writing with a large Eastern European distributor for the distribution of GenSure! and the International version of our GenPrecis! product (HCT correction channel deactivated). Both of these products will primarily be sold as an international private label market entry. GenSure!, GenPrecis! and their legacy glucometers that accept this test strip no longer meet most International (ISO) standards but still meet Eastern European standards.

In addition, the company’s GenChoice! glucose test strip has completed its clinical trials and filed a 510K application with the U.S. FDA for its clearance. The company has already had six formal communications with the FDA, inclusive of a face-to-face meeting with FDA management and the review staff. The ball is now in the company’s court. Regarding additional product development, the company has completed advanced development work and third party testing of its GenUltimate! TBG test strip and Precise meter and are ready to begin patient testing (first level clinical trials) in the next 45 days. In association with the company’s advanced development engineers, company CEO Keith Berman asked the engineers to change the chemistry foundation of the GenUltimate! TBG system to work in an identical manner with the company’s GenUltimate test strip, same chemistry, same family of meters, thereby allowing the company to offer three products, each serving its own somewhat unique purposes, all running on the same test strip foundation, an FDA cleared device. The TGB enhanced version of GenUltimate! will be named GenUltimate! Premier and will go on sale commercially in September 2019 with substantially higher MSRP, Big Box, and wholesale pricing. The company will continue to sell its GenUltimate! product in existing markets.

GenUltimate![®] & GenUltimate![®] TBG



As off-shore products GenSure! and GenPrecis! are test strips that run on four existing legacy meters, and will only be sold in select international markets, primarily because there are no USA markets for either test strip, and in markets where the product will not encounter certain performance criteria issues created by the legacy metering platforms that the GenSure! and GenPrecis! test strips run on. The GenSure! product in particular, although sharing many similarities with the company's GenUltimate! product, does not have the capability of chemistry or feature upgrade and as a result is viewed in the market and by DECN as a small niche product. However, manufacturing the GenSure! product will allow the company to continue manufacturing the existing GenUltimate! test strip which will use the same manufacturing line. The GenPrecis! product has more potential in that it is capable of having portions of the company's TGB technology added-in. Further, there is not nor ever has there been a market in the U.S. for GenSure! and the legacy manufacturer is pulling the legacy predicate product out of the EU and those countries that follow the guidance of ISO 15197:2013 and ISO 15197:2015. We have identified international distributors for this product but the international markets for GenSure! had recently become limited, until just recently. Nonetheless having a finished product is better than having no product at all, so the company will continue to perform compatibility testing with the family of legacy meters as we grow our relationship with the large Eastern European distributor.

Resources permitting, as 2019 progresses, we intend to register the company's GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products in the EU, because these products do meet ISO guidelines without further development. The GenUltimate! TBG meter, which will undergo 510K prosecution for its metering system, is next up for FDA clearance. The test strip, a close relative of the company's GenUltimate! test strip which is already FDA cleared. The company has contracted with the expert organization that is writing the 510K document and a credentialed IRB who completed the GenChoice! clinical trial data and who will follow the same clearance path for the GenUltimate! TBG system.

The U.S. FDA, in a manner similar to prescription drugs, regulates diagnostic test kits and at-home patient testing products in a similar but somewhat streamlined process, to the regulation of prescription medicine. The regulatory standard used for the Genstrip 50 was the 510k pre-market and post-market processes. The same process was used for the GenChoice! product and will be used for GenUltimate! TBG products beginning with the 510k clearance with the FDA during the summer of 2019. Both the GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products will be sold internationally while the U.S. FDA 510k applications are pending. This is a process that several American manufacturers are following to get market penetration in advance of the slower moving FDA 510K clearance process.

Previous to the company becoming a research, development and manufacturing company, we did play a small part in the distribution of legacy diabetic test strips and meters. From 2005 and until 2013, the company contracted with independent pharmacies for use of their prescription drug distribution licenses. At that time the company made market and sold brand name over the counter pharmaceutical items with a concentration in legacy diabetic test strips. The brand name products we distributed, for the most part, did not require a doctor's prescription for anything other than insurance benefit compliance. Our previous business model worked well in the previous regulated environment, although the financial benefits were stressed by major changes made to the Federal Medicare plan that have led to substantially lower rates of reimbursement and ultimately an unprofitable business model. The company's current business model is to provide its own technologies, competing against legacy manufacturers on the basis of lower price and elevated product performance.

Our Current Business Foundation

Our subsidiaries, Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc., PDA Services, Inc. and PharmaTech Sensor Development Corp. operate in several healthcare products channels. In addition our subsidiary Decision IT Corp. engages in the acquisition and holding of Intellectual Property including Patents and Trademarks and specialty manufacturing equipment acquired for our Korean contract manufacturer of our GenUltimate! as well as our GenSure! and GenChoice! products. Our newest subsidiary Pharmatech Sensor Development Corp. manages our investment in specialty manufacturing machinery and testing laboratories, as well as an inventory credit line to finance inventory purchases of our GenUltimate! and PetSure! products. The company will endeavor to expand the credit line for the management of our GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products in 2019. The company has discontinued its earlier GenStrip 50 product

and ended the selling of the last of the inventory in November 2016. All of the GenStrip 50 test strips have subsequently reached expiration dates.

In March 2017 the company was approached by its Korean partner, The Bio Co., Ltd to design and fund a new product which the company calls GenUltimate! TBG. This product represents a major improvement in diabetic glucose monitoring. The GenUltimate! TBG system will be the first of its kind +/- 8% system. Current ISO (2015) and FDA (2014) guidelines call for glucose monitoring systems to meet a +/- 15% standard, whereby the meter and strip must be within +/- 15% of a reference method in repeated testings 95% of the time. GenUltimate! and GenChoice! are +/- 15% test strips, but in each case 97+% of the time in repeated samplings. GenUltimate! TBG is designed to meet the written standards of the ISO and FDA at +/- 8%, 97% of the time – effectively setting a new standard. The company has been funding the development of this system product since 2017, as well as a test strip only derivative version for use with a legacy meter sold overseas. In October 2018 the company implemented a strategic change to its development and manufacturing processes whereby we will standardize around two technology foundations, our GenUltimate! technology and our GenChoice! technology. PetSure! was the first marketable product to make use of the GenChoice! technology foundation, and is currently selling in pet testing channels in the USA, Canada and the EU. GenUltimate! TBG will be the first enhancement of our GenUltimate! technology foundation. The company believes that these changes in our product development processes will lead to quicker to market products and streamlined and less costly manufacturing processes. .



As of this writing, GenUltimate! TBG system is not yet available for sale or distribution in the U.S. or Puerto Rico.

From time to time, when economic conditions warrant and given market conditions, we distribute other brand name prescription and non-prescription diagnostics products, as well as several lines of ostomy, wound care and post-surgery medical products, although these healthcare channels have also undergone two major market changes and disruptions since July 2013. Until these markets “settle down,” if they ever do, we have determined that we will maintain our contacts but currently refrain from competing.

Our main product was the Genstrip 50 and its successor brand the GenUltimate!, both of improved performance and design improvements and a rebranding and development (from scratch) of the original Shasta Technologies Genstrip. Both of these glucose test strips are of our design and manufacture. We have maintained FDA registered contract manufacturers in Pennsylvania and South Korea. We ended our association with the contract manufacturer in Pennsylvania as of March 31, 2017. The original GenStrip was cleared for market by the FDA on November 30, 2012. By virtue of our written agreements with Shasta in 2011, we were granted an irrevocable license to prosecute their 510k application with the U.S. FDA, and we succeeded. This was no small feat. We introduced the original Genstrip in March 2013. We then acquired Genstrip from Shasta Technologies LLC on March 20, 2014 and in late June 2014 we made the first branding changes owing to Shasta’s poisoned relationship with the FDA. We began work on the GenUltimate! product in July 2015 and introduced this test strip (vs. our GenStrip) in April 2016. The original Shasta Genstrip and our Genstrip 50 have been discontinued. All GenUltimate! product is manufactured to our specifications and under our oversight in Korea.

Historical Construct

Shasta Technologies LLC, the original specifications provider of GenStrip, had an extremely difficult relationship with the US FDA and was the subject of a detailed and damning FDA (Enforcement) Warning Letter on April 8, 2014, and when they refused to respond to this Warning Letter in an expected fashion, the FDA then broadcast a worldwide Safety Notice on April 29, 2014, the FDA version of the Death Penalty. This second letter effectively ended Shasta’s ability to be a product design specifier and manufacturer, due to a total lack of regulatory adherence in the highly regulated medical device industry. It is confusing to consider what Shasta could have possibly been thinking. The company’s acquisition of Genstrip (now GenUltimate!) was fortuitous in its timing given the finality and outcome of Shasta Technologies’ fatal troubles with the FDA. Shasta contested our acquisition and took other legal steps against their FDA lawyer and in retaliation against the company. During the efficacy of these litigations we had success in the courts. In

December 2018 we received a grant of a judgment against Shasta for \$3.6 million. We perfected this judgment in California, Pennsylvania, Oregon (Shasta's domicile) and Minnesota. As we began levy against Shasta we accepted offer of Settlement. As a result of this Settlement we were able to value our acquisition in the period ended June 30, 2019. A substantial gain occurred and is fully described in our 2Q 2019 financials.

The worldwide market for at-home blood glucose testing is an estimated \$17.2 billion as of 2017, inclusive of the 2013 and 2016 changes to the Federal Medicare programs which gutted almost one-third of the U.S. market. The current GenUltimate! competes directly with one of the largest worldwide platform manufacturers the venerable Johnson & Johnson Lifescan Inc. Ultra legacy product. J&J, which had owned Lifescan for more than 25 years, recently sold its Lifescan division and its venerable products to Platinum, a private equity firm. GenUltimate! (and the earlier GenStrip 50) were developed for use with the Lifescan OneTouch Ultra legacy system for at-home blood glucose testing, a system currently used daily by over 3 million diabetes afflicted Americans and 5.8 million diabetics world-wide. GenUltimate! competes in the overall at-home testing market by offering an economical solution to former users of the legacy platform provider's product. The company's GenUltimate! product is a much improved version. Our business model is unique to this market channel as our major business focus is directed toward diabetics who have attempted a change of their glucose monitoring platforms (systems) or those currently using the J&J legacy products but are dealing with escalating prices and lower (if any) insurance reimbursements. At the time of the introduction of GenStrip in March 2013, J&J controlled just under 40% of this market and 100% of its own Lifescan, Inc. OneTouch Ultra market. Their overall market share has since dropped below 30%. In October 2018 Lifescan, Inc. was sold to a large California based private equity firm in an asset sale arrangement. This event gave impetus to the changes we have made to our GenUltimate! TBG system, the first and only evolutionary enhancement to be offered to the Lifescan Ultra family of products still in use by over 4 million diabetics worldwide (see Litigation section).

Throughout 2012 in anticipation of the introduction of Genstrip, we evaluated our brand-name distribution model, a model that provided streams of revenue but extremely low profit margins, and over the course of the last 36 months we phased out sales of those brand name products that had been a backbone of our distribution business. In addition the brand name products distribution business created a situation where we had been distributing legacy products that competed directly with our GenUltimate! Phasing out these brand name products lowered our order (revenues) intake but allowed us to become a manufacturer, at a higher level in the greater market channel. The company will continue to direct its marketing efforts to ambulatory and semi-ambulatory older Americans afflicted with diabetes and complications caused by diabetes and old age.

We began our transition into these medical products channels on November 1, 2011 when we completed the acquisition of Diagnostic Newco LLC from its owner Kimberly Binder. Diagnostic Newco LLC was a design company that specialized in product packaging design, medical products advertising design and graphic art. Ms. Binder subsequently joined the staff of the company's Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary specifically for these purposes, and has worked closely with the contract manufacturers for GenUltimate!, making subtle changes to packaging design and more recently integrating the new FDA UDI product identification data system, among other responsibilities. She is also responsible for the package design for new diagnostic products the company is currently working on, including the GenSure! and the upcoming GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products. Ms. Binder is also owner of Genstrip Direct LLC and Full Circle Diabetes LLC, her own distribution companies, which she operates separately from her (Decision Diagnostics Corp. and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc.) company related responsibilities.

We also intend to acquire additional private companies, or partner with small engineering companies that have developed technology requiring either regulatory approval, distribution expertise or both. The market for diabetes testing products is already in the tens of billions of dollars continues to grow rapidly. We have earmarked additional capital investment in 2019 for our Korean contract manufacturer and advanced development partner, who recently opened a second manufacturing line, primarily for the manufacture of GenUltimate! and its offspring, the GenUltimate! 4Pets and the GenUltimate TBG.

The company's current proprietary product offering, cleared by the FDA for commercial distribution on November 30, 2012, and now in its later branded version, the GenUltimate! blood glucose diagnostic test strip for at-home testing. Genstrip, the original product, is a product originally conceived by Shasta Technologies LLC, who proved incapable of attaining the necessary regulatory approvals after two attempts, 2009 and 2010/2011. In addition the original Shasta concept could not clear the FDA 510K process on the basis of performance, and had to undergo major design changes and a new 510K application that was eventually sponsored by us. The original Shasta product was acquired by our Pharma Tech subsidiary on March 20, 2014, and fits into a diagnostic product niche, fitting nicely into the world-wide self-test (home test) market that has been growing at a 15% annual rate. Since GenUltimate! is a rather unique product offering, employing a brand name razor blade only model (diagnostic test strip) into a razor (diagnostic meter) -- razor blade (diagnostic test strip) market, the Genstrip 510(k) application made for unusual challenges for the FDA and an educational challenge and opportunity for the company. In fact, the company only concluded its dealings with the FDA in March 2016, but has had subsequent issues pre and post market review staff, an on-going process that was begun on a very sour note by Shasta in October 2009 and ended even more sourly in early 2014. The company believes that upcoming product offerings such as the GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products, which will also be regulated by the FDA but we hope will go through a much smoother review and comment process,

particularly since receipt of a directed landmark ruling by the U.S. FDA, covering our third party developed diagnostics (developed, in development and to be developed). Since the company plans additional similar products in the future for other diagnostic platforms, in fact a product announced still in the current reporting year, the Genstrip/GenUltimate! experience, however slow and unresponsive it was, has provided lessons and experience which is already being put to use. The FDA, however, is accountable to no third party and while we did win major victories and we did deal with certain biases and retaliation during the GenStrip 510K prosecution, we have not yet seen this type of treatment for our GenChoice! product. However, we remain ever vigilant and continue to retain litigation counsel.

Until our receipt of the March 2016 ruling from the FDA, two years (and growing) was a standard development to market timeline for in-vitro diagnostic products similar to Genstrip/GenUltimate! In fact the long review periods and stifling performance standards established have contributed to a large decline in new products offerings in the USA and the industry since 2014. Nonetheless, we are confident that our new products will enjoy a speedier FDA review process. As a result of previous delays and failures by Shasta Technologies in completing its FDA 510k approval application, and then problems Shasta encountered in prosecuting its two original applications with FDA staff, the company changed its contractual responsibilities and obligations in June 2011 to include program management, regulatory process management, management of the manufacturing forecasting and distribution processes, and new products planning and development. Further (eventually fatal) on-going problems encountered by Shasta, which on their face proved irresolvable, presented the company with an opportunity that we seized. On March 20, 2014 our Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary acquired the intellectual property, the marks, and the GenStrip cleared 510(k). Subsequently we accomplished a rebranding of the original Genstrip product (as GenUltimate!), built manufacturing protocols, implemented a robust Quality System throughout 2014 and 2015, and then developed the improved GenUltimate! product. In 2019 we again improved GenUltimate!. GenUltimate! has become the only version of the original Genstrip line that will be packaged to conform with the FDA UDI standards, and was released as UDI compliant as of September 24, 2016. Manufacturing of Genstrip 50 ended and on-going sales continued under the GenUltimate! brand, and includes the FDA UDI packaging.

In June 2010 the company was approached by the largest retailer in the world for the distribution and sale of the Genstrip product, then about to enter the 510k regulatory review process, at over 5,000 retail stores worldwide. A contract with this retailer was negotiated in September 2010 and subsequently renegotiated and renewed in April 2011, and as soon as the retail contract was agreed to and as a means to conduct market research, the company began seeking pre-conditioned letters of intent (pre-orders) for Genstrip, while continuing the prosecution of the 510(k) application on behalf of Shasta Technologies before the FDA. Discussions with this retailer and other similarly situated retailers had been on a litigation induced hiatus since our litigation with Lifescan, Inc. began in earnest in March 2013. Lifescan Inc., until October 2018, the diabetes testing division of Johnson & Johnson sued the company in three separate suits, all in Federal court, beginning in September 2011. These suits proved costly in that their intended purpose was to keep the Genstrip product off of retail market shelves. Until these suits were settled in May 2016, the company's marketing abilities were severely limited. In fact, even as of this writing, the company faces market obstacles brought about by the original litigation with Lifescan, Inc. The company believes there will be additional limitations as long as Johnson & Johnson and/or their successors spend large sums to discredit the company and its products. However, it should be noted that Johnson & Johnson announced in January 2018 that their entire diabetic business (three divisions, multiple products) had been put up for sale, and offers for some or all of their businesses had been received. The sale closed in October 2018 with the completion of an asset sale to a large California based private equity firm.

The settlements we did achieve with J&J provided a hard-fought victory for the company, particularly since in 2015 Shasta had admitted to patent infringements of all three J&J diabetic medical device patents that were being adjudicated. We settled these lawsuits in a novel manner, where Johnson & Johnson paid the company a settlement amount in cash, in those lawsuits where the company was a defendant, a rarity in matters where the Plaintiff (J&J) had initiated the strike suit in the first place. J&J, as a part of the settlement, also granted the company licenses to three J&J patents (including one patent that J&J subsequently lost as a result of 3rd party prosecution by the company, through final action by the US Supreme Court), the larger value gained from this 5-year legal battle. In March 2016, prior to its settlement, the company's Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. and Decision IT Corp. subsidiaries brought suit against Lifescan, Inc. in Nevada Federal court for patent infringement, the company alleging that Lifescan, Inc.'s OneTouch Ultra product was and had been infringing both of the company's patents. In March 2017, after a protracted battle with J&J where they tried to invalidate the company's lawsuit, the court in a major ruling agreed that the company will be allowed to move forward (a major victory so early in the suit) and will also be allowed to allege the Doctrine of Equivalents, a legal doctrine that would preclude J&J from twisting words through its pleadings and expert reports to escape justice. In April 2016 the company amended its original suit to include allegations under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

"The doctrine of equivalents is a legal rule in many (but not all) of the world's patent systems that allows a court to hold a party liable for patent infringement even though the infringing device or process does not fall within the literal scope of a patent claim, but nevertheless is equivalent to the claimed invention(s)."

Further, in January 2016 the US Supreme Court ruled that the Doctrine of Laches, a defense used by many Defendants in patent infringement suits could no longer be used. This ruling further deprived J&J of one of its most important defenses against the company's current patent infringement claims. All of this action did not dissuade the Nevada District Court trial judge from granting J&J a Motion for Summary Judgment in October 2018. As a result of this ruling, the company filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, DC (the patent court). That appeal is nearing the point where a court ordered mediation and oral arguments are to be scheduled. We currently await this scheduling.

The Current Business

Currently the diabetes testing market is dominated by four large pharmaceutical manufacturers who provide very similar and equally focused products, selling at essentially equal prices. Our Genstrip's original introduction, even with the fits and starts, employed a business model different than those models employed by the major market players. Recent successes in the on-line marketplace has allowed the company to alter the market dynamics, lowering average price (which has occurred) or allowing for increased testing by diabetics for a lesser price, thereby affecting all market segments. The company's major current market focus is to pharmacy chains, grocery chains with in-store pharmacies, large all-purpose retailers with in-store pharmacies, and group buying and chain pharmacy organizations, and for its pet testing products, on-line sales and chain pet supply stores and retail pet outlets. Although this has been part of the company's plans in the recent past, the difficult litigation with Johnson & Johnson as well as the advent of the July 2013 and July 2016 changes to Medicare reimbursement (and followed by private insurers) and the October 2016 reimbursement engineering, pharmacy business models are now blurred. Thus the company successfully added on-line sales to its business model.

The company has also implemented a very successful "direct to diabetic" business model and has (independently or along with our distributors) executed on-line agreements with several of the largest retail chains, diabetic supply co-operatives, group purchasing organizations, as well as on-line mass merchandisers such as Amazon.com, EBay, Walmart, Sears, Jet.com and approximately 1050 other on-line cooperatives and product aggregators. The company considers this rapid adoption to be a huge success gained in a very short period of time.

In June 2017 we were notified by Amazon.com, the largest retail portal for our products where we now currently sell approximately 35,000 boxes of GenUltimate per month, that the listings for our products had been "hacked" by ghost sellers -- individuals and people who listed our products, accepted orders and cash money from diabetics, but were unknown to the company. Oftentimes product was never delivered to the diabetic even after receipt of payment. This practice called freeloading (by Amazon) is not rare, but once started it is difficult to eradicate. The company had to replace almost 6,500 units of GenUltimate as a result of the freeloading. In March 2018 another 3,000 boxes were replaced, leading to lower sales margins in the periods where the replacements too place. The company is in on-going friendly negotiations with its distributors to provide compensation for the effect of the freeloaders. While freeloaders had a cost basis of zero, legitimate sellers and distributors were forced to compete with these zero cost sellers. Prices for GenUltimate plummeted and by October 2017 the product in its largest portal showed a price decline on average of 35%.

With the assistance of Amazon, who themselves became a distributor of GenUltimate!, the company was able to overcome some of these issues. With the assistance of Amazon we reordered our selling practice, implementing base (floor) pricing and implementing real-time policing of listings. As a result, we were able to overcome this freeloading practice. Prices have recovered about one half of the Fall 2017 decline. We are currently in the process of raising prices again. Also, as a result of the "Amazon debacle," the company also eliminated many small distributors of GenUltimate! from the Amazon portal. In 1Q 2019 we further eliminated a group of our wholesalers who may still sell our products, but not on Amazon. While these actions had the effect of lowering sales throughout 2018 and into 2019, our margins and our sales levels are recovering, as our sales increase. The effect of barring wholesalers from selling on Amazon cost the company \$90,000 to \$120,000 in sales for 1Q 2019, mostly through lower inventory levels by our wholesalers.

In March 2017 the company was contacted by the retail giant Walmart, who along with their acquired on-line retailer Jet.com, are attempting to duplicate and surpass the Amazon portal. Our GenUltimate! products have been sold on Walmart's (and Jet.com's) portals since November 2016. In the 2018 discussions Walmart offered us preferential listings on portals and Walmart Depot stocking at their regional transit facilities. We also began discussions, now in process, for the manufacturer of a Walmart house brand version of our GenUltimate! As a result of our agreements with Walmart, GenUltimate! is now sold and fulfilled directly by Walmart. In addition, Walmart has implemented a large on-line store pickup, allowing GenUltimate! users to pick their GenUltimate! product up at Walmart stores. We accepted Walmart's offer (who wouldn't) and changed our distribution agreement with Walmart (and Jet) so that Walmart would sell and fulfill our products directly. We accomplished this while still selling our products directly through Walmart. This business model is called cannibalization with the goal of overall sales maximization. Walmart customers who previously received standing orders for their legacy J&J Lifescan test strips are a part of this new program. The company believes this to be a market enhancing deal since Walmart will become both a "push" and a "pull" retailer. No special pricing of our GenUltimate! products was required to

implement this plan, owing, no doubt, to the footprint we have established on the other large on-line portals. Walmart purchase orders for Walmart sales of our products have increased dramatically. We continue to evaluate our prospects with Walmart and we believe we will move toward a global agreement with Walmart for our GenUltimate!, PetSure! and GenChoice! (when cleared) and GenUltimate! TBG products. Meetings are scheduled in the month of May 2019 at both Walmart and CVS pharmacies, primarily for our GenUltimate TBG products.

The company in the past has also offered information technology solutions in several medical care market channels by providing physicians with information at the point of care. Our products, unlike those from many other medical information companies, make use of smart cell phones such as the Apple iPhone, the Motorola and Samsung Droids and a wide selection of Microsoft Windows based smart phones and operate in either in a wireless or “wired” mode, which allow physicians to carry, access and update their patients’ histories, also known as electronic medical records or EMR, medication data, and best care guidelines - *all at the point of care*, or from any other location the physician may be located. In addition, the company’s products employ proprietary mathematical game theory features adapted by the company for medical use that allow acceptance of diagnoses and treatment protocols where the medical information may have originated from one or several locations and one time or several times. Since the advent of “Obamacare,” promising products like our own struggled to gain market acceptance in a reimbursement challenged market. The company cannot yet venture opinions or forecasts for its IT products now that the Trump administration is trying to redevelop healthcare. While we have kept up with the evolving regulatory changes, we do not foresee implementation of our products and networks in the near future. We do not assign any value on our balance sheet to our IT products.

In March 2016 we also retained a product source company called Retail Monster, to represent our products to large drug chains (“big box pharmacy”), large retailers, chain grocers and the like. Unfortunately the arrangement with Retail Monster did not succeed, primarily because a group of company shareholders and persons claiming to be shareholders poisoned our relationship with Retail Monster early in our contract term, by advocating during repeated calls, a “palace coup.” After these incursions by shareholders and persons claiming to be shareholders, our relationship with Retail Monster remained cordial but was, unfortunately, destined to fail. The two companies decided to end the engagement on December 31, 2016.

The efforts being expended in the “big-box” arena are greatly aided by the company’s recent success with the explosively growing on-line Marketplaces, many sponsored by the large retail pharmacies and retail stores. These Marketplaces are fast growing sister organizations to these retailers, and typically not a part of legacy manufacturers marketing plans. The company’s recent successes in the on-line Marketplaces has given the company a beachhead in this market as the uncertainty brought on by the J&J lawsuits has (finally) waned. In mid-March 2016 the largest US retailer agreed to raise the company’s standing to the highest retail “rung” by offering a new supplier contract and in mid-March 2018 this retailer and its recently acquired wholesale products partner contacted the company and implemented a direct relationship. This decision led to inquiries by other big box sellers. Thus, in March 2019 we entered into a long term relationship with PARAGON Sales and Marketing Inc. to support the national growth of both our branded and private label retail products sold under our "Gen" brand, and our private label brands of Alltara!, ConsumerValue!, Infatig!, and Medicius!. Initial accounts that we have assigned to PARAGON for big box and private brand big box agreements include Walmart, CVS, Walgreens, Costco, Kroger and Cardinal Health. PARAGON will also work closely with us in evaluating other potential new retail product categories and products that we may launch in both branded and private label offerings in the future. Sadly, shareholders and non-shareholders have already attempted to contact PARAGON in a manner similar to our earlier situation with Retail Monster. The company has promised PARAGON that we will take legal action against these people should this activity continue.



Alltara choice, and GenUltimate TBG is not yet available for sale or distribution in the United States or Puerto Rico.

Since March 2015 when we first we acquired special intellectual property and specialty manufacturing equipment which will shall serve our business interests now and into the future. We have increasingly turned to Alpha Capital Anstalt (“Alpha”), Navesink Device Initiatives, Sovereign Partners and Licgo Partners, whereby these organizations either purchased an 18-month 15% OID

derivative instruments or Preferred C stock units, to facilitate the acquisition of intellectual property or manufacturing equipment, or to finance our growth. In 1Q, 2Q and 4Q 2016 and 2Q, 3Q and 4Q 2017 we completed additional financing transactions with both Alpha, Sovereign, and Licgo. Our most recent transactions with Alpha also financed an inventory credit line for the company so that we can meet many of the requirements of the largest retailers and maintain at least \$300,000 in stock on hand at any time. From time to time we drop below this \$300,000 threshold, primarily at the end of fiscal quarters. Alpha also financed our acquisition of new specialty manufacturing equipment to facilitate our contract manufacturer in Korea as they develop our new GenChoice! product. The company in early March 2019 again turned to Alpha, most recently borrowing \$250,000 as we finance the completion of our GenUltimate! TBG product, pay for the prosecution of our GenChoice 510K application, and pay for the additional manufacturing facility in Korea.

The company entered into three international agreements throughout 2017 and 2018. The first agreement, executed through the company's exclusive Korean agent, allows for delivery of the GenUltimate!, GenChoice! and GenSure! (and certainly the GenUltimate! TBG product when available) in quantity for sale in the Korean, Taiwan, Hong Kong, Vietnam and Thailand markets market. As of this writing, the Korean partners have ordered and paid for over 356,000 pieces (units/boxes) of GenUltimate! In addition the company, through its Korean master distributor has begun sales in Vietnam. The company's second international agreement was through a South American financier who has businesses in Bolivia and Spain. This group initially placed a single two-year (term) order for approximately \$17 million in GenUltimate! test strips, GenUltimate! meters and the company's new (2017) Firefly! Lancets. The South American financier also notified the company that he and those closely associated with him wished to subscribe to a \$3.25 million to \$5.0 million capital investment in the company. The group then signed and executed a Subscription Agreement for the company's Preferred D shares in April 2017.

After delivery of approximately 11,000 pieces (units) of GenUltimate!, 3,000 GenUltimate! meters and cases of lancets delivered to Bolivia, the company was contacted by authorities in the U.S. and then again several months later by regulators in Spain concerning the partners and silent partners involved with this international agreement. As a result of these contacts, the company, on March 20, 2017, terminated the Preferred D Subscription Agreement and terminated the International Distribution Agreement.

In June 2018 the company came to terms with a third international distributor who will sell the company's products in Mexico, Puerto Rico and in select South American countries. Initially the sales by the distributor will be our GenUltimate! test strips and meters, and our GenSure! test strips and meters. Governmental approval is needed for these products. This distributor has so far not distinguished themselves.

However, in late July 2019 the company was contacted by a large Eastern European distributor of J&J/Lifescan, now (Platinum Equity) products. To begin, this distributor wishes to distribute the company's GenSure! product, followed on by the company's GenPrecis! product (in 3rd party test mode) both somewhat surprisingly successful products in Eastern Europe, particularly in CIS states and the Ukraine. The company must complete an "accuracy" test for the GenPrecis! product, complete the packaging in several Eastern European languages, and then schedule a manufacturing line. For the GenSure! product, the company needs to replace several Western European languages with Eastern European languages on its International package. Although the distributor wishes to move quickly, we anticipate rolling out this arrangement in 4Q 2019 and 1Q 2020.

We have received multiple inquiries from companies interested in perhaps collaborating with the company for the implementation of its cell phone centric technologies MD@Hand and MD@Work. However, the market available for products similar to MD@Hand and MD@Work has changed since its introduction in 2009. The legal challenges to the new health care law and the federal government's inability to enact regulations have altered the landscape, again. We remain in discussions with multiple concerns for the marketing of our MD@ products, and any agreement we may enter will require us to provide contract software programming, providing a new source of revenue for the company. In addition to any proposed partnerships, we continue to discuss alternative propositions with other interested companies ranging from clinical laboratories, service organizations owned or aligned with medical health insurers, a medical content provider and legacy healthcare systems companies. There remains sustained interest in our MD@ technology. We may or may not entertain additional proposed partnerships for our implementation of the cell phone centric technologies, which has been hindered, as has the overall market, by the slow implementation of regulations, protocols and data formats by the Federal government, as well as a change in previously announced Federal government monetary incentives.

Additional Background and Foundation

In May 2010, we entered into agreement with Shasta Technologies, Inc. and Broadtree, Inc. This agreement granted our Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary the exclusive marketing rights to a new diagnostic product not yet on the market named Shasta Genstrip ("Genstrip"). The Genstrip product was developed to compete against the market leader in the then \$6.5 billion at home testing market. Shasta was in default of this 2010 Agreement within 90 days of its initiation. Penalties under that agreement and monies owed totaled in excess of \$2 million in "delay" penalties, which they were unable to pay. In April 2011, the company renegotiated its agreement changing its many roles and adding responsibility for regulatory approval, manufacturing and forecasting, international sales and

additional sales markets in the U.S. Shasta defaulted under this agreement as well. On March 20, 2014 we acquired the GenStrip intellectual property, its marks and the cleared 510(k). Shasta defaulted on this agreement as well. In addition Shasta breached or defaulted on two insurance settlement agreements, owing to the aforementioned J&J litigation. Shasta also confessed to patent infringement of J&J's three patents and for one reason or another thought it was in their best interest to sue their previous FDA lawyer, who supposedly did not stop Shasta from commercially damaging themselves.

On April 30, 2014 we first implemented our FDA mandated Quality Plan and are now operating as the manufacturer (operator) of the GenUltimate! test strip. We have implemented subsequent Quality Plans with our Korean contract manufacturer for our GenUltimate! product. Similar Quality Plans and FDA registrations will be in place for the company's GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products in the near term, and for our GenAccord and GenCambre products later in the coming months. Our overall Quality Plan, a living document, is in its fifth re-write.

In August 2016 the company settled an insurance matter with Gotham Insurance, an IP Defense insurer, and Shasta covering legal fees associated with the 2011 and 2012 lawsuits brought by Lifescan, Inc. This settlement included a stipulation by Shasta to cease contacting and sharing confidential documents with persons who identified themselves as DECN shareholders. Several of these persons who contacted Shasta also contacted the aforementioned Retail Monster management. Shasta immediately breached this agreement, as they have breached every agreement we have executed with them. This legal settlement with the insurer does not preclude the company from pursuing Shasta, its principals and these "shareholders" in its omnibus lawsuit brought against Shasta et al. in 2014. Nor did this settlement preclude the company from pursuing Shasta for attempting to execute an illegal embargo, along with a former contract manufacturer against the company. The company brought suit against Shasta and the former contract manufacturer in Pennsylvania in November 2018. On December 31, 2018 the Pennsylvania court awarded the company with a \$3.6 million judgment against Shasta. We were pursuing collection of this judgment in Minnesota, California, Oregon and Pennsylvania. To that end we filed for a Writ of Attachment against Shasta for the \$3,600,000. This Writ will allow the company to bring an end to the litigation against Shasta in California and Minnesota (in an action stat that includes our FDA lawyer). On June 29, 2019 Settlement documents were executed by Shasta and the company to bring an end to the litigation in the four states. Shasta agreed to drop all claims against the company, and we agreed not to further pursue Shasta (but not Conductive Technologies, Inc. and not the former DECN shareholders). After five years, the company can now value its acquisition of the GenStrip technology and Marks, and any knowhow. We have done so as of the period ended June 30, 2019 (see financials) and have realized a gain in the period.

We continue to litigate in Pennsylvania against the former contract manufacturer and anticipate a handsome settlement in the coming months, who apparently while working for us, was also working against us and for Johnson & Johnson. The company is also pursuing those persons who owned stock in the company who may have traded stock in the market based on information and documents provided by Shasta, or who were given confidential documents by Shasta, gained through the litigation discovery and provided to these shareholders, who then posted the information on public message boards.

We currently employ nine professionals at or locally managed through our executive business office located at 2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village, California 91361. In addition, we maintain two full-time and seven part-time positions located throughout the United States. We also maintain a Quality Assurance office through our exclusive agent in Seoul, Korea as a means to fulfill our quality commitments to the FDA. Our telephone number is (805) 446-1973 and our website addresses are and www.pharmatechsolutionsinc.com and www.genultimate.com. and www.decisiondiagnostics.com. Additional web sites will be added for our GenChoice! product (site now in FDA 510K prosecution) and our GenUltimate! TBG product.

As a part of the company's strategic plans, we have applied (to register) for fourteen Trademarks with the USPTO. The company's Genstrip product is a registered Trademark of Shasta Technologies LLC. Now that we own this Mark, we do not intend to renew it. Our applications were filed with the USPTO in 1Q and 2Q 2015 and throughout 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019. The company intends to use these Marks, as granted, to brand new products, rebranding of existing products, and the establishment of a family of Marks associated with our company and its place in our industry.

As of June 30, 2019, the company has received registration confirmation from the USPTO for the following Marks:

"Alltara!"
"GenUltimate!"
"GenSure!"
"GenChoice!"
"GenAccord!"
"GenCambre!"
"GenUltimate! TBG"

“Firefly!”
“ConsumerValue!”
“Infatig”
“Medicius!”

Our marks for Alltara!, ConsumerValue!, Infatig!, and Medicius! will be used for product families as an integral part of our relationships with the “big-box” entities. We have several Marks that were filed to further enhance our GenUltimate! TBG product and technology. These Marks were first filed in 2Q 2019.

Beginning in the 4th Quarter 2015 and through 2nd Quarter 2016 the company suffered severe inventory shortage of the Genstrip 50 product at various times, owing to the timing of the various settlements with Johnson & Johnson by Shasta and a contract manufacturer, Conductive Technologies, Inc. For some period of time Conductive refused, due to their settlement with Johnson & Johnson, to ship to the company certain quantities of the Genstrip 50 product, but did ship product for their own account using regulatory license and trade names owned by the company. These actions by Conductive Technologies, Inc. and Shasta amounted to an illegal embargo of the company’s products since neither Shasta, nor CTI retained the right to manufacturer or sell the products in the United States without the company’s exclusive approval. In November 2018 the company brought suit against CTI and Shasta in Pennsylvania to bring about compensation for this illegal embargo. The case against Shasta resulted in a \$3.6 million judgment and a more recent settlement. The case against Conductive Technologies Inc. CTI is more complicated. We initially believed that

As a result of the product embargo by Shasta and Conductive Technologies, Inc. the company ran into an inventory shortage, and in fact ran out of product on several occasions. However, the inventory problem began to clear up in late May 2016, and with the advent of adding the GenUltimate! product from Korea, shortages were alleviated. The company’s capacity for GenUltimate! production is now 750,000 packages per month (50 count and 100 count packages), for the new GenSure! product 100,000 packages per month (25 count and 50 count packages) and the new GenChoice! product (initial) 150,000 packages per month (50 count and 100 count packages). A mega-retailer has requested minimum inventories of finished product of 150,000 units/boxes. We expect other retailers to make similar requests. The manufacture of GenUltimate! and GenSure! are very similar and this capacity can be viewed as interchangeable. Similarly the manufacture of GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG will be similar to the manufacture of GenAccord! and GenCambre! Manufacture of GenPrecis will require additional investment in plant and machinery.

The company’s stock currently trades on the OTCMarkets OTC Pink Current tier of the market. The company’s shares are DTC and DWAC eligible. On May 12, 2015 the company made an application for a tier change to the OTCQX (common) tier. When the company’s common stock fell in price beneath the \$.10 threshold, and when our sponsoring broker shuttered his operation, our application went into hiatus. Subsequently, the company received direct communication from OTCMarkets concerning a new uplist program offered, beginning May 18, 2017, whereby the company might uplist within the OTCMarkets tiers as a Current Alternative reporting company and filer.

Instead of this uplisting, the company chose to file a Regulation A offering in an effort to improve its disclosure to the SEC. The company had planned to use this filing, reviewed by the SEC without comment on August 23, 2018, to move toward uplist on the OTCMarkets exchange in 2019. In February 2019 the company, after completing all of the ancillary tasks required of a Reg. A filer, amended its registration with the SEC, a necessary requirement. Subsequently, the company’s stock price improved so that the registration offering price was much lower than the stock trading price. In early March, during the stock price rise, the company was informed that a certain party, who at that point claimed to own approximately 4% of the company’s outstanding shares, wished to buy the entire Reg. A offering on the date said offering became qualified. That would allow this certain party to gain control of the company for approximately \$5.3 million, a value much lower than the company’s Board of Directors expected, and much less than the trading price of the company’s common stock. This was/is a common predatory M&A strategy often used by private equity funds. On March 18, 2019, acting on a resolution by the company’s Board of Directors, the Reg. A registration was withdrawn.

In February 2019 the company was approved for Deposit/Withdrawal at Custodian (DWAC) a method of electronically transferring new shares or paper [share certificates](#) to and from the [Depository Trust Company \(DTC\)](#) using a Fast Automated Securities Transfer (FAST) service transfer agent as the distribution point. DWAC transfer is a method employed by most funds and large investors.

Business activities throughout the next twelve months:

The company’s business on a day-to-day basis includes the distribution of our GenUltimate! products, (50 count and 100 count versions), distribution of our GenSure! product (25 count and 50 count versions), and our PetSure! products (30 count and 60 count versions), and in 2019 our GenChoice! (25 count, 50 count and 100 count versions), at least in international markets, and the

GenUltimate! TBG (25 count, 50 count, 100 count versions and a meter), sometime later in 2019. Our GenSure! will be sold only in certain international markets, presently in Eastern Europe. The company is currently prosecuting its application for 510K clearance of its GenChoice! product (25 count, 50 count and 100 count versions). The GenChoice! product will be sold worldwide. Within 120 days of this writing the company will have concluded the clinical analyses and filed for 510K clearance for its GenUltimate! TBG product (25 count, 50 count and 100 count versions and a meter designed with young diabetics in mind). The GenUltimate! TBG product will be sold worldwide and will, most likely, require a strategic partner. Two prospective partners have contacted the company, one making a preliminary offer of a complex M&A transaction, the other offering cash, and a wanting a license to the GenUltimate! TBG product. We are currently in on-going negotiations with the one prospective partner looking to pay for the license rights to the GenUltimate! TBG product, a long term royalty for their continuing sales, and the settlement of other matters. The company has just completed a redesign of its GenUltimate! TBG test strip (seen above), building a technology foundation around its GenUltimate! technology.

In March 2019 the company announced the hiring of PARAGON Marketing and Sales, Inc., a nationwide retail accounts sales and management firm. The company has assigned several high worth “big box” retail accounts to PARAGON, including Walmart Stores, CVS Pharmacies, Walgreens Pharmacies, Cardinal Health (Wholesale), McKesson (private label brands), Kroger and others.

In mid-2017 the company embarked on an ambitious plan to re-brand all of its products, existing and upcoming, to sell into what is more commonly known as the private label marketplace, or the co-brand markets. These markets overlap to a high degree with what is also historically known as the “big-box” market. The rebranding contingency eventually grew to change the entire scope of our products developed for private label sales. In traditional diabetic supplies markets the packages had to include claims made in the original 510K application, plus new international symbology and UDI identification. Packaging of the products was typically designed to accommodate the capacities of the automation that packaged the products themselves. There was no magic involved with packaging. The 25, 50 and 100 count packages sold by the entire industry grew out of the capabilities of the automated packaging machines and equipment, not some grand plan. The entire industry became “me-too.” The insurance reimbursement models associated with these 25, 50 and 100 count packages (overwhelmingly 50 count boxes) arose for the same reasons.

Companies in the manufacturing and marketing channels in the industry all employ these packaging processes, including Korean, Chinese and Taiwanese manufacturers. In truth, the manufacturer operations collectively decided **not** to pay an extra \$10,000 for each of the packaging machines, or the \$0.10 for a slightly larger test strip vial (holder). The company believes this “me-tooism” to be a form of mental blinders. In implementing the company’s new private label strategy, Decision Diagnostics decided not to bow to the packaging machine or “me-too” limitations. Instead the new packaging to be employed by the company will take into account diabetic testing patterns and the average number of testing days in a month. Private label versions of the company’s products will be packaged in sizes of 30 count, 60 count and 120 count packages. This concept has been readily accepted by the company’s private label target list in a detailed survey, and it is believed that this new packaging concept will be a marketing coup. Diabetics test every day of the month, not just 1.67 times a day. So a vial of 30 of the company’s test strips (and 60 and 120) will accommodate the testing needs of the user, not the limitations of a particular type of packaging machine. Sales to the private label industry will be through private label product groups where every private label partner will own a private label group, each group containing all of the company’s products in selective private label packaging.

The company currently has three major private label targets, the largest drug store chain, another top-5 drug store chain, and the second largest grocery store chain. In addition, the private label packaging is being offered to the largest drug store chains in Mexico and Canada. The Mexican chain, who also has numerous stores in Chile and Argentina has moved quickly. However, in all cases the sales process is in the closing stages. Closes of this nature, do however, take time. The company has Trademarked four product label groups for exclusive sales of products to the private label concerns: Alltara!, Advant!, Infatig!, and Medicius!.

Beginning in November 2009, we introduced our cell-phone centric medical IT products that offer solutions in medical care and management by providing physicians with information at the point of care. We eagerly await the new version of some sort of national health plan, which might finally create markets for our products.

Our 12-month business objectives include:

1. The practice of specializing in the distribution of GenUltimate! and PetSure! and GenUltimate! 4Pets products, and the completion of the GenChoice!, and GenUltimate! TBG products. We also intend to add several brand-name medical diagnostic and medical disposable products, lancets through our Firefly! product, as well as several lines of insulin syringes and pen needles, all associated with the on-going care of diabetes-inflicted patients, and the world-wide distribution of our proprietary diagnostic products.

2. Combining our wholesale and retail diagnostics distribution with the major successes we have had in the on-line retail markets, and adding legacy retail organizations (already some legacy retailers of note). See discussion above concerning private label opportunities and our private label lines.
3. Continue to implement the plans provided by our agent MWK LLC, and PARAGON Sales and Marketing in efforts to secure big-box pharmacy chains, chain grocers and nationwide retailers in addition to the private label groups previously discussed.

Recent Business Milestones:

In 1Q 2019 and 2Q 2019 the company has accomplished the following milestones.

1. We have prepared for introduction a premier version of our popular GenUltimate! test strip for launch on September 3, 2019. First deliveries for this test strip designed and provided for the benefit of big box stores will be in the 3rd week in September.
2. We completed the design and manufacture of PetSure! glucose test strips for the international markets, and completed development of our GenChoice!, GenUltimate! 4Pets and GenUltimate! TBG products.
3. We began FDA 510K prosecution, patient clinical, 3rd Party testing and/or clinical trials of two new test strip products, our GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG test strips and the GenUltimate! TBG Precise meter. Neither the PetSure! nor GenUltimate! 4Pets products required live patient (pet) clinical testing. We most recently added GenChoice! TBG to our Advanced Development schedule.
4. We are pressing our suit against Johnson & Johnson and several divisions for manufacturing products that infringe on our patents. We won a major early battle in this suit where the trial judge granted us the opportunity to argue the Doctrine of Equivalents, an important concession in this case given J&J's penchant for the twisting of words and drawing lines through random dots. We lost a mid-stream battle and are now appealing to a court where we have had major rulings, of the same type (twisting of facts by J&J) in our favor in the past. This suit began its prosecution phase on March 15, 2017 with the trial judge's early ruling. We filed our appeal in the United States Federal Circuit Court of Appeals (the patent court) and expect oral arguments to commence and a mediation in June 2019.
5. The company initiated a marketing program to the on-line Marketplaces sponsored by pharmacy chain, department store and grocery store retailers, as well as mass merchandisers, and including the largest retailers. This program has so far been the most successful endeavor since our inception.
6. The company has retained patent counsel to file two patents (in 3Q 2019) for our GenUltimate! TBG and GenPrecis meters and test strips, and for our newest adaptation of our GenChoice! TBG meter and test strips.

On August 4, 2019 the company received further communiques from the U.S. FDA, related to its 510K prosecution and request for clearance related to our GenChoice! product. While all written communications with the FDA are considered formal, and face-to-face communications even more formal, the company believes we are marching toward clearance in the next 90 days.

Business Model Evolution

TGB Technology

The company has proven feasibility of its "TGB" technology for its GenUltimate! TBG product (now ready for clinical trial), its GenChoice! TBG product, now moving into advanced development, and its upcoming GenAccord! TBG product, for another legacy system. In the case of the GenAccord! TBG, there will not be an alternative test strip developed and marketed in advance of the "TGB" version. In this case we will only market the "TGB" version where the one version will work with the legacy meters, as well as our own meter. This marks a change in our business model where previously we attempted to bring to market an alternative

version of a legacy test strip, achieve FDA clearance, and then some time later bring the “TBG” features and improvements on-line. With the advent of “TBG,” the company is transitioning to be a legacy system developer and manufacturer, while still maintaining compatibility with the older legacy meters and test strips.

The company believes that with the advent of TBG, and the reascendance of an industry leader, the entire industry’s pricing structure for diabetic testing products will realign to pre-July 2013 levels. Prior to July 2013 pricing for diabetic test strips was about \$37.00 per box of 50 and the Big 4 pharma companies controlled 88% of the industry. Since the Medicare price controls were added in July 2013, prices for retail test strips have almost doubled, entire segments of the industry (mail order, DME) have all but disappeared, and testing levels are flat despite an epidemic of new diabetics. With the price realignment, testing levels will again increase to pre-2013 levels, and the company believes that the industry will again witness growth at historical levels.

There will be two universal statements that can be made about the “TBG” products:

1. TBG products will have precision and accuracy that sets new industry standards, performing with almost perfect linearity, and at +/- 8% 97-98% of the time.
2. TBG products will in a sense replace their legacy counterparts, making each new “TBG” product available to the legacy manufacturer on a license with royalty basis, with a cash payment transfer for exclusivity.

The company has also retained counsel, who represents the company in other matters, to file patents with the USPTO for the protection of our “TBG” technologies. The company envisions four patents in all, two in 3Q 2019 for the protection of our GenUltimate! TBG and GenPrecis! meters and test strips, and two in 4Q 2019 for our (newest) GenChoice! TBG product. Patents provide substantial added value to a company’s technologies. Monies gained in any settlement with the Johnson & Johnson litigation will be first applied to the cost of development of the company’s three “TBG” products, then to the three expected FDA 510K prosecutions, and finally to the patents prosecutions.

New Big Box Strategy and GenUltimate! Premier

When the company engaged its sales agent Paragon Marketing and Sales, we were aware that Paragon could consult with us in a number of ways. We have found the most important of these ways to be a dissection of Big Box buying habits and successful business models needed to win over big box entities.

During their investigations Paragon found that the company’s GenUltimate! products had passed the threshold of being a successful brand in the marketing channels, but that adoption by Big Box remained elusive.

While working with Buying sources at Walmart, already a large company customer, Paragon found that on-line and “pick-up” sales which the company currently enjoys at Walmart was a good market for the company, and was growing dramatically. But little progress was being made for in-store sales primarily because Walmart (and CVS) viewed GenUltimate as a replacement for Lifescan OneTouch Ultra on a 1:1 basis. Sell a GenUltimate box to a Big Box customer in-store, lose a OneTouch Ultra sale to that same customer, and with it the profit that the same customer generated for the Big Box store.

It was explained that the big box makes between \$15 - \$18 profits on a sale of a single box of Lifescan OneTouch Ultra. That profit is more than the entire MSRP for the current GenUltimate product sold in all other channels, including on-line and pick-up channels. It was determined that raising the price of GenUltimate to the public would damage the on-line sales, or worse, create a dual pricing structure, which is illegal in some states.

Thus, on September 3, 2019 the company will announce the availability of a new (2nd) package version of GenUltimate, called GenUltimate Premier, a new package, and with many of the features to be employed with the upcoming GenUltimate TBG test strip. The new version of GenUltimate Premier, with a MSRP of \$24.95, will provide the Big Box with profit margins equal to or in excess of those margins they accrue from a sale of Lifescan OneTouch Ultra, while offering at least a 50% discount to struggling diabetics. Two big

box chains have asked for immediate presentations. Hopefully the Big Box log jam will be broken without loss of market share or price leadership of the current GenUltimate! test strip in parallel channels.

It is anticipated that existing distributors of the company's GenUltimate and Petsure/4Pets products will want to also carry GenUltimate! Premier and sell this product in existing channels as a "Premier" or "Cadillac" version of GenUltimate. The company believes this to be a sound strategy, although 3Q 2019 sales might be affected as order rates for the existing GenUltimate! are lowered and replaced by GenUltimate! Premier. Delivery delays from Korea of even a few weeks will affect revenues in 3Q 2019. However, profit margins for GenUltimate! Premier should be higher, and cost of goods calculations should remain unchanged.

We transact commerce in several medical products market channels. We also transact commerce by licensing our proprietary medical software that functions by moving confidential medical data through our proprietary medical information technology devices and networks. Our original Genstrip product required initial regulatory approval by the USFDA as well as on-going USFDA approvals during the product life cycle. Further, Genstrip required medical patient trials and competes directly with a major platform manufacturer. We insure against any claims made against the company for our Genstrip product.

Our GenSure product is sold only in international markets. We are protected against claims of patent and/or trademark infringement by virtue of our 2016 settlement agreement with Johnson & Johnson and two J&J divisions.

Our GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products will be sold worldwide. The company will have to protect against claims of infringement for both of these products. Patent and trademark infringement suits are often filed for strategic business reasons, having only a passing relationship to the patents or trademarks claimed to be at issue.

Healthcare, especially those segments where the company competes, is also very litigious. Competing companies often use litigation as a marketing tool, bringing litigation as a means to protect market share and limit market exposure. The medical industry is also intertwined. From time to time, we may become involved in claims and litigation that arise out of the normal course of business, such as litigation that emerges from disputes over damaged, missing or contaminated product, litigation that arises over payment disputes or claims of fair value. We may also become involved in disputes that arise over the business or business practices of our suppliers, payers and customers. It is not uncommon in our industry to find that a litigant has filed claims in multiple jurisdictions involving the same transaction or a single transaction. The company maintains substantial insurance coverage against suits that may arise over issues of damaged, recalled or counterfeit product and other product liability issues. The company has also been a victim of the unapproved acts of prior management. These acts have resulted in claims from individuals and entities since the Board relieved former management of duty in 2006. Nonetheless, these claims have resulted in the use of management time and company resources to investigate, litigate, or settle. In addition, the company accrues contingent legal fees and product liability fees. As of March 31, 2019, our accrual was \$485,069.

From time to time, the company may also be subject to demands from individuals or entities. These demands and disputes may consume management time and company resources. Other than as noted below, if there is such a disclosure, there are no pending matters at the current time that in management's judgment may be considered potentially material to us.

In December 2018 the company and Mr. Berman were sued by a former employee who made employment practices claims. This employee had been terminated some 16 months earlier, for insubordination. The company filed a counter-suit against the former employee for misappropriating and hiding company property, secrets, and for violating HIPAA statutes as a result of these actions. The company and Mr. Berman are both insured against these types of lawsuits. Both the company and Mr. Berman intend to defend and prosecute vigorously.

We were in litigation with Lifescan Inc. a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson beginning in September 2011. Lifescan had maintained throughout that our Genstrip (now known as GenUltimate!) product infringed on three of their patents. One of these patents became the subject of peripheral litigation activities, and two Appeals (one for each side) to the U.S. Appeals Court for the Federal Circuit (the patents appeals court). In January 2016 the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ruled in its Mandate that this one foundational patent and the claims made by the assignee Lifescan, Inc. was struck (killed) due to obviousness (a clever wording meant to obscure a connection between the Lifescan, Inc. invention and earlier generation technologies dating back to the late 1970s). Throughout this Appeal process, and a litigation process waged through the USPTO, the company prevailed. In addition, as a result of certain claims and allegations made by Lifescan after the close of the USPTO final determination (in favor of the company), the office of the Solicitor General intervened against Lifescan Inc. in the Federal Circuit court and was of great assistance in getting the Lifescan, Inc. patent revoked. Nonetheless the seeming baseless allegations and claims made by Lifescan against the company have taken their toll, limited our ability to sell our GenStrip (now known as GenUltimate) to large entities ("big box stores") and greatly extended the court processes.

In the Spring of 2013, fearing the impact of the Genstrip product in an open market, Lifescan took it upon themselves to violate a court protective order and prepared and sent out thirty page certified (veiled threat) letters to customers of the company and the customers of the company's customers, making it clear to these entities that should they do business with the company, or buy Genstrip (now GenUltimate) product from others doing business with the company, they could or would be added as defendants to the patent infringement suit. Most independent pharmacies in the U.S. sell less than a case (24 boxes) of any single brand of glucose test strips monthly. It is easy to ascertain that an independent pharmacy would choose not to "poke the bear" and risk a several hundred thousand dollar defense, rather than halting sales of Genstrip. Some large retailers were visited or called by Lifescan management and provided with face to face veiled threats. Lifescan even calculated that by breaching the protective order, the sanctions they would be assessed would amount to far less than the business loss they would otherwise suffer. Slowly however, the litigation environment enjoyed by Lifescan changed.

In May 2016 the company became aware of a clause Lifescan had inserted in its Franchise agreements. This clause set a penalty structure whereby should any Franchisee who also bought non-Lifescan products (but more clearly our GenUltimate) they would lose their access to product rebates, and in certain instances their Franchise. Once aware of these illegal tie-ins the company complained to the Federal government, and in January 2017, for the first time since the onset of litigation with J&J, the tie-in clause was globally lifted by J&J. During the pendency of the 2011 and 2012 lawsuits, Lifescan was guilty of a number of unethical practices. For example, in December 2014 counsel for Lifescan wrote a letter to the trial judge who was hearing all three of the original patent matters. This letter outlined a series of issues involving Lifescan's lead damages "expert" during litigation proceedings. Lifescan's expert claimed educational and qualification credentials that were not true at the time of the "expert" testimony, and are not true even today. This expert also assisted Lifescan's counsel in at least one other case, and other companies' counsels in unrelated cases. Testimony from this expert, in each instance, allowed the Plaintiffs in these cases to secure court rulings to the detriment of the Defendants. In the company's case this expert was used twice and assisted Lifescan to receive preferential treatment from the court for setting of a litigation bond to cover potential damages, wherein the "expert" through testimony limited the scope and calculation of damages in the setting of the damages protection afforded by the litigation bond and the damages resulting from Lifescan's violation of the court protective order. Lifescan's letter admonition came over a year after their successful use of this "expert."

In March 2016 the company filed suit against Johnson & Johnson and two Lifescan divisions through our two IP subsidiaries. DECN filed the lawsuit in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, in Las Vegas, NV, Case 2:16-cv-00564, titled Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. et al v. Lifescan, Inc. et al naming Johnson & Johnson and its divisions Lifescan, Inc. and Lifescan Scotland Ltd. for alleged infringement in relation to U.S. Patent numbers 6,153,069, an apparatus patent, and 6,413,411, a method patent. The suit seeks at least \$400 million in provable damages.

Fearful that the allegations in the suit were spot on, Lifescan filed a Motion to dismiss which was denied. J&J, consistent with their historic tactical pattern of litigation delay, then filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. Despite a low probability of success, and the absence of legal appeal option for these Motions, J&J has through its filing successfully delayed the legal process for thirteen months to date. The trial judge has also ruled that PharmaTech would be permitted to file an amended complaint which could include further detail concerning patent infringement under the Doctrine of Equivalents; a significant advantage which minimizes the companies' burden in infringement cases. Once this Motion activity is concluded the company believes that the legal pendulum once again reverts in the direction of our potent legal position, where it should remain for the remainder of the litigation. In October 2018 the trial judge granted J&J/Lifescan's Motion for Summary Judgment. The company immediately appealed. The case is now at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, DC and is tracking toward oral arguments and a mediation in June 2019. The company is optimistic that we will prevail in the patent court and either can resolve the dispute in mediation, or can resolve the dispute after the patent court rules, or if a contemplated business arrangement comes to fruition. However, we are a growing business and the burden placed on the company through litigation with a Fortune 20 company is expensive and impeding. Thus, we plan to bring up creative methods to settle the current suit against J&J in mediation prior to the oral arguments, which will be heard the next day. We are optimistic that the new owner of Lifescan, who is still infringing our patents, will seek the same path to settlement. However, this in no way means that we are giving up on the litigation. Over the past 7 years Lifescan/J&J has been a bad actor throughout, but our current scenario could prove to be a win-win. In July 2019 the company decided that it was in its best interest to separate the J&J lawsuit from its commercial activities.

In November 2018 the company filed a lawsuit in Pennsylvania court against Conductive Technologies, Inc. (CTI) and Shasta Technologies LLC, alleging, among other things, that these two former partners colluded along with Lifescan, Inc. to illegally embargo the company's GenUltimate! product and technology, and to attempt to seize this product and associated Intellectual Property. The suit emerged as a result of a settlement the former partners entered into with Lifescan, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson to settle their issues (at our expense) in the above discussed patent infringement lawsuits of 2011 and 2012. On December 31, 2018 the Pennsylvania court granted the company a judgment in the amount of \$3,600,000 against Shasta Technologies LLC. The company had been in the process

of enforcing the judgment in the states of Minnesota, Oregon (Shasta's domicile), and California. In late April 2019 the company filed and received a Writ of Attachment from the State of California Superior Court and proceeded to execute on this Writ.

Activities to enforce the judgment against Shasta in Minnesota and California ended the litigation and collection activities against Shasta as of the period ended June 30, 2019. The company, upon conclusion of the litigation then valued its acquisition of technology and Marks in the financials for the period ended June 30, 2019. The company shows a one-time gain in the 2Q 2019 period. Litigation against CTI continues. Recently the company was made aware of a conflict that involved a sister company of CTI, whereby this sister company had extensive undisclosed contract dealings with J&J. At the time of the Settlement by Shasta and CTI with J&J, CTI had recently appointed a new Chairman, the then CEO of the sister corporation. The newly appointed Chairman of CTI immediately ordered settlement with J&J and as a result of this settlement with J&J became the architect of the embargo against the company and its products, forcing the company to redevelop GenUltimate in Korea, at a cost of \$660,000. CTI also used the company's client list obtained as a part of the J&J litigation and hired a company distributor to distribute the company's products to other of the company's distributors. The company is seeking settlement with CTI.

Financing Requirements

At June 30, 2019, we had cash of \$88,191 and negative working capital of \$1,174,434. We anticipate that we will require \$64 million in [trade debt financing](#) to finance our expected sales of GenUltimate!, GenUltimate! Premier (for big box) GenUltimate! TBG, and GenChoice!, as the current litigation ends in the company's favor. Trade debt financing is traditional debt where the borrower borrows cash from a finance company or a bank or other financial institution and at the term of the loan pays the lender back in cash. The company has noted substantial disinformation in public forums regarding trade debt financing. The above paragraph is the company's final position regarding its trade debt posture. We will borrow money, finance manufacturing of our product purchases, and then pay the money back to the lender. The lender may be a bank, finance company or insurance company. Fancy derivative and/or toxic equity financing will not be used. We will operate our operations like a business. This financing is hard to get for a small company, nonetheless we will aspire to endure. Without the financing our sales will be curtailed.

In March 2012 we renewed our agreement with Alpha Credit Resources ("ACR") for a third time in order to obtain this debt financing. After the expiration of that agreement, in November 2013 we executed a new line of credit with Alpha Credit Resources, replacing our previous line. This credit line was for \$12.5 million, but with the velocity of our product sales, could yield over \$250 million in annually available credit. We never did draw down any credit financing from ACR, although we many times tried. On December 14, 2015 this credit line expired. Subsequently we learned that ACR and its parent, Platinum Credit became the subject of several Federal criminal investigations. In September 2016, the major funds controlled by Platinum filed for liquidation. The company immediately froze all of its securities held by Platinum, and notified the funds liquidator that we had been working with the former management of Platinum to effect return of a sizable majority of the securities held by Platinum. Platinum had not been granted any requests for any conversion or sale transactions since December 2014. As a part of this liquidation the company is now seeking return of most of the securities granted to the Platinum funds from 2007 through 2014.

We will from time to time continue to seek a combination of equity and long-term debt financing as well as other traditional cash flow and asset backed financing to meet our financing needs and to reduce our overall cost of capital. Additionally, in order to accelerate our growth rate and to finance general corporate activities, we may supplement our existing sources of funds with financing arrangements at the operating system level or through additional short-term borrowings. As a further capital resource, we may sell or lease certain rights or assets from our portfolio as appropriate opportunities become available. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain any additional financing, on acceptable terms or at all.

In March 2019 the company received its first communication from a 3rd party company about its new GenUltimate! TBG product and technology. Subsequently the company received additional communiques from a total of five companies and eight total propositions. Propositions covered much of what could be expected in a complicated M&A transaction, and included propositions for the sale of the company, propositions for the sale of the company's TBG technology, propositions for licensing of the TBG technology, a proposition of earn-out, and several propositions of standstill.

The company's Board entered a process of interview and elimination. This process concluded on June 30, 2019. All but three companies were eliminated. One of the three survivors was the company who had made a proposition which included an earn-out. The company asked this entity to clarify the earn-out. Earn-outs are usually authored in two varieties, earn-out under existing management and earn-out under acquirer management. Historically, earn-outs under the management of the acquirer are not beneficial for shareholders. Upon receipt of their response, this entity was then eliminated, leaving two potential partners.

The Board asked the DECN CEO to convey to the winning proposition maker who had made the best offer -- for a long term license for the GenUltimate TBG product. It was made clear that the company desired a transaction to be made in three components:

1. a modest cash payment for a standstill agreement made upon acceptance of the proposition of between \$1.5 and \$2.5 million
2. a cash payment at closing of any agreement in recognition of an exclusivity lock-out for five years of between \$5.5 and \$9.5 million
3. on-going license fees at a rate of 1.5 times industry standard for similar license agreements

The pre-license fees are industry standard for exclusive arrangements where the Seller is asked by the Buyer to standstill. The company anticipates that the license component of the prospective agreement will yield between \$80MM and \$115MM, and along the way save the partner company and its product line.

The day after the company announced the choice (unnamed) of a prospective partner, and then again four days after, two new propositions were received, a common occurrence in M&A. One proposition originated with a managing group for a Big Box looking to replace a house brand(s) product line. This proposition would not necessarily compete or conflict with the prospective licensing agreement discussed above. The second proposition originated from a large International concern who had been in contact with the entity who sponsored the winning proposition, and had, at least verbally, worked out a partnership arrangement which when implemented would expand the scope of available products and open new markets. As of this writing talks continue with all three of the August 1, 2 and 5 parties.

- B. Describe any subsidiaries, parents, or affiliated companies, if applicable, and a description of their business contact information for the business, officers, directors, managers or control persons. Subsidiary information may be included by reference

The company has five wholly owned subsidiaries, Decision IT Corp., PharmaTech Solutions, Inc. PharmaTech Direct Corp., PDA Services Inc., Pharmatech Sensor Development Corp. All of the subsidiary corporations reside in the same building that hosts Decision Diagnostics Corp. We report on a consolidated basis.

- C. Describe the issuers' principal products or services, and their markets

Decision Diagnostics Corp. is a worldwide prescription and non-prescription diagnostics and home testing products distributor and the manufacturer of GenUltimate! glucose test strips, a Class II medical device for at-home use for the measurement of glucose, the PetSure! glucose test strip for the glucose testing of dogs and cats, a test strip designed to work with the Zoetis AlphaTrak and AlphaTrak II glucometers, a legacy meter, and the GenUltimate! 4Pets Glucose system a proprietary glucose measuring system inclusive of the company's GenUltimate! 4Pets test strip and Avantage meter, for the testing of dogs, cats and horses. The company also has its GenSure! glucose test strip, a product for off-shore sales which is complete and available for sales, but will primarily be sold as an international private label market entry. GenSure! and the legacy glucometers that accept this test strip no longer meet most International (ISO) standards.

6) Issuer's Facilities

The goal of this section is to provide a potential investor with a clear understanding of all assets, properties or facilities owned, used or leased by the issuer.

In responding to this item, please clearly describe the assets, properties or facilities of the issuer, give the location of the principal plants and other property of the issuer and describe the condition of the properties. If the issuer does not have complete ownership or control of the property (for example, if others also own the property or if there is a mortgage on the property), describe the limitations on the ownership.

If the issuer leases any assets, properties or facilities, clearly describe them as above and the terms of their leases.

We currently maintain an executive office at 2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village, CA 91361. The space consists of approximately 2,300 square feet. The monthly rental for the space is \$2,170 per month on a month-to-month basis. We also maintain

a Quality Assurance office in at the facility of our exclusive manufacturer’s representative in Seoul, Korea. We contract for space in a specialty public warehouse in Miami, FL, which serves as our importing, exporting and shipping and receiving terminal.

7) Officers, Directors, and Control Persons

The goal of this section is to provide an investor with a clear understanding of the identity of all the persons or entities that are involved in managing, controlling or advising the operations, business development and disclosure of the issuer, as well as the identity of any significant or beneficial shareholders.

Using the tabular format below, please provide information regarding any person or entity owning 5% of more of the issuer, as well as any officer, and any director of the company, regardless of the number of shares they own. **If any listed are corporate shareholders or entities, provide the name and address of the person(s) beneficially owning or controlling such corporate shareholders, or the name and contact information of an individual representing the corporation or entity in the note section.**

See below

| Name of Officer/Director and Control Person | Affiliation with Company (e.g. Officer/Director/Owner of more than 5%) | Residential Address (City / State Only) | Number of shares owned | Share type/class | Ownership Percentage of Class Outstanding | Note |
|---|--|---|------------------------|------------------|---|------|
| Keith M. Berman | CEO & CFO | Westlake Village, CA | 480,103 | Common | <1% | |
| Robert G. Jagunich | Chairman | Palo Alto, CA | 929,301 | Common | <1% | |
| | | | | | | |
| Barbara P. Asbell | Founder | Ventura, CA | 1,409,404 | Common | 1% | |
| | | | | | | |

Our executive officers, directors, and key employees are:

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Age</u> |
|------------------------------------|------------|
| Keith Berman CEO, CFO, Director | 64 |
| Robert Jagunich Chairman, Director | 71 |

Our shareholders elect our directors and our Board of Directors appoints our officers. As of the date of this filing, we have not held an annual meeting. All current directors have been held over until such time the annual meeting is held. Vacancies in our board are filled by the board itself. Set forth below are brief descriptions of the recent employment and business experience of our executive officers and directors.

Keith Berman has served as Chief Financial Officer, Secretary, Treasurer and Director of the Company since January of 2003. He was elected CEO in July 2017. Mr. Berman has been involved in the development of in-vitro diagnostic products, dry chemistry products, and healthcare software including Intranet and Internet systems for the past 43 years. From July 1999 to present, Mr. Berman has held the position of President, founder and director of Caredecision.net, Inc. a private company engaged in e-health technology development. From March 2001 through June 2002 Mr. Berman also held the Position of President and Director of Medicus, Inc.

OTC Markets Group Inc.

OTC Pink Basic Disclosure Guidelines (v2.0 February 2019)

Page 31 of 68

From January 1996 to June 1999 Mr. Berman was the President and founder of Cymedix, the operating division of Medix Resources, Inc., later Ramp Corp. (RCO). Cymedix was a pioneer company in what was then known as i-health (Internet healthcare) now the e-health industry. Mr. Berman's professional background provides the Company with business management experience and an in depth knowledge of our industry. Mr. Berman received a BA in 1975 and an MBA in 1977, from Indiana University.

Robert Jagunich has served as a Director of the Company since January of 2003. Mr. Jagunich has 28 years of experience in the medical systems and device industry. From August 1992 to present, he has held the position of President at New Abilities Systems, a privately held manufacturer of advanced electronic systems used in rehabilitation. He also provides consulting services to companies such as Johnson and Johnson and has served as a senior executive in such publicly held companies as Laserscope and Acuson. From April 1996 to December 1997 Mr. Jagunich acted as a director of Cymedix Corporation, the operating entity of Medix Resources, Inc., and later, Ramp Corp. (formerly AMEX:RCO). Mr. Jagunich's professional focus on medical devices as well as the professional relationships he has developed throughout his career provides the Company with opportunities to expand current markets and utilize additional product resources not previously available. He received his BS in 1969, and his MS and MBA in 1971, from the University of Michigan.

Mr. Berman, officer and director, works full-time for the company. Mr. Jagunich attends meetings of the board of directors when held and provides 10% and 15% respectively of his business time in professional capacities to the Company.

The following table sets forth information the remuneration of our Principal Executive officer for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016:

Summary Compensation Table

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) | Option Awards (\$) | Non-Equity Incentive Plan | Nonqualified Deferred Compensation | All Other Compensation | Total (\$) |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | Compensation (\$) | Compensation (\$) | Compensation (\$) | |
| Keith Berman, CFO and PEO | 2017 | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- |
| | 2018 | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- |
| | 2019* | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- | \$ -0- |

(*) Year to date.

Mr. Berman has served as Chief Financial Officer since January 2003 and as Principal Executive Officer since August 2006. During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and 2017 and through December 31, 2018 Mr. Berman has received no cash compensation. Mr. Berman has not received any form of compensation as a result of our limited cash flow; Mr. Berman has agreed to accept stock and/or stock option awards from time to time as his compensation until such time the Company has the necessary resources available to provide a traditional compensation plan.

8) Legal/Disciplinary History

A. Please identify whether any of the persons listed above have, in the past 10 years, been the subject of:

1. A conviction in a criminal proceeding or named as a defendant in a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses);

None

2. The entry of an order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, by a court of competent jurisdiction that permanently or temporarily enjoined, barred, suspended or otherwise limited such person's involvement in any type of business, securities, commodities, or banking activities;

None

3. A finding or judgment by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, or a state securities regulator of a violation of federal or state securities or commodities law, which finding or judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated; or

None

4. The entry of an order by a self-regulatory organization that permanently or temporarily barred, suspended, or otherwise limited such person's involvement in any type of business or securities activities.

None

- B. Describe briefly any material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business, to which the issuer or any of its subsidiaries is a party or of which any of their property is the subject. Include the name of the court or agency in which the proceedings are pending, the date instituted, the principal parties thereto, a description of the factual basis alleged to underlie the proceeding and the relief sought. Include similar information as to any such proceedings known to be contemplated by governmental authorities.

None

9) Third Party Providers

Please provide the name, address, telephone number and email address of each of the following outside providers:

Securities Counsel

Name:

Firm: Law Offices of Thomas C. Cook

Address 1: 8250 W. Charleston Blvd. Ste. 120

Address 2: Las Vegas, NV 89117

Phone: (702) 242-0099

Email: tcesq@aol.com

Firm: see above

Address 1: _____

Address 2: _____

Phone: _____

Email: _____

Accountant or Auditor

Name: none

Firm: _____

Address 1: _____

Address 2: _____

Phone: _____

Email: _____

Investor Relations Consultant

Name: none

Firm: _____

Address 1: _____

Address 2: _____

Phone: _____

Email: _____

Other Service Providers

Provide the name of any other service provider(s), including, counsel, advisor(s) or consultant(s) **that assisted, advised, prepared or provided information with respect to this disclosure statement**, or provided assistance or services to the issuer during the reporting period.

Name: none

10) Issuer Certification

Principal Executive Officer:

The issuer shall include certifications by the chief executive officer and chief financial officer of the issuer (or any other persons with different titles but having the same responsibilities).

The certifications shall follow the format below:

I, Keith M. Berman certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report for the period ended June 30, 2019, of Decision Diagnostics Corp.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this disclosure statement does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this disclosure statement; and
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included or incorporated by reference in this disclosure statement, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this disclosure statement.

August 14, 2019

Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Keith M. Berman

(Digital Signatures should appear as "/s/ [OFFICER NAME]")

Principal Financial Officer:

I, Keith M. Berman, CFO certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report for the period ended June 30, 2019 of Decision Diagnostics Corp.
2. Based on my knowledge, this disclosure statement does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this disclosure statement; and
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included or incorporated by reference in this disclosure statement, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this disclosure statement.

August 14, 2019

Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Keith M. Berman

(Digital Signatures should appear as "/s/ [OFFICER NAME]")

Balance Sheet, Statements of Equity & Cash Flows, Footnotes to Balance Sheet

Decision Diagnostics Corp.
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

| | June 30, 2019 | December 31, 2018 |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 88,191 | \$ 358,757 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 950,226 | 949,797 |
| Inventory | 154,621 | 250,716 |
| Prepaid expenses | 3,999 | 106,988 |
| Total current assets | <u>1,197,038</u> | <u>1,666,258</u> |
| Fixed assets: | | |
| Specialty manufacturing equipment | 802,315 | 802,315 |
| | <u>802,315</u> | <u>802,315</u> |
| Less accumulated depreciation | - | - |
| Fixed assets, net | <u>802,315</u> | <u>802,315</u> |
| Other assets: | | |
| Intellectual property | 1,972,750 | 567,175 |
| Patent licenses, net value | 1,150,825 | 1,150,825 |
| Total other assets | <u>3,123,575</u> | <u>1,718,000</u> |
| Total assets | <u>\$ 5,122,928</u> | <u>\$ 4,186,573</u> |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 1,030,270 | \$ 1,030,270 |
| Accrued interest | 4,226 | 48,462 |
| Contingent legal fees | 240,000 | 240,000 |
| Notes payable and short term debt (Note 5) | 1,096,975 | 1,530,680 |
| Total current liabilities | <u>2,371,471</u> | <u>2,849,412</u> |
| Contingencies | 245,069 | 245,069 |
| Stockholders' equity (deficit): | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 3,738,500 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018 | - | - |
| Preferred series "B" stock, \$0.001 par value, 2,500 shares authorized, 1,000 issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018 | 2 | 2 |
| Preferred series "C" stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000 shares authorized, 8,578 and 6,473 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018 | 7 | 7 |
| Preferred series "D" stock, \$0.001 par value, 500 shares authorized, 180 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018 | - | - |
| Preferred series "E" stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,250,000 shares authorized, 1,072,540 and 813,240 issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018 | 1,162 | 847 |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 494,995,000 shares authorized, 153,879,161 and 110,231,610 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018 | 153,670 | 134,343 |
| Common stock unissued, 1,410,000 shares as of June 30, 2019 and December 31, 2018 | 1,411 | 1,411 |
| Subscription receivable | (82,250) | (82,250) |
| Unit offering finders' fees | (321,344) | (321,344) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 50,029,450 | 47,956,705 |
| Retained (deficit) | (47,275,721) | (46,597,629) |
| Total stockholders' equity | <u>2,506,386</u> | <u>1,092,091</u> |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | <u>\$ 5,122,928</u> | <u>\$ 4,186,573</u> |

OTC Markets Group Inc.

OTC Pink Basic Disclosure Guidelines (v2.0 February 2019)

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Page 37 of 68

Decision Diagnostics Corp.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 |
| Revenue | \$ 556,518 | \$ 430,483 | \$ 1,117,911 | \$ 989,487 |
| Cost of sales | 431,728 | 324,754 | 820,034 | 670,928 |
| Gross profit | 124,790 | 105,729 | 297,877 | 318,559 |
| Expenses: | | | | |
| General & administrative expenses | 226,669 | 134,586 | 614,498 | 281,204 |
| Consulting | 70,934 | 40,331 | 120,347 | 72,016 |
| Compensation expense | 102,493 | 126,293 | 226,956 | 234,414 |
| Professional fees | 107,452 | 208,340 | 402,372 | 654,491 |
| Total expenses | 507,548 | 509,550 | 1,364,173 | 1,242,125 |
| Net operating (loss) | (382,759) | (403,821) | (1,066,296) | (923,566) |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Financing costs | (313,254) | (98,611) | (313,254) | (104,611) |
| Interest expense, net | (69,450) | (55,528) | (476,182) | (102,937) |
| Loss on write-down of obsolete inventory | - | - | (162,359) | - |
| Gain on intellectual property | 1,340,000 | - | 1,340,000 | - |
| Total other income (expense) | 957,296 | (154,139) | 388,204 | (207,548) |
| Taxes: | | | | |
| State | - | (70) | - | (70) |
| Net loss | \$ 574,537 | \$ (558,030) | \$ (678,092) | \$ (1,131,184) |
| Add: Dividends declared on preferred stock | - | - | - | |
| Income available to common shareholders' | \$ 574,537 | \$ (558,030) | \$ (678,092) | \$ (1,131,184) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic and fully diluted | 148,748,447 | 123,011,140 | 143,481,879 | 118,422,915 |
| Net loss per share - basic and fully diluted | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.00) | \$ (0.00) | \$ (0.01) |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Decision Diagnostics Corp.
Statements of Shareholders' Equity
(Unaudited)

| Date | Shareholder | Preferred "B" | | Preferred "C" | | Preferred "D" | | Preferred "E" | | Common Stock | | | Authorized Unissued | Subscription Receivable | Finders' Fees | Retained (Deficit) | Total |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | | # Shares | Amt | # Shares | Amt | # Shares | Amt | # Shs | Amt | # Shs | Amt | APIC | | | | | |
| BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2018 | | 1,000 | 2 | 7,458 | 7 | 100 | - | 847,540 | 847 | 134,351,840 | 134,343 | 47,956,705 | 1,411 | (82,250) | (321,344) | (46,597,629) | 1,092,091 |
| 1/2/2019 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | | | 420 | - | | | | | - | - | - | | | | | - |
| 1/2/2019 | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners LLC | | | 140 | - | | | | | - | - | - | | | | | - |
| 1/2/2019 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital | | | | | 10 | - | | | - | - | - | | | | | - |
| 2/5/2019 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | | | | | | | | | 5,004,552 | 5,005 | 505,460 | | | | | 510,464 |
| 2/13/2019 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | | | | | | | | | 600,000 | 600 | 11,400 | | | | | 12,000 |
| 3/12/2019 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | | | | | | | (100,000) | (10) | 1,400,000 | 1,400 | (1,390) | | | | | - |
| | Rounding adjustment | | | | | | | | | | | (2) | | | | | - |
| | Net loss | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (1,252,629) |
| BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2019 | | 1,000 | 2 | 8,018 | 7 | 110 | - | 747,540 | 837 | 141,556,392 | 141,348 | 48,472,173 | 1,411 | (82,250) | (321,344) | (47,850,257) | 361,926 |
| 4/1/2019 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | | | | | | | | | 4,139,272 | 4,139 | 418,066 | | | | | 422,206 |
| 4/5/2019 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | | | | | | | | | 600,000 | 600 | 60,600 | | | | | 61,200 |
| 5/1/2019 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc | | | | | | | | | 413,218 | 413 | 41,735 | | | | | 42,148 |
| 5/1/2019 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | | | | | | | | | 1,091,718 | 1,092 | 110,264 | | | | | 111,355 |
| 5/1/2019 | New Issuance-Chase Financing Inc Profit Sh. | | | | | | | | | 1,395,555 | 1,396 | 140,951 | | | | | 142,347 |
| 5/8/2019 | New Issuance-LICGO Partners | | | 420 | - | | | | | - | - | - | | | | | - |
| 5/8/2019 | New Issuance-Sovereign Partners LLC | | | 140 | - | | | | | - | - | - | | | | | - |
| 5/8/2019 | New Issuance-Paradigm Capital | | | | | 10 | - | | | - | - | - | | | | | - |
| 5/8/2019 | New Issuance-Thomas Nelson | | | | | 30 | - | | | - | - | 150,000 | | | | | 150,000 |
| 5/8/2019 | New Issuance-JAN Stock Trust | | | | | 15 | - | | | - | - | 75,000 | | | | | 75,000 |
| 5/8/2019 | New Issuance-KEN Stock Trust | | | | | 15 | - | | | - | - | 75,000 | | | | | 75,000 |
| 5/8/2019 | New Issuance-Robert Herskowitz | | | | | | | 175,000 | 175 | - | - | 6,825 | | | | | 7,000 |
| 5/8/2019 | New Issuance-Kenneth Schaefer | | | | | | | 150,000 | 150 | - | - | 5,850 | | | | | 6,000 |
| 6/11/2019 | New Issuance-Mark Herskowitz | | | | | | | | | 600,000 | 600 | 60,600 | | | | | 61,200 |
| 6/19/2019 | New Issuance-Alpha Capital Anstalt | | | | | | | | | 4,083,006 | 4,083 | 412,384 | | | | | 416,467 |
| | Rounding adjustment | | | | | | | | | | (1) | 3 | | | | | - |
| | Net loss | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 574,537 |
| BALANCE, JUNE 30, 2019 | | 1,000 | 2 | 8,578 | 7 | 180 | - | 1,072,540 | 1,162 | 153,879,161 | 153,670 | 50,029,450 | 1,411 | (82,250) | (321,344) | (47,275,720) | 2,506,386 |

Decision Diagnostics Corp.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------|----------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2019 | 2018 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net loss | \$ (678,092) | \$ (1,131,184) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used) by operating activities: | | |
| Amortization of prepaid legal fees | 101,239 | 250,000 |
| Shares and options issued for services | 25,000 | - |
| Shares issued for financing fees | 313,254 | 104,611 |
| Bad debt | 175,000 | - |
| Loss on write-down of obsolete inventory | 162,362 | - |
| Gain on intellectual property settlement | (1,340,000) | - |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | |
| Accounts receivable | (175,429) | (149,006) |
| Inventory | (66,267) | 153,120 |
| Prepaid and other assets | 1,750 | 675 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | - | 6,511 |
| Accrued interest | 476,182 | 102,937 |
| Net cash (used) by operating activities | (1,005,001) | (662,336) |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Intellectual property | (65,575) | (3,800) |
| Net cash (used) by investing activities | (65,575) | (3,800) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from notes payable | 500,010 | 375,235 |
| Proceeds from sale of stock | 300,000 | - |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 800,010 | 375,235 |
| Net decrease in cash | (270,566) | (290,902) |
| Cash - beginning | 358,757 | 1,088,761 |
| Cash - ending | \$ 88,191 | \$ 797,858 |
| Supplemental disclosures: | | |
| Interest paid | \$ - | \$ - |
| Income taxes paid | \$ - | \$ 70 |
| Non-cash transactions: | | |
| Shares and options issued for services | \$ 25,000 | \$ - |
| Shares issued for financing activities | \$ 313,254 | \$ 104,611 |
| Shares issued for debt and derivative liabilities | \$ 1,454,133 | \$ 1,236,481 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTE 1 – Basis of presentation and accounting policies

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated interim financial statements included herein, presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles and stated in US dollars, have been prepared by us, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although we believe that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

These statements reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for fair presentation of the information contained therein. It is suggested that these consolidated interim financial statements be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements for the period ended December 31, 2018 and notes thereto included in our annual filing. We follow the same accounting policies in the preparation of consolidated interim reports.

Results of operations for the interim periods are not indicative of annual results.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Management has analyzed all pronouncements issued during the six months ended June 30, 2019 by the FASB or other authoritative accounting standards groups with future effective dates, and have determined that they are not applicable or are not expected to be significant to our financial statements.

Year-end

We have adopted December 31 as our fiscal year end.

NOTE 2 – Going concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon attaining profitable operations based on the development of distribution platforms and channels through which our products that can be sold. We intend to use borrowings and security sales to mitigate the effects of our cash position, however, no assurance can be given that debt or equity financing, if required, will be available. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue in existence.

NOTE 3 – Fair value

Our financial instruments consist principally of notes payable and lines of credit. Notes payable and lines of credit are financial liabilities with carrying values that approximate fair value. Management determines the fair value of notes payable and lines of credit based on the effective yields of similar obligations and believe all of the financial instruments' recorded values approximate fair market value because of their nature and respective durations.

We comply with the provisions of ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("ASC 820"). ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements required under other accounting pronouncements. ASC 820-10-35, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures - Subsequent Measurement" ("ASC 820-10-35"), clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. ASC 820-10-35 also

requires that a fair value measurement reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on the best information available. Assumptions include the risks inherent in a particular valuation technique (such as a pricing model) and/or the risks inherent in the inputs to the model. The Company also follows ASC 825 “Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments”, to expand required disclosures.

ASC 820-10-35 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC 820-10-35 are described below:

Level 1. Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that an entity has the ability to access.

Level 2. Valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3. Valuations based on inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

We utilize the best available information in measuring fair value. The following table summarizes, by level within the fair value hierarchy, the financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2019:

| | 2019 Fair Value Measurements | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value |
| Assets | | | | |
| Intellectual property | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,972,750 | \$ 1,972,750 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Notes payable | - | (1,096,975) | - | (1,096,975) |
| Total | \$ - | \$ (1,096,975) | \$ 1,972,750 | \$ 875,776 |

NOTE 4 – Equipment – Specialty Manufacturing Instruments

On June 1, 2015, we entered into a wide-ranging manufacturing and product development agreement with a large venture funded Korean concern. On July 8, 2015, we enhanced its role in this agreement through the purchase of, and investment in, computer controlled, specialty manufacturing equipment for our GenUltimate! products that is now located in the Korean facility of the Company’s R&D and contract manufacturing partner. In the summer of 2016 we augmented this equipment by adding additional equipment capable of manufacturing our GenChoice!, GenUltimate! TBG and GenCambre! products that make use of different molds and chemical processes. In February 2019 we again added specialty manufacturing equipment for the manufacture of our meters for GenUltimate! 4Pets and GenUltimate! TBG.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2017, we acquired \$64,890 in fixed assets pursuant to the manufacturing and product development agreement dated June 1, 2015. We expensed an additional \$380,000 for the development of our GenChoice! product which will make use of the Specialty Manufacturing equipment located in Korea. We continue to incur great expense due to development of our GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG (formerly GenPrecis!) products during quarter ending June 30, 2019.

NOTE 5 – Patents

During the quarters ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, we capitalized attorney fees related to the continued development and perfection of our patents. We did not amortize any intellectual property or patents during the quarters ended June 30, 2019 and 2018. We are, however, prosecuting our patents in a lawsuit in the Federal Court district of Nevada, against Johnson and Johnson and two of their divisions. In October 2018 Johnson and Johnson sold their divisions to Platinum Equity. It is unknown whether Platinum bought the IP from Johnson & Johnson when they bought the divisions. There is an upcoming mediation between the parties (litigants) and we intend to ask this question.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2019, we settled out of court with Shasta Technologies, LLC, whereby we have retained all unobscured rights to acquire certain intellectual properties (see Note 6 below). We have expensed \$660,000 in legal fees over the past several years pursuant to the litigation. We have capitalized and recorded a “Gain on Intellectual Property” of \$1,340,000. With the settlement of the suits involving Shasta we can now file our patents for the GenUltimate! TBG technology which we shall accomplish in September 2019.

NOTE 6 – Acquisition of Certain Properties

In March 2014, we agreed to acquire certain properties from Shasta Technologies LLC. The agreement covering this acquisition became the subject of two litigations, one litigation related to the remaining proceeds of an IP defense insurance policy, subsequently settled, the other litigation concerning damages the company is trying to collect from Shasta Technologies LLC owing to Shasta’s subsequent undisclosed issues with the U.S. FDA. The damages sought by the company, and other damages, became a part of allegations made in a suit filed in Pennsylvania where we will also litigate damages incurred as a result of a 2015 collusion between Shasta and our former contract manufacturer Conductive Technologies, Inc., who conspired with Johnson and Johnson during the settlement of the first patent litigations. On December 31, 2018 the court in Pennsylvania ordered judgement against Shasta in the amount of \$3,600,000. Our suit against Conductive Technologies, Inc. is still active although the parties are nearing a decision point.

The original purchase price for this “Shasta” property was expected to be \$2,000,000 (cash). The company recently filed a Writ of Execution, owing to the \$3,600,000 judgement that migrated from Pennsylvania to Minnesota, California and Oregon. The California Writ became final in April 2019, and was intended, among other things, as offset against Shasta (if any) in the California litigation. We ended the litigation and collection activities associated with the Writ as of June 30, 2019 after executing Settlement Agreements with Shasta. The Settlement Agreements finally allowed us to record the value. We recorded this acquisition of Marks and technology on our books in the period ended June 30, 2019.

We have registered our FDA cleared product GenUltimate!, under our FDA Establishment registration (with the US FDA) in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and in 2019. We intend to renew this registration on October 1, 2019 for FY 2020. In September 2016 we became fully compliant with the then newly implemented FDA UDI product identification initiative.

NOTE 7 – Notes payable

During the course of a year-end review of our debt with our noteholders, we mutually identified Original Issue Discounts (“OID’s”) associated with the notes totaling \$376,089. We have recorded these OID’s by increasing notes payable and interest expense as of June 30, 2019.

On March 22, 2019 the company closed additional financing in the form of OID Notes and Warrants in the amount of \$250,000 face value (OID of \$37,500), with Alpha Capital Anstalt, the company’s primary financier. The Notes were funded and recorded on our books during the quarter ended June 30, 2019.

We have recorded non-OID interest and financing expense in connection with our notes payable totaling \$44,700 and \$55,528, and \$313,254 and \$98,611 for the quarters ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

NOTE 8 – Stockholder’s equity

2019 Issuances

OTC Markets Group Inc.
OTC Pink Basic Disclosure Guidelines (v2.0 February 2019)

Preferred “C”

During the quarter ended June 30, 2019, we issued 560 preferred series “C” shares to certain existing shareholders pursuant to our quarterly bonus stock initiative. The fair market value of the shares are \$nil on the date of issuance.

Preferred “D”

During the quarter ended June 30, 2019, we issued 70 preferred series “D” shares to various consultants for services provided. The fair market value of the shares and services are \$nil on the date of issuance.

Preferred “E”

During the quarter ended June 30, 2019, we issued 325,000 preferred series “E” shares to various consultants for services rendered. The fair market value of the shares and services is \$13,000 on the date of issuance.

Common

During the quarter ended June 30, 2019, we issued 8,222,278 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock for conversion of debt and accrued interest totaling \$934,999.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2019, we issued 418,250 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock for financing costs totaling \$321,923.

NOTE 9 – Stock options

2017 Stock Option Plan

During the quarter ended March 31, 2017, we adopted the “2017” Executive and Key Man/Woman Stock Option Plan and granted incentive and nonqualified stock options with rights to purchase 20,000,000 shares of \$0.001 par value common stock at the variable strike prices per share based on share fair market value on the date of grant. As of June 30, 2019, all options allowed under the plan have been granted and are exercisable at the election of the holder.

The following is a summary of activity of outstanding stock options under all Stock Option Plans:

| | <u>Number of Shares</u> | <u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Balance, January 1, 2018 | 9,050,000 | \$ 0.10 |
| Options granted | - | - |
| Options cancelled | - | - |
| Options exercised | - | - |
| Balance, December 31, 2018 | <u>9,050,000</u> | <u>\$ 0.10</u> |
| Balance, January 1, 2019 | 9,050,000 | \$ 0.10 |
| Options granted | 9,000,000 | 0.018 |
| Options cancelled | - | - |
| Options exercised | - | - |
| Balance, June 30, 2019 | <u>18,050,000</u> | <u>\$ 0.05911</u> |

NOTE 10 – Warrants

The following is a summary of activity of outstanding warrants:

| | <u>Number of Shares</u> | <u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Balance, January 1, 2018 | 2,603,143 | \$ 0.56 |
| Warrants granted | - | - |
| Warrants cancelled | - | - |
| Warrants exercised | - | - |
| Balance, December 31, 2018 | <u>2,603,143</u> | <u>\$ 0.56</u> |
| Balance, January 1, 2019 | 2,603,143 | \$ 0.56 |
| Warrants granted | 3,685,898 | .0195 |
| Warrants cancelled | - | - |
| Warrants exercised | - | - |
| Balance, December 31, 2019 | <u>6,289,041</u> | <u>\$ 0.2432</u> |

NOTE 11 – Commitments and Contingencies

Contingencies and Litigation

We transact commerce in several medical products market channels. We also transact commerce by licensing our proprietary medical software that functions by moving confidential medical data through our proprietary medical information technology devices and networks. Our GenStrip 50 and GenUltimate! products required initial regulatory approval by the US FDA as well as on-going US FDA approvals during the product life cycle and are subject to new FDA regulation and post market overview. In 2016, we had to meet new FDA Guidelines for product identification, tracking and standardization. Our new GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG and the later upcoming GenAccord! and GenCambre! products will follow the same pathway with the U.S. FDA. The FDA calls its new product identification program, the UDI initiative, and the new packaging required, and met by us, approximates a similar standard implemented in the European Union in 2013, and then adopted in other countries, Korea for example. We are now filing for approvals in the EU after having received certain approvals in Central and South America. We are also evaluating a proposal from Russia and the several CSI countries.

Further, our products required medical patient trials and several compete directly with major platform manufacturers. Healthcare, especially those segments where the company competes, is a very litigious. Competing companies often use litigation as a marketing (market depriving) tool, bringing litigation as a means to protect market share and limit market exposure even though market limitation through litigation is illegal. We have in the past (and currently) defended cases brought by Plaintiffs asserting these types of claims.

The medical industry is also intertwined. From time to time, we have become involved in claims and litigation that arise out of the normal course of business, such as litigation that emerges from disputes over damaged, missing or contaminated product, payment disputes both as a seller and a buyer, and litigation that arises over claims of fair value. We have also had to defend trade dress claims filed against us solely because these claims are expensive to defend, whether the claims are real or not. In addition, we have been sued in several jurisdictions over a single business transaction. Often these cases involve substantial over-prosecution where we have been held accountable by Plaintiffs for a myriad of things including words written or posted in public forums by anonymous persons.

We may also become involved in disputes that arise over the business or business practices of our suppliers, payers and customers, people or entities that we may not be familiar with. We maintain substantial insurance coverage against suits that

may arise over issues of damaged, recalled or counterfeit product and other product liability issues. We have also been a victim of the unapproved acts of prior management. These acts have resulted in claims from individuals and entities since the Board relieved former management of duty in 2006. Nonetheless, these claims have resulted in the use of management time and company resources to investigate, litigate, or settle, some 13 years later. In addition, we accrue contingent legal fees and product liability fees. As of June 30, 2019, our contingent legal fees accrual was \$240,000 and our general contingencies accrual was \$245,069. Contingencies total \$485,069 and are reflected herein.

From time to time, we may also be subject to demands from individuals or entities. These demands and disputes may consume management time and company resources. Other than as noted below, if there is such a disclosure, there are no pending matters at the current time that in management's judgment may be considered material or potentially material.

Leases

We currently maintain an executive office at 2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village, CA 91361. The space consists of approximately 2,300 square feet. The monthly rental for the space is \$2,170 per month on a month-to-month basis. We also maintain space in a public warehouse in Miami, FL, for our import, export and storage and pick and pack needs. Also, we are granted space indirectly in Seoul, South Korea for the completion of necessary clinical trials.

Rent expense totaled \$6,510 and \$6,510 for the quarter ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

NOTE 12 – Subsequent events

In accordance with ASC 855, management evaluated all of our activities through the issue date of the financial statements and concluded that except as described below, no other subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. We do however discuss all subsequent events in our Managements' Discussion and Analysis documents and filings.

During the period ended June 30, 2019 the company was approached by five entities expressing various interest in our GenUltimate TBG technology, as well as our TBG technology for other products. In July 2019, after a careful interview and meeting process, we chose one entity for a proposed partnership for the licensing of our GenUltimate TBG. This license would not transfer any of the company's intellectual property. In early August 2019 the company received follow-up propositions from two of the entities that we did not choose. These new propositions, if accepted, would not require a choice to be made between entities.

During the month of August 2019, the company received a strong inquiry from an Eastern European distributor for the distribution of our GenSure and GenPrecis products, two of our products that do not have USA markets. The Board decided to engage with this distributor. The company's GenSure product is available for immediate delivery. The GenPrecis product in October 2019.

During the period ended June 30, 2019 the company completed third party testing of its GenUltimate TBG products. The TBG products will serve the company with multiple purposes, inclusive of an industry leading stand-alone highly precise test strip and meter system, a system suitable for replacement of big box house brands, and, in the near future (September 2019) a premier version of the company's highly successful GenUltimate test strip to be called GenUltimate Premier, a product that will make use of the TBG technology, and sell at brand name prices.

Error Repair

The company will endeavor to repair any and all errors that new sets of eyes find in this document after its posting, whether these errors are in spelling, grammatical, punctuational or numeric. We are not perfect and we remind the readers of this document that they are not perfect either.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Overview

Decision Diagnostics Corp. is a worldwide prescription and non-prescription diagnostics and home testing products distributor and the manufacturer of GenUltimate! glucose test strips, a Class II medical device for at-home use for the measurement of glucose, the PetSure! glucose test strip for the glucose testing of dogs and cats, a test strip designed to work with the Zoetis AlphaTrak and AlphaTrak II glucometers, a legacy meter, and the GenUltimate! 4Pets Glucose system a proprietary glucose measuring system inclusive of the company's GenUltimate! 4Pets test strip and Avantage meter, for the testing of dogs, cats and horses. The company also has its GenSure! glucose test strip, a product for off-shore sales which is complete and available for sales, and has recently begun Definitive Agreement writing with a large Eastern European distributor for the distribution of GenSure! and the International version of our GenPrecis! product (HCT correction channel deactivated). Both of these products will primarily be sold as an international private label market entry. GenSure!, GenPrecis! and their legacy glucometers that accept this test strip no longer meet most International (ISO) standards but still meet Eastern European standards.

In addition, the company's GenChoice! glucose test strip has completed its clinical trials and filed a 510K application with the U.S. FDA for its clearance. The company has already had six formal communications with the FDA, inclusive of a face-to-face meeting with FDA management and the review staff. The ball is now in the company's court. Regarding additional product development, the company has completed advanced development work and third party testing of its GenUltimate! TBG test strip and Precise meter and are ready to begin patient testing (first level clinical trials) in the next 45 days. In association with the company's advanced development engineers, company CEO Keith Berman asked the engineers to change the chemistry foundation of the GenUltimate! TBG system to work in an identical manner with the company's GenUltimate test strip, same chemistry, same family of meters, thereby allowing the company to offer three products, each serving its own somewhat unique purposes, all running on the same test strip foundation, an FDA cleared device. The TGB enhanced version of GenUltimate! will be named GenUltimate! Premier and will go on sale commercially in September 2019 with substantially higher MSRP, Big Box, and wholesale pricing. The company will continue to sell its GenUltimate! product in existing markets.

GenUltimate![®]
& **GenUltimate!**[®]
TBG



As off-shore products GenSure! and GenPrecis! are test strips that run on four existing legacy meters, and will only be sold in select international markets, primarily because there are no USA markets for either test strip, and in markets where the product will not encounter certain performance criteria issues created by the legacy metering platforms that the GenSure! and GenPrecis! test strips run on. The GenSure! product in particular, although sharing many similarities with the company's GenUltimate! product, does not have the capability of chemistry or feature upgrade and as a result is viewed in the market and by DECN as a small niche product. However, manufacturing the GenSure! product will allow the company to continue manufacturing the existing GenUltimate! test strip which will use the same manufacturing line. The GenPrecis! product has more potential in that it is capable of having portions of the company's TBG technology added-in. Further, there is not nor ever has there been a market in the U.S. for GenSure! and the legacy manufacturer is pulling the legacy predicate product out of the EU and those countries that follow the guidance of ISO 15197:2013 and ISO 15197:2015. We have identified international distributors for this product but the international markets for GenSure! had recently become limited, until just recently. Nonetheless having a finished product is better than having no product at all, so the company will continue to perform compatibility testing with the family of legacy meters as we grow our relationship with the large Eastern European distributor.

Resources permitting, as 2019 progresses, we intend to register the company's GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products in the EU, because these products **do** meet ISO guidelines without further development. The GenUltimate! TBG meter, which will

undergo 510K prosecution for its metering system, is next up for FDA clearance. The test strip, a close relative of the company's GenUltimate! test strip which is already FDA cleared. The company has contracted with the expert organization that is writing the 510K document and a credentialed IRB who completed the GenChoice! clinical trial data and who will follow the same clearance path for the GenUltimate! TBG system.

The U.S. FDA, in a manner similar to prescription drugs, regulates diagnostic test kits and at-home patient testing products in a similar but somewhat streamlined process, to the regulation of prescription medicine. The regulatory standard used for the Genstrip 50 was the 510k pre-market and post-market processes. The same process was used for the GenChoice! product and will be used for GenUltimate! TBG products beginning with the 510k clearance with the FDA during the summer of 2019. Both the GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products will be sold internationally while the U.S. FDA 510k applications are pending. This is a process that several American manufacturers are following to get market penetration in advance of the slower moving FDA 510K clearance process.

Previous to the company becoming a research, development and manufacturing company, we did play a small part in the distribution of legacy diabetic test strips and meters. From 2005 and until 2013, the company contracted with independent pharmacies for use of their prescription drug distribution licenses. At that time the company made market and sold brand name over the counter pharmaceutical items with a concentration in legacy diabetic test strips. The brand name products we distributed, for the most part, did not require a doctor's prescription for anything other than insurance benefit compliance. Our previous business model worked well in the previous regulated environment, although the financial benefits were stressed by major changes made to the Federal Medicare plan that have led to substantially lower rates of reimbursement and ultimately an unprofitable business model. The company's current business model is to provide its own technologies, competing against legacy manufacturers on the basis of lower price and elevated product performance.

Our Current Business Foundation

Our subsidiaries, Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc., PDA Services, Inc. and PharmaTech Sensor Development Corp. operate in several healthcare products channels. In addition our subsidiary Decision IT Corp. engages in the acquisition and holding of Intellectual Property including Patents and Trademarks and specialty manufacturing equipment acquired for our Korean contract manufacturer of our GenUltimate! as well as our GenSure! and GenChoice! products. Our newest subsidiary Pharmatech Sensor Development Corp. manages our investment in specialty manufacturing machinery and testing laboratories, as well as an inventory credit line to finance inventory purchases of our GenUltimate! and PetSure! products. The company will endeavor to expand the credit line for the management of our GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products in 2019. The company has discontinued its earlier GenStrip 50 product and ended the selling of the last of the inventory in November 2016. All of the GenStrip 50 test strips have subsequently reached expiration dates.

In March 2017 the company was approached by its Korean partner, The Bio Co., Ltd to design and fund a new product which the company calls GenUltimate! TBG. This product represents a major improvement in diabetic glucose monitoring. The GenUltimate! TBG system will be the first of its kind +/- 8% system. Current ISO (2015) and FDA (2014) guidelines call for glucose monitoring systems to meet a +/- 15% standard, whereby the meter and strip must be within +/- 15% of a reference method in repeated testings 95% of the time. GenUltimate! and GenChoice! are +/- 15% test strips, but in each case 97+% of the time in repeated samplings. GenUltimate! TBG is designed to meet the written standards of the ISO and FDA at +/- 8%, 97% of the time – effectively setting a new standard. The company has been funding the development of this system product since 2017, as well as a test strip only derivative version for use with a legacy meter sold overseas. In October 2018 the company implemented a strategic change to its development and manufacturing processes whereby we will standardize around two technology foundations, our GenUltimate! technology and our GenChoice! technology. PetSure! was the first marketable product to make use of the GenChoice! technology foundation, and is currently selling in pet testing channels in the USA, Canada and the EU. GenUltimate! TBG will be the first enhancement of our GenUltimate! technology foundation. The company believes that these changes in our product development processes will lead to quicker to market products and streamlined and less costly manufacturing processes. .



As of this writing, GenUltimate! TBG system is not yet available for sale or distribution in the U.S. or Puerto Rico.

From time to time, when economic conditions warrant and given market conditions, we distribute other brand name prescription and non-prescription diagnostics products, as well as several lines of ostomy, wound care and post-surgery medical products, although these healthcare channels have also undergone two major market changes and disruptions since July 2013. Until these markets “settle down,” if they ever do, we have determined that we will maintain our contacts but currently refrain from competing.

Our main product was the Genstrip 50 and its successor brand the GenUltimate!, both of improved performance and design improvements and a rebranding and development (from scratch) of the original Shasta Technologies Genstrip. Both of these glucose test strips are of our design and manufacture. We have maintained FDA registered contract manufacturers in Pennsylvania and South Korea. We ended our association with the contract manufacturer in Pennsylvania as of March 31, 2017. The original GenStrip was cleared for market by the FDA on November 30, 2012. By virtue of our written agreements with Shasta in 2011, we were granted an irrevocable license to prosecute their 510k application with the U.S. FDA, and we succeeded. This was no small feat. We introduced the original Genstrip in March 2013. We then acquired Genstrip from Shasta Technologies LLC on March 20, 2014 and in late June 2014 we made the first branding changes owing to Shasta’s poisoned relationship with the FDA. We began work on the GenUltimate! product in July 2015 and introduced this test strip (vs. our GenStrip) in April 2016. The original Shasta Genstrip and our Genstrip 50 have been discontinued. All GenUltimate! product is manufactured to our specifications and under our oversight in Korea.

Historical Construct

Shasta Technologies LLC, the original specifications provider of GenStrip, had an extremely difficult relationship with the US FDA and was the subject of a detailed and damning FDA (Enforcement) Warning Letter on April 8, 2014, and when they refused to respond to this Warning Letter in an expected fashion, the FDA then broadcast a worldwide Safety Notice on April 29, 2014, the FDA version of the Death Penalty. This second letter effectively ended Shasta’s ability to be a product design specifier and manufacturer, due to a total lack of regulatory adherence in the highly regulated medical device industry. It is confusing to consider what Shasta could have possibly been thinking. The company’s acquisition of Genstrip (now GenUltimate!) was fortuitous in its timing given the finality and outcome of Shasta Technologies’ fatal troubles with the FDA. Shasta contested our acquisition and took other legal steps against their FDA lawyer and in retaliation against the company. During the efficacy of these litigations we had success in the courts. In December 2018 we received a grant of a judgment against Shasta for \$3.6 million. We perfected this judgment in California, Pennsylvania, Oregon (Shasta’s domicile) and Minnesota. As we began levy against Shasta we accepted offer of Settlement. As a result of this Settlement we were able to value our acquisition in the period ended June 30, 2019. A substantial gain occurred and is fully described in our 2Q 2019 financials.

The worldwide market for at-home blood glucose testing is an estimated \$17.2 billion as of 2017, inclusive of the 2013 and 2016 changes to the Federal Medicare programs which gutted almost one-third of the U.S. market. The current GenUltimate! competes directly with one of the largest worldwide platform manufacturers the venerable Johnson & Johnson Lifescan Inc. Ultra legacy product. J&J, which had owned Lifescan for more than 25 years, recently sold its Lifescan division and its venerable products to Platinum, a private equity firm. GenUltimate! (and the earlier GenStrip 50) were developed for use with the Lifescan OneTouch Ultra legacy system for at-home blood glucose testing, a system currently used daily by over 3 million diabetes afflicted Americans and 5.8 million diabetics world-wide. GenUltimate! competes in the overall at-home testing market by offering an economical solution to former users of the legacy platform provider’s product. The company’s GenUltimate! product is a much improved version. Our business model is unique to this market channel as our major business focus is directed toward diabetics who have attempted a change of their glucose monitoring platforms (systems) or those currently using the J&J legacy products but are dealing with escalating prices and lower (if any) insurance reimbursements. At the time of the introduction of GenStrip in March 2013, J&J controlled just under 40% of this market and 100% of its own Lifescan, Inc. OneTouch Ultra market. Their overall market share has since dropped below 30%. In October 2018 Lifescan, Inc.

was sold to a large California based private equity firm in an asset sale arrangement. This event gave impetus to the changes we have made to our GenUltimate! TBG system, the first and only evolutionary enhancement to be offered to the Lifescan Ultra family of products still in use by over 4 million diabetics worldwide (see Litigation section).

Throughout 2012 in anticipation of the introduction of Genstrip, we evaluated our brand-name distribution model, a model that provided streams of revenue but extremely low profit margins, and over the course of the last 36 months we phased out sales of those brand name products that had been a backbone of our distribution business. In addition the brand name products distribution business created a situation where we had been distributing legacy products that competed directly with our GenUltimate! Phasing out these brand name products lowered our order (revenues) intake but allowed us to become a manufacturer, at a higher level in the greater market channel. The company will continue to direct its marketing efforts to ambulatory and semi-ambulatory older Americans afflicted with diabetes and complications caused by diabetes and old age.

We began our transition into these medical products channels on November 1, 2011 when we completed the acquisition of Diagnostic Newco LLC from its owner Kimberly Binder. Diagnostic Newco LLC was a design company that specialized in product packaging design, medical products advertising design and graphic art. Ms. Binder subsequently joined the staff of the company's Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary specifically for these purposes, and has worked closely with the contract manufacturers for GenUltimate!, making subtle changes to packaging design and more recently integrating the new FDA UDI product identification data system, among other responsibilities. She is also responsible for the package design for new diagnostic products the company is currently working on, including the GenSure! and the upcoming GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products. Ms. Binder is also owner of Genstrip Direct LLC and Full Circle Diabetes LLC, her own distribution companies, which she operates separately from her (Decision Diagnostics Corp. and Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc.) company related responsibilities.

We also intend to acquire additional private companies, or partner with small engineering companies that have developed technology requiring either regulatory approval, distribution expertise or both. The market for diabetes testing products is already in the tens of billions of dollars continues to grow rapidly. We have earmarked additional capital investment in 2019 for our Korean contract manufacturer and advanced development partner, who recently opened a second manufacturing line, primarily for the manufacture of GenUltimate! and its offspring, the GenUltimate! 4Pets and the GenUltimate TBG.

The company's current proprietary product offering, cleared by the FDA for commercial distribution on November 30, 2012, and now in its later branded version, the GenUltimate! blood glucose diagnostic test strip for at-home testing. Genstrip, the original product, is a product originally conceived by Shasta Technologies LLC, who proved incapable of attaining the necessary regulatory approvals after two attempts, 2009 and 2010/2011. In addition the original Shasta concept could not clear the FDA 510K process on the basis of performance, and had to undergo major design changes and a new 510K application that was eventually sponsored by us. The original Shasta product was acquired by our Pharma Tech subsidiary on March 20, 2014, and fits into a diagnostic product niche, fitting nicely into the world-wide self-test (home test) market that has been growing at a 15% annual rate. Since GenUltimate! is a rather unique product offering, employing a brand name razor blade only model (diagnostic test strip) into a razor (diagnostic meter) -- razor blade (diagnostic test strip) market, the Genstrip 510(k) application made for unusual challenges for the FDA and an educational challenge and opportunity for the company. In fact, the company only concluded its dealings with the FDA in March 2016, but has had subsequent issues pre and post market review staff, an on-going process that was begun on a very sour note by Shasta in October 2009 and ended even more sourly in early 2014. The company believes that upcoming product offerings such as the GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products, which will also be regulated by the FDA but we hope will go through a much smoother review and comment process, particularly since receipt of a directed landmark ruling by the U.S. FDA, covering our third party developed diagnostics (developed, in development and to be developed). Since the company plans additional similar products in the future for other diagnostic platforms, in fact a product announced still in the current reporting year, the Genstrip/GenUltimate! experience, however slow and unresponsive it was, has provided lessons and experience which is already being put to use. The FDA, however, is accountable to no third party and while we did win major victories and we did deal with certain biases and retaliation during the GenStrip 510K prosecution, we have not yet seen this type of treatment for our GenChoice! product. However, we remain ever vigilant and continue to retain litigation counsel.

Until our receipt of the March 2016 ruling from the FDA, two years (and growing) was a standard development to market timeline for in-vitro diagnostic products similar to Genstrip/GenUltimate! In fact the long review periods and stifling performance standards established have contributed to a large decline in new products offerings in the USA and the industry since 2014. Nonetheless, we are confident that our new products will enjoy a speedier FDA review process. As a result of previous delays and failures by Shasta Technologies in completing its FDA 510k approval application, and then problems Shasta encountered in prosecuting its two original applications with FDA staff, the company changed its contractual responsibilities and obligations in June 2011 to include program management, regulatory process management, management of the manufacturing forecasting and distribution processes, and new products planning and development. Further (eventually fatal) on-going problems encountered by Shasta, which on their face proved irresolvable, presented the company with an opportunity that we seized. On March 20, 2014 our Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary acquired the intellectual property, the marks, and the GenStrip cleared 510(k). Subsequently we accomplished a rebranding of the

original Genstrip product (as GenUltimate!), built manufacturing protocols, implemented a robust Quality System throughout 2014 and 2015, and then developed the improved GenUltimate! product. In 2019 we again improved GenUltimate!. GenUltimate! has become the only version of the original Genstrip line that will be packaged to conform with the FDA UDI standards, and was released as UDI compliant as of September 24, 2016. Manufacturing of Genstrip 50 ended and on-going sales continued under the GenUltimate! brand, and includes the FDA UDI packaging.

In June 2010 the company was approached by the largest retailer in the world for the distribution and sale of the Genstrip product, then about to enter the 510k regulatory review process, at over 5,000 retail stores worldwide. A contract with this retailer was negotiated in September 2010 and subsequently renegotiated and renewed in April 2011, and as soon as the retail contract was agreed to and as a means to conduct market research, the company began seeking pre-conditioned letters of intent (pre-orders) for Genstrip, while continuing the prosecution of the 510(k) application on behalf of Shasta Technologies before the FDA. Discussions with this retailer and other similarly situated retailers had been on a litigation induced hiatus since our litigation with Lifescan, Inc. began in earnest in March 2013. Lifescan Inc., until October 2018, the diabetes testing division of Johnson & Johnson sued the company in three separate suits, all in Federal court, beginning in September 2011. These suits proved costly in that their intended purpose was to keep the Genstrip product off of retail market shelves. Until these suits were settled in May 2016, the company's marketing abilities were severely limited. In fact, even as of this writing, the company faces market obstacles brought about by the original litigation with Lifescan, Inc. The company believes there will be additional limitations as long as Johnson & Johnson and/or their successors spend large sums to discredit the company and its products. However, it should be noted that Johnson & Johnson announced in January 2018 that their entire diabetic business (three divisions, multiple products) had been put up for sale, and offers for some or all of their businesses had been received. The sale closed in October 2018 with the completion of an asset sale to a large California based private equity firm.

The settlements we did achieve with J&J provided a hard-fought victory for the company, particularly since in 2015 Shasta had admitted to patent infringements of all three J&J diabetic medical device patents that were being adjudicated. We settled these lawsuits in a novel manner, where Johnson & Johnson paid the company a settlement amount in cash, in those lawsuits where the company was a defendant, a rarity in matters where the Plaintiff (J&J) had initiated the strike suit in the first place. J&J, as a part of the settlement, also granted the company licenses to three J&J patents (including one patent that J&J subsequently lost as a result of 3rd party prosecution by the company, through final action by the US Supreme Court), the larger value gained from this 5-year legal battle. In March 2016, prior to its settlement, the company's Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. and Decision IT Corp. subsidiaries brought suit against Lifescan, Inc. in Nevada Federal court for patent infringement, the company alleging that Lifescan, Inc.'s OneTouch Ultra product was and had been infringing both of the company's patents. In March 2017, after a protracted battle with J&J where they tried to invalidate the company's lawsuit, the court in a major ruling agreed that the company will be allowed to move forward (a major victory so early in the suit) and will also be allowed to allege the Doctrine of Equivalents, a legal doctrine that would preclude J&J from twisting words through its pleadings and expert reports to escape justice. In April 2016 the company amended its original suit to include allegations under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

"The doctrine of equivalents is a legal rule in many (but not all) of the world's patent systems that allows a court to hold a party liable for patent infringement even though the infringing device or process does not fall within the literal scope of a patent claim, but nevertheless is equivalent to the claimed invention(s)."

Further, in January 2016 the US Supreme Court ruled that the Doctrine of Laches, a defense used by many Defendants in patent infringement suits could no longer be used. This ruling further deprived J&J of one of its most important defenses against the company's current patent infringement claims. All of this action did not dissuade the Nevada District Court trial judge from granting J&J a Motion for Summary Judgment in October 2018. As a result of this ruling, the company filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, DC (the patent court). That appeal is nearing the point where a court ordered mediation and oral arguments are to be scheduled. We currently await this scheduling.

The Current Business

Currently the diabetes testing market is dominated by four large pharmaceutical manufacturers who provide very similar and equally focused products, selling at essentially equal prices. Our Genstrip's original introduction, even with the fits and starts, employed a business model different than those models employed by the major market players. Recent successes in the on-line marketplace has allowed the company to alter the market dynamics, lowering average price (which has occurred) or allowing for increased testing by diabetics for a lesser price, thereby affecting all market segments. The company's major current market focus is to pharmacy chains, grocery chains with in-store pharmacies, large all-purpose retailers with in-store pharmacies, and group buying and chain pharmacy organizations, and for its pet testing products, on-line sales and chain pet supply stores and retail pet outlets. Although this has been part of the company's plans in the recent past, the difficult litigation with Johnson & Johnson as well as the advent of the July 2013 and

July 2016 changes to Medicare reimbursement (and followed by private insurers) and the October 2016 reimbursement engineering, pharmacy business models are now blurred. Thus the company successfully added on-line sales to its business model.

The company has also implemented a very successful “direct to diabetic” business model and has (independently or along with our distributors) executed on-line agreements with several of the largest retail chains, diabetic supply co-operatives, group purchasing organizations, as well as on-line mass merchandisers such as Amazon.com, EBay, Walmart, Sears, Jet.com and approximately 1050 other on-line cooperatives and product aggregators. The company considers this rapid adoption to be a huge success gained in a very short period of time.

In June 2017 we were notified by Amazon.com, the largest retail portal for our products where we now currently sell approximately 35,000 boxes of GenUltimate per month, that the listings for our products had been “hacked” by ghost sellers -- individuals and people who listed our products, accepted orders and cash money from diabetics, but were unknown to the company. Oftentimes product was never delivered to the diabetic even after receipt of payment. This practice called freeloading (by Amazon) is not rare, but once started it is difficult to eradicate. The company had to replace almost 6,500 units of GenUltimate as a result of the freeloading. In March 2018 another 3,000 boxes were replaced, leading to lower sales margins in the periods where the replacements too place. The company is in on-going friendly negotiations with its distributors to provide compensation for the effect of the freeloaders. While freeloaders had a cost basis of zero, legitimate sellers and distributors were forced to compete with these zero cost sellers. Prices for GenUltimate plummeted and by October 2017 the product in its largest portal showed a price decline on average of 35%.

With the assistance of Amazon, who themselves became a distributor of GenUltimate!, the company was able to overcome some of these issues. With the assistance of Amazon we reordered our selling practice, implementing base (floor) pricing and implementing real-time policing of listings. As a result, we were able to overcome this freeloading practice. Prices have recovered about one half of the Fall 2017 decline. We are currently in the process of raising prices again. Also, as a result of the “Amazon debacle,” the company also eliminated many small distributors of GenUltimate! from the Amazon portal. In 1Q 2019 we further eliminated a group of our wholesalers who may still sell our products, but not on Amazon. While these actions had the effect of lowering sales throughout 2018 and into 2019, our margins and our sales levels are recovering, as our sales increase. The effect of barring wholesalers from selling on Amazon cost the company \$90,000 to \$120,000 in sales for 1Q 2019, mostly through lower inventory levels by our wholesalers.

In March 2017 the company was contacted by the retail giant Walmart, who along with their acquired on-line retailer Jet.com, are attempting to duplicate and surpass the Amazon portal. Our GenUltimate! products have been sold on Walmart’s (and Jet.com’s) portals since November 2016. In the 2018 discussions Walmart offered us preferential listings on portals and Walmart Depot stocking at their regional transit facilities. We also began discussions, now in process, for the manufacturer of a Walmart house brand version of our GenUltimate! As a result of our agreements with Walmart, GenUltimate! is now sold and fulfilled directly by Walmart. In addition, Walmart has implemented a large on-line store pickup, allowing GenUltimate! users to pick their GenUltimate! product up at Walmart stores. We accepted Walmart’s offer (who wouldn’t) and changed our distribution agreement with Walmart (and Jet) so that Walmart would sell and fulfill our products directly. We accomplished this while still selling our products directly through Walmart. This business model is called cannibalization with the goal of overall sales maximization. Walmart customers who previously received standing orders for their legacy J&J Lifescan test strips are a part of this new program. The company believes this to be a market enhancing deal since Walmart will become both a “push” and a “pull” retailer. No special pricing of our GenUltimate! products was required to implement this plan, owing, no doubt, to the footprint we have established on the other large on-line portals. Walmart purchase orders for Walmart sales of our products have increased dramatically. We continue to evaluate our prospects with Walmart and we believe we will move toward a global agreement with Walmart for our GenUltimate!, PetSure! and GenChoice! (when cleared) and GenUltimate! TBG products. Meetings are scheduled in the month of May 2019 at both Walmart and CVS pharmacies, primarily for our GenUltimate TBG products.

The company in the past has also offered information technology solutions in several medical care market channels by providing physicians with information at the point of care. Our products, unlike those from many other medical information companies, make use of smart cell phones such as the Apple iPhone, the Motorola and Samsung Droids and a wide selection of Microsoft Windows based smart phones and operate in either in a wireless or “wired” mode, which allow physicians to carry, access and update their patients’ histories, also known as electronic medical records or EMR, medication data, and best care guidelines - *all at the point of care*, or from any other location the physician may be located. In addition, the company’s products employ proprietary mathematical game theory features adapted by the company for medical use that allow acceptance of diagnoses and treatment protocols where the medical information may have originated from one or several locations and one time or several times. Since the advent of “Obamacare,” promising products like our own struggled to gain market acceptance in a reimbursement challenged market. The company cannot yet venture opinions or forecasts for its IT products now that the Trump administration is trying to redevelop healthcare. While we have kept up with the evolving regulatory changes, we do not foresee implementation of our products and networks in the near future. We do not assign any value on our balance sheet to our IT products.

In March 2016 we also retained a product source company called Retail Monster, to represent our products to large drug chains (“big box pharmacy”), large retailers, chain grocers and the like. Unfortunately the arrangement with Retail Monster did not succeed, primarily because a group of company shareholders and persons claiming to be shareholders poisoned our relationship with Retail Monster early in our contract term, by advocating during repeated calls, a “palace coup.” After these incursions by shareholders and persons claiming to be shareholders, our relationship with Retail Monster remained cordial but was, unfortunately, destined to fail. The two companies decided to end the engagement on December 31, 2016.

The efforts being expended in the “big-box” arena are greatly aided by the company’s recent success with the explosively growing on-line Marketplaces, many sponsored by the large retail pharmacies and retail stores. These Marketplaces are fast growing sister organizations to these retailers, and typically not a part of legacy manufacturers marketing plans. The company’s recent successes in the on-line Marketplaces has given the company a beachhead in this market as the uncertainty brought on by the J&J lawsuits has (finally) waned. In mid-March 2016 the largest US retailer agreed to raise the company’s standing to the highest retail “rung” by offering a new supplier contract and in mid-March 2018 this retailer and its recently acquired wholesale products partner contacted the company and implemented a direct relationship. This decision led to inquiries by other big box sellers. Thus, in March 2019 we entered into a long term relationship with PARAGON Sales and Marketing Inc. to support the national growth of both our branded and private label retail products sold under our "Gen" brand, and our private label brands of Alltara!, ConsumerValue!, Infatig!, and Medicus!. Initial accounts that we have assigned to PARAGON for big box and private brand big box agreements include Walmart, CVS, Walgreens, Costco, Kroger and Cardinal Health. PARAGON will also work closely with us in evaluating other potential new retail product categories and products that we may launch in both branded and private label offerings in the future. Sadly, shareholders and non-shareholders have already attempted to contact PARAGON in a manner similar to our earlier situation with Retail Monster. The company has promised PARAGON that we will take legal action against these people should this activity continue.



Alltara choice, and GenUltimate TBG is not yet available for sale or distribution in the United States or Puerto Rico.

Since March 2015 when we first we acquired special intellectual property and specialty manufacturing equipment which will shall serve our business interests now and into the future. We have increasingly turned to Alpha Capital Anstalt (“Alpha”), Navesink Device Initiatives, Sovereign Partners and Licgo Partners, whereby these organizations either purchased an 18-month 15% OID derivative instruments or Preferred C stock units, to facilitate the acquisition of intellectual property or manufacturing equipment, or to finance our growth. In 1Q, 2Q and 4Q 2016 and 2Q, 3Q and 4Q 2017 we completed additional financing transactions with both Alpha, Sovereign, and Licgo. Our most recent transactions with Alpha also financed an inventory credit line for the company so that we can meet many of the requirements of the largest retailers and maintain at least \$300,000 in stock on hand at any time. From time to time we drop below this \$300,000 threshold, primarily at the end of fiscal quarters. Alpha also financed our acquisition of new specialty manufacturing equipment to facilitate our contract manufacturer in Korea as they develop our new GenChoice! product. The company in early March 2019 again turned to Alpha, most recently borrowing \$250,000 as we finance the completion of our GenUltimate! TBG product, pay for the prosecution of our GenChoice 510K application, and pay for the additional manufacturing facility in Korea.

The company entered into three international agreements throughout 2017 and 2018. The first agreement, executed through the company’s exclusive Korean agent, allows for delivery of the GenUltimate!, GenChoice! and GenSure! (and certainly the GenUltimate! TBG product when available) in quantity for sale in the Korean, Taiwan, Hong Kong, Vietnam and Thailand markets market. As of this writing, the Korean partners have ordered and paid for over 356,000 pieces (units/boxes) of GenUltimate! In addition the company, through its Korean master distributor has begun sales in Vietnam. The company’s second international agreement was through a South American financier who has businesses in Bolivia and Spain. This group initially placed a single two-year (term) order for approximately \$17 million in GenUltimate! test strips, GenUltimate! meters and the company’s new (2017) Firefly! Lancets. The South American financier also notified the company that he and those closely associated with him wished to subscribe to a \$3.25 million to \$5.0 million

capital investment in the company. The group then signed and executed a Subscription Agreement for the company's Preferred D shares in April 2017.

After delivery of approximately 11,000 pieces (units) of GenUltimate!, 3,000 GenUltimate! meters and cases of lancets delivered to Bolivia, the company was contacted by authorities in the U.S. and then again several months later by regulators in Spain concerning the partners and silent partners involved with this international agreement. As a result of these contacts, the company, on March 20, 2017, terminated the Preferred D Subscription Agreement and terminated the International Distribution Agreement.

In June 2018 the company came to terms with a third international distributor who will sell the company's products in Mexico, Puerto Rico and in select South American countries. Initially the sales by the distributor will be our GenUltimate! test strips and meters, and our GenSure! test strips and meters. Governmental approval is needed for these products. This distributor has so far not distinguished themselves.

However, in late July 2019 the company was contacted by a large Eastern European distributor of J&J/Lifescan, now (Platinum Equity) products. To begin, this distributor wishes to distribute the company's GenSure! product, followed on by the company's GenPrecis! product (in 3rd party test mode) both somewhat surprisingly successful products in Eastern Europe, particularly in CIS states and the Ukraine. The company must complete an "accuracy" test for the GenPrecis! product, complete the packaging in several Eastern European languages, and then schedule a manufacturing line. For the GenSure! product, the company needs to replace several Western European languages with Eastern European languages on its International package. Although the distributor wishes to move quickly, we anticipate rolling out this arrangement in 4Q 2019 and 1Q 2020.

We have received multiple inquiries from companies interested in perhaps collaborating with the company for the implementation of its cell phone centric technologies MD@Hand and MD@Work. However, the market available for products similar to MD@Hand and MD@Work has changed since its introduction in 2009. The legal challenges to the new health care law and the federal government's inability to enact regulations have altered the landscape, again. We remain in discussions with multiple concerns for the marketing of our MD@ products, and any agreement we may enter will require us to provide contract software programming, providing a new source of revenue for the company. In addition to any proposed partnerships, we continue to discuss alternative propositions with other interested companies ranging from clinical laboratories, service organizations owned or aligned with medical health insurers, a medical content provider and legacy healthcare systems companies. There remains sustained interest in our MD@ technology. We may or may not entertain additional proposed partnerships for our implementation of the cell phone centric technologies, which has been hindered, as has the overall market, by the slow implementation of regulations, protocols and data formats by the Federal government, as well as a change in previously announced Federal government monetary incentives.

Additional Background and Foundation

In May 2010, we entered into agreement with Shasta Technologies, Inc. and Broadtree, Inc. This agreement granted our Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. subsidiary the exclusive marketing rights to a new diagnostic product not yet on the market named Shasta Genstrip ("Genstrip"). The Genstrip product was developed to compete against the market leader in the then \$6.5 billion at home testing market. Shasta was in default of this 2010 Agreement within 90 days of its initiation. Penalties under that agreement and monies owed totaled in excess of \$2 million in "delay" penalties, which they were unable to pay. In April 2011, the company renegotiated its agreement changing its many roles and adding responsibility for regulatory approval, manufacturing and forecasting, international sales and additional sales markets in the U.S. Shasta defaulted under this agreement as well. On March 20, 2014 we acquired the GenStrip intellectual property, its marks and the cleared 510(k). Shasta defaulted on this agreement as well. In addition Shasta breached or defaulted on two insurance settlement agreements, owing to the aforementioned J&J litigation. Shasta also confessed to patent infringement of J&J's three patents and for one reason or another thought it was in their best interest to sue their previous FDA lawyer, who supposedly did not stop Shasta from commercially damaging themselves.

On April 30, 2014 we first implemented our FDA mandated Quality Plan and are now operating as the manufacturer (operator) of the GenUltimate! test strip. We have implemented subsequent Quality Plans with our Korean contract manufacturer for our GenUltimate! product. Similar Quality Plans and FDA registrations will be in place for the company's GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products in the near term, and for our GenAccord and GenCambre products later in the coming months. Our overall Quality Plan, a living document, is in its fifth re-write.

In August 2016 the company settled an insurance matter with Gotham Insurance, an IP Defense insurer, and Shasta covering legal fees associated with the 2011 and 2012 lawsuits brought by Lifescan, Inc. This settlement included a stipulation by Shasta to cease contacting and sharing confidential documents with persons who identified themselves as DECN shareholders. Several of these persons who contacted Shasta also contacted the aforementioned Retail Monster management. Shasta immediately breached this agreement, as they have breached every agreement we have executed with them. This legal settlement with the insurer does not preclude the company

from pursuing Shasta, its principals and these “shareholders” in its omnibus lawsuit brought against Shasta et al. in 2014. Nor did this settlement preclude the company from pursuing Shasta for attempting to execute an illegal embargo, along with a former contract manufacturer against the company. The company brought suit against Shasta and the former contract manufacturer in Pennsylvania in November 2018. On December 31, 2018 the Pennsylvania court awarded the company with a \$3.6 million judgment against Shasta. We were pursuing collection of this judgment in Minnesota, California, Oregon and Pennsylvania. To that end we filed for a Writ of Attachment against Shasta for the \$3,600,000. This Writ will allow the company to bring an end to the litigation against Shasta in California and Minnesota (in an action stat that includes our FDA lawyer). On June 29, 2019 Settlement documents were executed by Shasta and the company to bring an end to the litigation in the four states. Shasta agreed to drop all claims against the company, and we agreed not to further pursue Shasta (but not Conductive Technologies, Inc. and not the former DECN shareholders). After five years, the company can now value its acquisition of the GenStrip technology and Marks, and any knowhow. We have done so as of the period ended June 30, 2019 (see financials) and have realized a gain in the period.

We continue to litigate in Pennsylvania against the former contract manufacturer and anticipate a handsome settlement in the coming months, who apparently while working for us, was also working against us and for Johnson & Johnson. The company is also pursuing those persons who owned stock in the company who may have traded stock in the market based on information and documents provided by Shasta, or who were given confidential documents by Shasta, gained through the litigation discovery and provided to these shareholders, who then posted the information on public message boards.

We currently employ nine professionals at or locally managed through our executive business office located at 2660 Townsgate Road, Suite 300, Westlake Village, California 91361. In addition, we maintain two full-time and seven part-time positions located throughout the United States. We also maintain a Quality Assurance office through our exclusive agent in Seoul, Korea as a means to fulfill our quality commitments to the FDA. Our telephone number is (805) 446-1973 and our website addresses are and www.pharmatechsolutionsinc.com and www.genultimate.com. and www.decisiondiagnostics.com. Additional web sites will be added for our GenChoice! product (site now in FDA 510K prosecution) and our GenUltimate! TBG product.

As a part of the company’s strategic plans, we have applied (to register) for fourteen Trademarks with the USPTO. The company’s Genstrip product is a registered Trademark of Shasta Technologies LLC. Now that we own this Mark, we do not intend to renew it. Our applications were filed with the USPTO in 1Q and 2Q 2015 and throughout 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019. The company intends to use these Marks, as granted, to brand new products, rebranding of existing products, and the establishment of a family of Marks associated with our company and its place in our industry.

As of June 30, 2019, the company has received registration confirmation from the USPTO for the following Marks:

“Alltara!”
“GenUltimate!”
“GenSure!”
“GenChoice!”
“GenAccord!”
“GenCambre!”
“GenUltimate! TBG”
“Firefly!”
“ConsumerValue!”
“Infatig”
“Medicius!”

Our marks for Alltara!, ConsumerValue!, Infatig!, and Medicius! will be used for product families as an integral part of our relationships with the “big-box” entities. We have several Marks that were filed to further enhance our GenUltimate! TBG product and technology. These Marks were first filed in 2Q 2019.

Beginning in the 4th Quarter 2015 and through 2nd Quarter 2016 the company suffered severe inventory shortage of the Genstrip 50 product at various times, owing to the timing of the various settlements with Johnson & Johnson by Shasta and a contract manufacturer, Conductive Technologies, Inc. For some period of time Conductive refused, due to their settlement with Johnson & Johnson, to ship to the company certain quantities of the Genstrip 50 product, but did ship product for their own account using regulatory license and trade names owned by the company. These actions by Conductive Technologies, Inc. and Shasta amounted to an illegal embargo of the company’s products since neither Shasta, nor CTI retained the right to manufacturer or sell the products in the United States without the company’s exclusive approval. In November 2018 the company brought suit against CTI and Shasta in Pennsylvania

to bring about compensation for this illegal embargo. The case **against** Shasta resulted in a \$3.6 million judgment and a more recent settlement. The case against Conductive Technologies Inc. CTI is more complicated. We initially believed that

As a result of the product embargo by Shasta and Conductive Technologies, Inc. the company ran into an inventory shortage, and in fact ran out of product on several occasions. However, the inventory problem began to clear up in late May 2016, and with the advent of adding the GenUltimate! product from Korea, shortages were alleviated. The company's capacity for GenUltimate! production is now 750,000 packages per month (50 count and 100 count packages), for the new GenSure! product 100,000 packages per month (25 count and 50 count packages) and the new GenChoice! product (initial) 150,000 packages per month (50 count and 100 count packages). A mega-retailer has requested minimum inventories of finished product of 150,000 units/boxes. We expect other retailers to make similar requests. The manufacture of GenUltimate! and GenSure! are very similar and this capacity can be viewed as interchangeable. Similarly the manufacture of GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG will be similar to the manufacture of GenAccord! and GenCambre! Manufacture of GenPrecis will require additional investment in plant and machinery.

The company's stock currently trades on the OTCMarkets OTC Pink Current tier of the market. The company's shares are DTC and DWAC eligible. On May 12, 2015 the company made an application for a tier change to the OTCQX (common) tier. When the company's common stock fell in price beneath the \$.10 threshold, and when our sponsoring broker shuttered his operation, our application went into hiatus. Subsequently, the company received direct communication from OTCMarkets concerning a new uplist program offered, beginning May 18, 2017, whereby the company might uplist within the OTCMarkets tiers as a Current Alternative reporting company and filer.

Instead of this uplisting, the company chose to file a Regulation A offering in an effort to improve its disclosure to the SEC. The company had planned to use this filing, reviewed by the SEC without comment on August 23, 2018, to move toward uplist on the OTCMarkets exchange in 2019. In February 2019 the company, after completing all of the ancillary tasks required of a Reg. A filer, amended its registration with the SEC, a necessary requirement. Subsequently, the company's stock price improved so that the registration offering price was much lower than the stock trading price. In early March, during the stock price rise, the company was informed that a certain party, who at that point claimed to own approximately 4% of the company's outstanding shares, wished to buy the entire Reg. A offering on the date said offering became qualified. That would allow this certain party to gain control of the company for approximately \$5.3 million, a value much lower than the company's Board of Directors expected, and much less than the trading price of the company's common stock. This was/is a common predatory M&A strategy often used by private equity funds. On March 18, 2019, acting on a resolution by the company's Board of Directors, the Reg. A registration was withdrawn.

In February 2019 the company was approved for Deposit/Withdrawal at Custodian (DWAC) a method of electronically transferring new shares or paper [share certificates](#) to and from the [Depository Trust Company \(DTC\)](#) using a Fast Automated Securities Transfer (FAST) service transfer agent as the distribution point. DWAC transfer is a method employed by most funds and large investors.

Business activities throughout the next twelve months:

The company's business on a day-to-day basis includes the distribution of our GenUltimate! products, (50 count and 100 count versions), distribution of our GenSure! product (25 count and 50 count versions), and our PetSure! products (30 count and 60 count versions), and in 2019 our GenChoice! (25 count, 50 count and 100 count versions), at least in international markets, and the GenUltimate! TBG (25 count, 50 count, 100 count versions and a meter), sometime later in 2019. Our GenSure! will be sold only in certain international markets, presently in Eastern Europe. The company is currently prosecuting its application for 510K clearance of its GenChoice! product (25 count, 50 count and 100 count versions). The GenChoice! product will be sold worldwide. Within 120 days of this writing the company will have concluded the clinical analyses and filed for 510K clearance for its GenUltimate! TBG product (25 count, 50 count and 100 count versions and a meter designed with young diabetics in mind). The GenUltimate! TBG product will be sold worldwide and will, most likely, require a strategic partner. Two prospective partners have contacted the company, one making a preliminary offer of a complex M&A transaction, the other offering cash, and a wanting a license to the GenUltimate! TBG product. We are currently in on-going negotiations with the one prospective partner looking to pay for the license rights to the GenUltimate! TBG product, a long term royalty for their continuing sales, and the settlement of other matters. The company has just completed a redesign of its GenUltimate! TBG test strip (seen above), building a technology foundation around its GenUltimate! technology.

In March 2019 the company announced the hiring of PARAGON Marketing and Sales, Inc., a nationwide retail accounts sales and management firm. The company has assigned several high worth "big box" retail accounts to PARAGON, including Walmart Stores, CVS Pharmacies, Walgreens Pharmacies, Cardinal Health (Wholesale). McKesson (private label brands), Kroger and others.

In mid-2017 the company embarked on an ambitious plan to re-brand all of its products, existing and upcoming, to sell into what is more commonly known as the private label marketplace, or the co-brand markets. These markets overlap to a high degree with what is also historically known as the “big-box” market. The rebranding contingency eventually grew to change the entire scope of our products developed for private label sales. In traditional diabetic supplies markets the packages had to include claims made in the original 510K application, plus new international symbology and UDI identification. Packaging of the products was typically designed to accommodate the capacities of the automation that packaged the products themselves. There was no magic involved with packaging. The 25, 50 and 100 count packages sold by the entire industry grew out of the capabilities of the automated packaging machines and equipment, not some grand plan. The entire industry became “me-too.” The insurance reimbursement models associated with these 25, 50 and 100 count packages (overwhelmingly 50 count boxes) arose for the same reasons.

Companies in the manufacturing and marketing channels in the industry all employ these packaging processes, including Korean, Chinese and Taiwanese manufacturers. In truth, the manufacturer operations collectively decided **not** to pay an extra \$10,000 for each of the packaging machines, or the \$0.10 for a slightly larger test strip vial (holder). The company believes this “me-tooism” to be a form of mental blinders. In implementing the company’s new private label strategy, Decision Diagnostics decided not to bow to the packaging machine or “me-too” limitations. Instead the new packaging to be employed by the company will take into account diabetic testing patterns and the average number of testing days in a month. Private label versions of the company’s products will be packaged in sizes of 30 count, 60 count and 120 count packages. This concept has been readily accepted by the company’s private label target list in a detailed survey, and it is believed that this new packaging concept will be a marketing coup. Diabetics test every day of the month, not just 1.67 times a day. So a vial of 30 of the company’s test strips (and 60 and 120) will accommodate the testing needs of the user, not the limitations of a particular type of packaging machine. Sales to the private label industry will be through private label product groups where every private label partner will own a private label group, each group containing all of the company’s products in selective private label packaging.

The company currently has three major private label targets, the largest drug store chain, another top-5 drug store chain, and the second largest grocery store chain. In addition, the private label packaging is being offered to the largest drug store chains in Mexico and Canada. The Mexican chain, who also has numerous stores in Chile and Argentina has moved quickly. However, in all cases the sales process is in the closing stages. Closes of this nature, do however, take time. The company has Trademarked four product label groups for exclusive sales of products to the private label concerns: Alltara!, Advant!., Infatig!., and Medicius!.

Beginning in November 2009, we introduced our cell-phone centric medical IT products that offer solutions in medical care and management by providing physicians with information at the point of care. We eagerly await the new version of some sort of national health plan, which might finally create markets for our products.

Our 12-month business objectives include:

4. The practice of specializing in the distribution of GenUltimate! and PetSure! and GenUltimate! 4Pets products, and the completion of the GenChoice!, and GenUltimate! TBG products. We also intend to add several brand-name medical diagnostic and medical disposable products, lancets through our Firefly! product, as well as several lines of insulin syringes and pen needles, all associated with the on-going care of diabetes-inflicted patients, and the world-wide distribution of our proprietary diagnostic products.
5. Combining our wholesale and retail diagnostics distribution with the major successes we have had in the on-line retail markets, and adding legacy retail organizations (already some legacy retailers of note). See discussion above concerning private label opportunities and our private label lines.
6. Continue to implement the plans provided by our agent MWK LLC, and PARAGON Sales and Marketing in efforts to secure big-box pharmacy chains, chain grocers and nationwide retailers in addition to the private label groups previously discussed.

Recent Business Milestones:

In 1Q 2019 and 2Q 2019 the company has accomplished the following milestones.

7. We have prepared for introduction a premier version of our popular GenUltimate! test strip for launch on September 3, 2019. First deliveries for this test strip designed and provided for the benefit of big box stores will be in the 3rd week in September.

8. We completed the design and manufacture of PetSure! glucose test strips for the international markets, and completed development of our GenChoice!, GenUltimate! 4Pets and GenUltimate! TBG products.
9. We began FDA 510K prosecution, patient clinical, 3rd Party testing and/or clinical trials of two new test strip products, our GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG test strips and the GenUltimate! TBG Precise meter. Neither the PetSure! nor GenUltimate! 4Pets products required live patient (pet) clinical testing. We most recently added GenChoice! TBG to our Advanced Development schedule.
10. We are pressing our suit against Johnson & Johnson and several divisions for manufacturing products that infringe on our patents. We won a major early battle in this suit where the trial judge granted us the opportunity to argue the Doctrine of Equivalents, an important concession in this case given J&J's penchant for the twisting of words and drawing lines through random dots. We lost a mid-stream battle and are now appealing to a court where we have had major rulings, of the same type (twisting of facts by J&J) in our favor in the past. This suit began its prosecution phase on March 15, 2017 with the trial judge's early ruling. We filed our appeal in the United States Federal Circuit Court of Appeals (the patent court) and expect oral arguments to commence and a mediation in June 2019.
11. The company initiated a marketing program to the on-line Marketplaces sponsored by pharmacy chain, department store and grocery store retailers, as well as mass merchandisers, and including the largest retailers. This program has so far been the most successful endeavor since our inception.
12. The company has retained patent counsel to file two patents (in 3Q 2019) for our GenUltimate! TBG and GenPrecis meters and test strips, and for our newest adaptation of our GenChoice! TBG meter and test strips.

On August 4, 2019 the company received further communiques from the U.S. FDA, related to its 510K prosecution and request for clearance related to our GenChoice! product. While all written communications with the FDA are considered formal, and face-to-face communications even more formal, the company believes we are marching toward clearance in the next 90 days.

Business Model Evolution

TGB Technology

The company has proven feasibility of its "TGB" technology for its GenUltimate! TBG product (now ready for clinical trial), its GenChoice! TBG product, now moving into advanced development, and its upcoming GenAccord! TBG product, for another legacy system. In the case of the GenAccord! TBG, there will not be an alternative test strip developed and marketed in advance of the "TGB" version. In this case we will only market the "TGB" version where the one version will work with the legacy meters, as well as our own meter. This marks a change in our business model where previously we attempted to bring to market an alternative version of a legacy test strip, achieve FDA clearance, and then some time later bring the "TGB" features and improvements on-line. With the advent of "TGB," the company is transitioning to be a legacy system developer and manufacturer, while still maintaining compatibility with the older legacy meters and test strips.

The company believes that with the advent of TBG, and the reascendance of an industry leader, the entire industry's pricing structure for diabetic testing products will realign to pre-July 2013 levels. Prior to July 2013 pricing for diabetic test strips was about \$37.00 per box of 50 and the Big 4 pharma companies controlled 88% of the industry. Since the Medicare price controls were added in July 2013, prices for retail test strips have almost doubled, entire segments of the industry (mail order, DME) have all but disappeared, and testing levels are flat despite an epidemic of new diabetics. With the price realignment, testing levels will again increase to pre-2013 levels, and the company believes that the industry will again witness growth at historical levels.

There will be two universal statements that can be made about the "TGB" products:

3. TBG products will have precision and accuracy that sets new industry standards, performing with almost perfect linearity, and at +/- 8% 97-98% of the time.
4. TBG products will in a sense replace their legacy counterparts, making each new “TBG” product available to the legacy manufacturer on a license with royalty basis, with a cash payment transfer for exclusivity.

The company has also retained counsel, who represents the company in other matters, to file patents with the USPTO for the protection of our “TBG” technologies. The company envisions four patents in all, two in 3Q 2019 for the protection of our GenUltimate! TBG and GenPrecis! meters and test strips, and two in 4Q 2019 for our (newest) GenChoice! TBG product. Patents provide substantial added value to a company’s technologies. Monies gained in any settlement with the Johnson & Johnson litigation will be first applied to the cost of development of the company’s three “TBG” products, then to the three expected FDA 510K prosecutions, and finally to the patents prosecutions.

New Big Box Strategy

When the company engaged its sales agent Paragon Marketing and Sales, we were aware that Paragon could consult with us in a number of ways. We have found the most important of these ways to be a dissection of Big Box buying habits and successful business models needed to win over big box entities.

During their investigations Paragon found that the company’s GenUltimate! products had passed the threshold of being a successful brand in the marketing channels, but that adoption by Big Box remained elusive.

While working with Buying sources at Walmart, already a large company customer, Paragon found that on-line and “pick-up” sales at or from Walmart stores was a good market for the company, and was growing dramatically. But little progress was being made for in-store sales primarily because Walmart viewed GenUltimate as a replacement for Lifescan OneTouch Ultra on a 1:1 basis. Sell a GenUltimate box to a Walmart customer in-store, lose a OneTouch Ultra sale to that same customer, and with it the profit that the same customer generated.

It was explained that the big box makes between \$15 - \$18 profits on a sale of a single box of Lifescan OneTouch Ultra. That profit is more than the entire MSRP for the current GenUltimate. It was determined that raising the price of GenUltimate to the public would damage the on-line sales, or worse, create a dual pricing structure, which is illegal in some states.

Thus, on September 3, 2019 the company will announce the availability of a new (2nd) package version of GenUltimate, called GenUltimate Premier, a new package, and with many of the features taken from the upcoming GenUltimate TBG test strip. The new version of GenUltimate Premier, with a MSRP of \$24.95, will provide the big box with profit margins equal to or in excess of those margins they accrue from a sale of OneTouch Ultra, while offering at least a 50% discount to struggling diabetics. Two big box chains have asked for immediate presentations. Hopefully the big box log jam will be broken without loss of marketshare or price leadership of the current GenUltimate! test strip in parallel channels.

Financing Requirements

At June 30, 2019, we had cash of \$88,191 and negative working capital of \$1,174,434. We anticipate that we will require \$64 million in **trade debt financing** to finance our expected sales of GenUltimate!, GenUltimate! Premier (for big box) GenUltimate! TBG, and GenChoice!, as the current litigation ends in the company’s favor. Trade debt financing is traditional debt where the borrower borrows cash from a finance company or a bank or other financial institution and at the term of the loan pays the lender back in cash. The company has noted substantial disinformation in public forums regarding trade debt financing. The above paragraph is the company’s final position regarding its trade debt posture. We will borrow money, finance manufacturing of our product purchases, and then pay the money back to the lender. The lender may be a bank, finance company or insurance company. Fancy derivative and/or

toxic equity financing will not be used. We will operate our operations like a business. This financing is hard to get for a small company, nonetheless we will aspire to endure. Without the financing our sales will be curtailed.

In March 2012 we renewed our agreement with Alpha Credit Resources (“ACR”) for a third time in order to obtain this debt financing. After the expiration of that agreement, in November 2013 we executed a new line of credit with Alpha Credit Resources, replacing our previous line. This credit line was for \$12.5 million, but with the velocity of our product sales, could yield over \$250 million in annually available credit. We never did draw down any credit financing from ACR, although we many times tried. On December 14, 2015 this credit line expired. Subsequently we learned that ACR and its parent, Platinum Credit became the subject of several Federal criminal investigations. In September 2016, the major funds controlled by Platinum filed for liquidation. The company immediately froze all of its securities held by Platinum, and notified the funds liquidator that we had been working with the former management of Platinum to effect return of a sizable majority of the securities held by Platinum. Platinum had not been granted any requests for any conversion or sale transactions since December 2014. As a part of this liquidation the company is now seeking return of most of the securities granted to the Platinum funds from 2007 through 2014.

We will from time to time continue to seek a combination of equity and long-term debt financing as well as other traditional cash flow and asset backed financing to meet our financing needs and to reduce our overall cost of capital. Additionally, in order to accelerate our growth rate and to finance general corporate activities, we may supplement our existing sources of funds with financing arrangements at the operating system level or through additional short-term borrowings. As a further capital resource, we may sell or lease certain rights or assets from our portfolio as appropriate opportunities become available. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain any additional financing, on acceptable terms or at all.

Results of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, compared.

The following tables summarize selected items from the statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 compared to 2018.

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | June 30, | | 3 Months | %Δ | June 30, | | 6 Months | %Δ |
| | 2019 | 2018 | | | 2019 | 2018 | | |
| Revenue | \$ 556,518 | \$ 430,483 | 126,035 | 29.28% | \$ 1,117,911 | \$ 989,487 | 128,424 | 12.98% |
| Cost of sales | 431,728 | 324,754 | 106,974 | 32.94% | 820,034 | 670,928 | 149,106 | 22.22% |
| Gross profit | 124,790 | 105,729 | 19,060 | 18.03% | 297,877 | 318,559 | (20,682) | -6.49% |
| | 22.4% | 24.6% | | | 26.6% | 32.2% | | |

Revenue and Cost of Sales remained relatively static for the three and six months ended June 30, 2019 as compared to June 30, 2018.

OPERATING EXPENSES:

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| | June 30, | | 3 Months | %Δ | June 30, | | 6 Months | %Δ |
| | 2019 | 2018 | | | 2019 | 2018 | | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| General & administrative expenses | 226,669 | 134,586 | 92,083 | 68.42% | 614,498 | 281,204 | 333,294 | 118.52% |
| Consulting | 70,934 | 40,331 | 30,603 | 75.88% | 120,347 | 72,016 | 48,331 | 67.11% |
| Compensation expense | 102,493 | 126,293 | (23,800) | -18.84% | 226,956 | 234,414 | (7,459) | -3.18% |
| Professional fees | 107,452 | 208,340 | (100,888) | -48.42% | 402,372 | 654,491 | (252,119) | -38.52% |
| Total expenses | 507,548 | 509,550 | (2,002) | -0.39% | 1,364,173 | 1,242,125 | 122,048 | 9.83% |
| Net operating (loss) | (382,759) | (403,821) | 21,062 | -5.22% | (1,066,296) | (923,566) | (142,730) | 15.45% |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2019:

General and administration expenses include bad debt, office expenses (including rent, cleaning and maintenance, utilities, and telephone), insurance, and bank charges. During the three months ended June 30, 2019, general and administration expenses increased by \$92,083 to \$226,669 (2018 - \$134,586) due primarily to increased marketing efforts in 2019 as compared to 2018.

Consulting expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2019, increased \$30,603 to \$70,934 (2018 - \$40,331). The increase is due primarily to our “normalization” of outside marketing consultants as we continue to increase the visibility of our product lines.

Compensation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2019 decreased \$23,800 to \$102,493 (2018 - \$126,293) due primarily to a reduction of staff to meet budgetary demands.

Professional fees include accounting services, legal fees and regulatory reporting compliance. The decrease in professional fees of \$100,888 to \$107,452 (2018 - \$208,340) is due primarily to a decrease in legal fees incurred in connection with our product development costs wherein we engaged additional legal counsel in 2018 to assist in the review of potential new sales/distributing agreements and review general corporate matters. We anticipate our legal fees to continue into 2020.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2019:

General and administration expenses include bad debt, office expenses (including rent, cleaning and maintenance, utilities, and telephone), insurance, and bank charges. During the six months ended June 30, 2019, general and administration expenses increased by \$333,294 to \$614,498 (2018 - \$281,204) due primarily to a one-time bad debt write-off of \$175,000, which amounted to the remainder of the product sold prior to our settlement with Lifescan/J&J and increased marketing efforts in 2019 as compared to 2018.

Consulting expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2019, increased \$48,331 to \$120,347 (2018 - \$72,016). The increase is due primarily to our “normalization” of outside marketing consultants as we continue to increase the visibility of our product lines.

Compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2019 decreased \$7,459 to \$226,956 (2018 - \$234,414) due primarily to a reduction of staff to meet budgetary demands.

Professional fees include accounting services, legal fees and regulatory reporting compliance. The decrease in professional fees of \$252,119 to \$402,372 (2018 - \$654,491) is due primarily to a decrease in legal fees incurred in connection with our product development costs wherein we engaged additional legal counsel in 2018 to assist in the review of potential new sales/distributing agreements and review general corporate matters. We anticipate our legal fees to continue into 2020.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | June 30, | | | | June 30, | | | |
| | 2019 | 2018 | 3 Months | %Δ | 2019 | 2018 | 6 Months | %Δ |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | | | |
| Financing costs | (313,254) | (98,611) | (214,643) | 100.00% | (313,254) | (104,611) | (208,643) | 100.00% |
| Interest expense, net | (69,450) | (55,528) | (13,922) | -25.07% | (476,182) | (102,937) | (373,245) | -362.60% |
| Loss on write-down of obsolete inventory | - | - | - | 100.00% | (162,359) | - | (162,359) | 100.00% |
| Gain on intellectual property | 1,340,000 | - | 1,340,000 | 100.00% | 1,340,000 | - | 1,340,000 | 100.00% |
| Total other income (expense) | 957,296 | (154,139) | 1,111,435 | 274.93% | 388,204 | (207,548) | 595,752 | -62.60% |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2019:

Our other income and expense increased an overall \$1,111,435 from \$(154,139) for the three months ended June 30, 2018, to \$957,296 for the three months ended June 30, 2019. Other expense includes costs related to our financing activities associated with our debt and equity offerings of \$313,254 (2018 - \$98,611) and interest expense of \$69,450, which includes Original Issue Discounts of \$37,500 (2018 - \$0). We also incurred a gain on intellectual property in the three months ended June 30, 2019 of \$1,340,000 (2018 - \$0) due to the out of court settlement with Shasta Technologies, LLC, whereby we were granted unobstructed rights to their patents.

We recorded a net income for the three months ended June 30, 2019 of \$574,537 compared to a net loss in 2018 of \$558,030. This change was primarily the result of the recognition of a gain on intellectual property due to the out of court settlement with Shasta Technologies, LLC, whereby we were granted unobstructed rights to their patents.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2019:

Our other income and expense increased an overall \$595,752 from \$(207,548) for the six months ended June 30, 2018, to \$388,204 for the six months ended June 30, 2019. Other expense includes costs related to our financing activities associated with our debt and equity offerings of \$313,254 (2018 - \$104,611) and interest expense of \$476,182 (2018 - \$102,937). Included in the 2019 interest expense is Original Issue Discounts of \$413,589 (2018 - \$0). We also incurred a gain on intellectual property in the six months ended June 30, 2019 of \$1,340,000 (2018 - \$0) due to the out of court settlement with Shasta Technologies, LLC, whereby we were granted unobstructed rights to their patents.

We recorded a net income for the six months ended June 30, 2019 of \$388,204 compared to a net loss in 2018 of \$207,548. This change was primarily the result of the recognition of a gain on intellectual property due to the out of court settlement with Shasta Technologies, LLC, whereby we were granted unobstructed rights to their patents.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

A critical component of our operating plan impacting our continued existence is the ability to obtain additional capital through additional equity and/or debt financing. We do not anticipate generating sufficient positive internal operating cash flow until later in 2019, as a result of several factors, including the change in our status from exclusive distributor of our GenStrip 50 (now GenUltimate!) our pet testing products and new products coming on-line, to the manufacturer of this product (now in process), complete additional financial service company acquisitions and generate substantial revenues, which may take the next few years to fully realize. We anticipate that in the next 12 months that we will be starved for cash from time to time as the need for cash to finance our FDA 510K prosecutions and product developments will outstrip our abilities to raise cash from traditional sources. The company's Board has established and reaffirmed that the company will **not** allow our need for cash to be exploited by toxic funding entities. We will, from time to time seek to raise capital from small funds. Our current cash position is critical.

As our GenUltimate! product grows along its product life cycle, and as we launch new products such as our GenChoice! and GenUltimate TBG products, we may not obtain the necessary capital to pursue our strategic plan. As of this writing we are in a short term "cash crunch." If this crunch continues it could materially impact our operations. However, the company is securing a revolving debt credit line and expects handsome settlement cash from two lawsuits.

As of June 30, 2019, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$88,191, inventory of \$154,621, and accounts receivable of \$950,226. Net cash used by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2019 was approximately \$1,005,001. Current liabilities of \$2,371,471 consisted of: \$1,030,270 of accounts payable and accrued liabilities, accrued interest of \$4,226, contingent legal fees of \$240,000, and notes payable of \$1,096,975. As of March 31 June 30, 2019, we have a negative working capital of \$1,174,434.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared contemplating a continuation of the Company as a going concern. The Company has reported an accumulated deficit of \$47,275,721 and a net loss of \$678,092 for the six months ended March 31, 2019. Additional investments are being sought, but we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain such investments. Financing transactions may include the issuance of equity or debt securities, obtaining credit facilities, or other financing mechanisms. However, the trading price of our common stock and conditions in the U.S. stock and debt markets could make it more difficult to obtain financing through the issuance of equity or debt securities. Even if we are able to raise the funds required, it is possible that we could incur unexpected costs and expenses, fail to collect significant amounts owed to us, or experience unexpected cash requirements that would force us to seek alternative financing. Recently, we withdrew our registration statement filed under Reg. A with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. We did so because we had been informed that a single entity, or related entities, was preparing to buy all of the underlying securities registered in the Reg. A, and thereby take control of the company. Withdrawal of this registration created a "cash crunch" down line. Our current cash position is critical. Thus, if we issue additional equity or debt securities, stockholders may experience additional dilution or the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our common stock. If additional financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms, we may have to curtail our operations.

Cash to Operating Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2019, operating activities used cash of \$1,005,001 compared to using cash of \$662,336 in 2018. Our operating loss for 2019 was \$678,092 and included amortization of prepaid legal fees of \$101,239 (2018 - \$250,000), shares issued for financing fees of \$313,254 (2018 - \$104,611), shares and options issued for services of \$25,000 (2018 - \$0), bad debt write-off of \$175,000 (2018 - \$0), loss on write-down of obsolete inventory of \$162,359 (2018 - \$0), and gain on intellectual property of \$1,340,000 (2018 - \$0). Our change in accounts receivables increased \$26,423 to a use of \$175,429 (2018 - \$249,006). Our change in inventory increased \$219,387 to a use of \$66,267 (2018 - \$153,120 source). Our change in accounts payable and accrued liabilities was \$0 (2018 - 6,511). Accrued interest increased by \$373,245 to \$476,182 source (2018- \$102,937 source) due primarily to Original Issue Discounts totaling \$376,089 that were mutually identified by us and our noteholders during the course of a normal review of our debt with them. Our contingent liabilities remained constant in 2019 as compared to 2018 due to the recognition of liability due to our involvement in legal matters.

Cash from Investing Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2019, investing activities used \$656,575 in cash (2018 - \$3,800). The increase is due primarily to the acquisition of additional legal work on our intellectual property (patents) in 2019.

Cash from Financing Activities

During the six months ended June 30, 2019, financing activities produced net cash of \$800,010 (2018 – \$375,235). This change is primarily a result of successful debt and equity offerings in 2019.

Internal and External Sources of Liquidity

Alpha Credit Resources LLC (formerly Centurion Credit)

On November 17, 2007, we entered into an agreement with Alpha Credit Resources LLC to secure a \$1,000,000 revolving credit facility that is geared specifically to our business. As of October 2008, the company renewed its agreement with Alpha Credit Resources LLC until November 17, 2009 and as an inducement to renew the credit line was increased to \$2,000,000, with additional seasonal increases to \$2,500,000. In September 2010 we began discussions with Alpha Credit for an additional \$6.0 million credit facility to provide available credit to finance sales of our new at-home testing diagnostic product. The company last borrowed funds using the credit line in the Year ended December 31, 2011. The agreement matured on December 31, 2011 without renewal. In March of 2012, we executed a renewal agreement with Alpha Credit. The renewal Year matured on December 31, 2012. We borrowed no money under this renewal. In December 2013 we again renewed our credit line with Alpha Credit, expanding our credit line to \$12.5 million (Fourth Omnibus Renewal). As a part of the most recent renewal agreement all previous accrued debt and interest owed Alpha Credit was reduced to \$0.00. Alpha Credit Resources breached this renewal agreement. The agreement was allowed to come to term. In April 2016 the company brought its disputes with Alpha Credit to the attention of new management and while working on a resolution, the parent of Alpha Credit and its sister operations became embroiled in two Federal investigations. Subsequently the funds that capitalized Alpha went into liquidation. The company was standing still until these investigations are brought to a conclusion, but in 1Q 2018 we decided to cancel 1,000 Class B Preferred shares that Alpha did not earn, and over 300,000 shares of Preferred E stock that was not earned, and may have been a part of a scheme to defraud the company as principals of Alpha's parent are now on trial, in sentencing proceedings, or both.

Cash Flow.

Since inception, we have primarily financed our cash flow requirements through the issuance of common stock, the issuance of notes and sales generated income. With anticipated growth in 2019 we may, during our normal course of business, experience net negative cash flows from operations, pending receipt of revenue, which often are delayed because of the nature of the healthcare industry. Further, we may be required to obtain financing to fund operations through additional common stock offerings and bank or other debt borrowings, to the extent available, or to obtain additional financing to the extent necessary to augment our available working capital.

Satisfaction of our cash obligations for the next 12 months.

As of June 30, 2019, our cash balance was \$88,191. Our plan for satisfying our cash requirements for the next twelve months is through additional equity, third party financing, and/or debt financing. We anticipate sales-generated income during that same year of time, but do not anticipate generating sufficient amounts of positive cash flow to meet our working capital requirements, although we did show a paper-profit for the period ended June 30, 2019, owing to the booking (after 5 years) of our acquisition of certain intellectual property. Consequently, we intend to make appropriate plans to insure sources of additional capital in the future to fund growth and expansion through additional equity or debt financing or credit facilities. We are currently experiencing a substantial “cash crunch.” We are in the middle of three new product launches, two 510K applications (one in process and one almost complete) and their prosecution, an expansion to our manufacturing facilities, growth in a segment of overseas business, the funding of the commercialization of two products and the antecedent activities, and the prosecution and/or settlement of three legal actions. The company is in need of additional capital, estimated to be approximately \$1 million, to bridge to upcoming capital events, a settlement of a lawsuit with Johnson and Johnson, and the beginning of the partnership agreement discussed below.

As we expanded operational activities, we may continue, from time to time, to experience net negative cash flows from operations, pending receipt of sales or development fees, and will be required to obtain additional financing to fund operations through common stock offerings and debt borrowings to the extent necessary to provide working capital. It was not until the company entered into the agreement with Alpha Credit Resources, LLC that the company could fill orders for patients and customers on a continuous basis. Until the Alpha Credit line was put in place, we managed to keep a small portion of our distribution activities going when our limited resources allowed us which remains true as of this filing.

Predictions of future operating results are difficult to ascertain due to our historic operating activities. The recent addition of a credit line has helped but we have found it increasingly difficult to transact commerce in the very cash intensive prescription drug industry. Thus, our prospects must be considered in light of the risks, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in their early stages of commercial viability, particularly companies in new and rapidly evolving technology markets. Such risks include, but are not limited to, an evolving and unpredictable business model and the management of growth. To address these risks we must, among other things, implement and successfully execute our business and marketing strategy, continue to develop and upgrade technology and products, respond to competitive developments, and continue to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in addressing such risks, and the failure to do so can have a material adverse effect on our business prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

In March 2019 the company received its first communication from a 3rd party company about its new GenUltimate! TBG product and technology. Subsequently the company received additional communiques from a total of five companies and eight total propositions. Propositions covered much of what could be expected in a complicated M&A transaction, and included propositions for the sale of the company, propositions for the sale of the company's TBG technology, propositions for licensing of the TBG technology, a proposition of earn-out, and several propositions of standstill.

The company's Board entered a process of interview and elimination. This process concluded on June 30, 2019. All but three companies were eliminated. One of the three survivors was the company who had made a proposition which included an earn-out. The company asked this entity to clarify the earn-out. Earn-outs are usually authored in two varieties, earn-out under existing management and earn-out under acquirer management. Historically, earn-outs under the management of the acquirer are not beneficial for shareholders. Upon receipt of their response, this entity was then eliminated, leaving two potential partners.

The Board asked the DECN CEO to convey to the winning proposition maker who had made the best offer -- for a long term license for the GenUltimate TBG product. It was made clear that the company desired a transaction to be made in three components:

4. a modest cash payment for a standstill agreement made upon acceptance of the proposition of between \$1.5 and \$2.5 million
5. a cash payment at closing of any agreement in recognition of an exclusivity lock-out for five years of between \$5.5 and \$9.5 million
6. on-going license fees at a rate of 1.5 times industry standard for similar license agreements

The pre-license fees are industry standard for exclusive arrangements where the Seller is asked by the Buyer to standstill. The company anticipates that the license component of the prospective agreement will yield between \$80MM and \$115MM, and along the way save the partner company and its product line.

The day after the company announced the choice (unnamed) of a prospective partner, and then again four days after, two new propositions were received, a common occurrence in M&A. One proposition originated with a managing group for a Big Box looking to replace a house brand(s) product line. This proposition would not necessarily compete or conflict with the prospective licensing agreement discussed above. The second proposition originated from a large International concern who had been in contact with the entity who sponsored the winning proposition, and had, at least verbally, worked out a partnership arrangement which when implemented would expand the scope of available products and open new markets. As of this writing talks continue with all three of the August 1, 2 and 5 parties.

Expected purchase or sale of plant and significant equipment.

We do not anticipate the purchase or sale of any plant or significant equipment in the United States or Canada; as such, items are not required by us at this time. We have, however and from time to time, purchased specialty equipment for our Korean initiative. We have disclosed these investments previously in this document.

Going Concern

The financial statements included in this report have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles that contemplate the continuance of the Company as a going concern. The Company's cash position is currently inadequate to pay all of the costs associated with testing, production and marketing of products. Management intends to use borrowings and security sales to mitigate the effects of its cash position, however no assurance can be given that debt or equity financing, if and when required will be available. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue existence.

Contingencies and Litigation

We transact commerce in several medical products market channels. We also transact commerce by licensing our proprietary medical software that functions by moving confidential medical data through our proprietary medical information technology devices and networks. Our original Genstrip product required initial regulatory approval by the USFDA as well as on-going USFDA approvals during the product life cycle. Further, Genstrip required medical patient trials and competes directly with a major platform manufacturer. We insure against any claims made against the company for our Genstrip product.

Our GenSure product is sold only in international markets. We are protected against claims of patent and/or trademark infringement by virtue of our 2016 settlement agreement with Johnson & Johnson and two J&J divisions.

Our GenChoice! and GenUltimate! TBG products will be sold worldwide. The company will have to protect against claims of infringement for both of these products. Patent and trademark infringement suits are often filed for strategic business reasons, having only a passing relationship to the patents or trademarks claimed to be at issue.

Healthcare, especially those segments where the company competes, is also very litigious. Competing companies often use litigation as a marketing tool, bringing litigation as a means to protect market share and limit market exposure. The medical industry is also intertwined. From time to time, we may become involved in claims and litigation that arise out of the normal course of business, such as litigation that emerges from disputes over damaged, missing or contaminated product, litigation that arises over payment disputes or claims of fair value. We may also become involved in disputes that arise over the business or business practices of our suppliers, payers and customers. It is not uncommon in our industry to find that a litigant has filed claims in multiple jurisdictions involving the same transaction or a single transaction. The company maintains substantial insurance coverage against suits that may arise over issues of damaged, recalled or counterfeit product and other product liability issues. The company has also been a victim of the unapproved acts of prior management. These acts have resulted in claims from individuals and entities since the Board relieved former management of duty in 2006. Nonetheless, these claims have resulted in the use of management time and company resources to investigate, litigate, or settle. In addition, the company accrues contingent legal fees and product liability fees. As of March 31, 2019, our accrual was \$485,069.

From time to time, the company may also be subject to demands from individuals or entities. These demands and disputes may consume management time and company resources. Other than as noted below, if there is such a disclosure, there are no pending matters at the current time that in management's judgment may be considered potentially material to us.

In December 2018 the company and Mr. Berman were sued by a former employee who made employment practices claims. This employee had been terminated some 16 months earlier, for insubordination. The company filed a counter-suit against the former employee for misappropriating and hiding company property, secrets, and for violating HIPAA statutes as a result of these actions. The company and Mr. Berman are both insured against these types of lawsuits. Both the company and Mr. Berman intend to defend and prosecute vigorously.

We were in litigation with Lifescan Inc. a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson beginning in September 2011. Lifescan had maintained throughout that our Genstrip (now known as GenUltimate!) product infringed on three of their patents. One of these patents became the subject of peripheral litigation activities, and two Appeals (one for each side) to the U.S. Appeals Court for the Federal Circuit (the patents appeals court). In January 2016 the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ruled in its Mandate that this one foundational patent and the claims made by the assignee Lifescan, Inc. was struck (killed) due to obviousness (a clever wording meant to obscure a connection between the Lifescan, Inc. invention and earlier generation technologies dating back to the late 1970s). Throughout this Appeal process, and a litigation process waged through the USPTO, the company prevailed. In addition, as a result of certain claims and allegations made by Lifescan after the close of the USPTO final determination (in favor of the company), the office of the Solicitor

General intervened against Lifescan Inc. in the Federal Circuit court and was of great assistance in getting the Lifescan, Inc. patent revoked. Nonetheless the seeming baseless allegations and claims made by Lifescan against the company have taken their toll, limited our ability to sell our GenStrip (now known as GenUltimate) to large entities (“big box stores”) and greatly extended the court processes.

In the Spring of 2013, fearing the impact of the Genstrip product in an open market, Lifescan took it upon themselves to violate a court protective order and prepared and sent out thirty page certified (veiled threat) letters to customers of the company and the customers of the company’s customers, making it clear to these entities that should they do business with the company, or buy Genstrip (now GenUltimate) product from others doing business with the company, they could or would be added as defendants to the patent infringement suit. Most independent pharmacies in the U.S. sell less than a case (24 boxes) of any single brand of glucose test strips monthly. It is easy to ascertain that an independent pharmacy would choose not to “poke the bear” and risk a several hundred thousand dollar defense, rather than halting sales of Genstrip. Some large retailers were visited or called by Lifescan management and provided with face to face veiled threats. Lifescan even calculated that by breaching the protective order, the sanctions they would be assessed would amount to far less than the business loss they would otherwise suffer. Slowly however, the litigation environment enjoyed by Lifescan changed.

In May 2016 the company became aware of a clause Lifescan had inserted in its Franchise agreements. This clause set a penalty structure whereby should any Franchisee who also bought non-Lifescan products (but more clearly our GenUltimate) they would lose their access to product rebates, and in certain instances their Franchise. Once aware of these illegal tie-ins the company complained to the Federal government, and in January 2017, for the first time since the onset of litigation with J&J, the tie-in clause was globally lifted by J&J. During the pendency of the 2011 and 2012 lawsuits, Lifescan was guilty of a number of unethical practices. For example, in December 2014 counsel for Lifescan wrote a letter to the trial judge who was hearing all three of the original patent matters. This letter outlined a series of issues involving Lifescan’s lead damages “expert” during litigation proceedings. Lifescan’s expert claimed educational and qualification credentials that were not true at the time of the “expert” testimony, and are not true even today. This expert also assisted Lifescan’s counsel in at least one other case, and other companies’ counsels in unrelated cases. Testimony from this expert, in each instance, allowed the Plaintiffs in these cases to secure court rulings to the detriment of the Defendants. In the company’s case this expert was used twice and assisted Lifescan to receive preferential treatment from the court for setting of a litigation bond to cover potential damages, wherein the “expert” through testimony limited the scope and calculation of damages in the setting of the damages protection afforded by the litigation bond and the damages resulting from Lifescan’s violation of the court protective order. Lifescan’s letter admonition came over a year after their successful use of this “expert.”

In March 2016 the company filed suit against Johnson & Johnson and two Lifescan divisions through our two IP subsidiaries. DECN filed the lawsuit in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, in Las Vegas, NV, Case 2:16-cv-00564, titled Pharma Tech Solutions, Inc. et al v. Lifescan, Inc. et al naming Johnson & Johnson and its divisions Lifescan, Inc. and Lifescan Scotland Ltd. for alleged infringement in relation to U.S. Patent numbers 6,153,069, an apparatus patent, and 6,413,411, a method patent. The suit seeks at least \$400 million in provable damages.

Fearful that the allegations in the suit were spot on, Lifescan filed a Motion to dismiss which was denied. J&J, consistent with their historic tactical pattern of litigation delay, then filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. Despite a low probability of success, and the absence of legal appeal option for these Motions, J&J has through its filing successfully delayed the legal process for thirteen months to date. The trial judge has also ruled that PharmaTech would be permitted to file an amended complaint which could include further detail concerning patent infringement under the Doctrine of Equivalents; a significant advantage which minimizes the companies’ burden in infringement cases. Once this Motion activity is concluded the company believes that the legal pendulum once again reverts in the direction of our potent legal position, where it should remain for the remainder of the litigation. In October 2018 the trial judge granted J&J/Lifescan’s Motion for Summary Judgment. The company immediately appealed. The case is now at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington, DC and is tracking toward oral arguments and a mediation in June 2019. The company is optimistic that we will prevail in the patent court and either can resolve the dispute in mediation, or can resolve the dispute after the patent court rules, or if a contemplated business arrangement comes to fruition. However, we are a growing business and the burden placed on the company through litigation with a Fortune 20 company is expensive and impeding. Thus, we plan to bring up creative methods to settle the current suit against J&J in mediation prior to the oral arguments, which will be heard the next day. We are optimistic that the new owner of Lifescan, who is still infringing our patents, will seek the same path to settlement. However, this in no way means that we are giving up on the litigation. Over the past 7 years Lifescan/J&J has been a bad actor throughout, but our current scenario could prove to be a win-win. In July 2019 the company decided that it was in its best interest to separate the J&J lawsuit from its commercial activities.

In November 2018 the company filed a lawsuit in Pennsylvania court against Conductive Technologies, Inc. (CTI) and Shasta Technologies LLC, alleging, among other things, that these two former partners colluded along with Lifescan, Inc. to illegally embargo the company’s GenUltimate! product and technology, and to attempt to seize this product and associated Intellectual Property. The suit emerged as a result of a settlement the former partners entered into with Lifescan, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson to settle their issues (at

our expense) in the above discussed patent infringement lawsuits of 2011 and 2012. On December 31, 2018 the Pennsylvania court granted the company a judgment in the amount of \$3,600,000 against Shasta Technologies LLC. The company had been in the process of enforcing the judgment in the states of Minnesota, Oregon (Shasta's domicile), and California. In late April 2019 the company filed and received a Writ of Attachment from the State of California Superior Court and proceeded to execute on this Writ.

Activities to enforce the judgment against Shasta in Minnesota and California ended the litigation and collection activities against Shasta as of the period ended June 30, 2019. The company, upon conclusion of the litigation then valued its acquisition of technology and Marks in the financials for the period ended June 30, 2019. The company shows a one-time gain in the 2Q 2019 period. Litigation against CTI continues. Recently the company was made aware of a conflict that involved a sister company of CTI, whereby this sister company had extensive undisclosed contract dealings with J&J. At the time of the Settlement by Shasta and CTI with J&J, CTI had recently appointed a new Chairman, the then CEO of the sister corporation. The newly appointed Chairman of CTI immediately ordered settlement with J&J and as a result of this settlement with J&J became the architect of the embargo against the company and its products, forcing the company to redevelop GenUltimate in Korea, at a cost of \$660,000. CTI also used the company's client list obtained as a part of the J&J litigation and hired a company distributor to distribute the company's products to other of the company's distributors. The company is seeking settlement with CTI.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results or operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Error Repair

The company will endeavor to repair any and all errors that new sets of eyes find in this document after posting, whether these errors are in spelling, grammatical, punctuation related or numeric. We are not perfect and we remind others that the people who point our errors out to us, along with their public comments, are not perfect either.